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# 1 Introduction

This document is the summary of the following email discussion:

* [AT113-e][101][PRN] Corrections (Nokia)

Scope: Discuss the PRN corrections in 6.12

Initial intended outcome: summary of the offline discussion with e.g.:

* + - List of CRs that can be agreed as is
		- List of CRs that can be agreed with some changes / merges with other CRs (with an indication of the needed changes)
		- List of CRs that require online discussion
		- List of CRs that should not be pursued

Initial deadline (for companies' feedback): Tuesday 2021-01-26 15:00 UTC

Initial deadline (for rapporteur's summary in R2-2102011): Tuesday 2021-01-26 16:00 UTC CRs listed as "can be agreed as is" in R2-2102011 and not challenged until Wednesday 2021-01-27 04:00 UTC will be declared as agreed by the session chair. For the other ones, the discussion will continue online.

## Contact person(s) for each participating company

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| Nokia | Gyorgy Wolfner | gyorgy.wolfner@nokia.com |
| ZTE | Wenting Li | li.wenting@zte.com.cn |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 UAC parameter selection

The following papers were submitted on UAC parameter selection

[R2-2100485](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2100485.zip) UAC parameter selection for NPN Ericsson

[Observation 1 UAC parameter selection based on UE implementation leads to unpredictable behaviour and is therefore not preferred.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550463)

[Observation 2 UE shall not perform UAC check more than once.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550464)

[Observation 3 A UE which is allowed to access a PLMN both as a PLMN-only UE (through a PLMN) or as an NPN-capable UE (NPN+CAG), could select UAC parameters that are most promising to get access.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550465)

[Proposal 1 Selecting most promising UAC parameters for UE’s that can access both as an NPN or a PLMN (only) UE, should not impact procedures related to reception of SIB1.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550467)

[Proposal 2 For the situation that UAC parameters are different for a PLMN and PLMN+CAG entry in the network lists in SIB1, UE maintains the cellIdentity and TAC that was communicated to upper layers in connection to reception of SIB1, irrespective of if access control is performed with UAC parameters that are associated to other cell Identity and TAC for the same PLMN.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550468)

[Proposal 3 Adopt the text proposal above.](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cwolfner%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CTemp%5C7zO030CD691%5CR2-2100485%20-%20UAC%20parameter%20selection%20for%20NPN.docx#_Toc61550469)

[R2-2101557](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101557.zip) CR on the Parameters Selection ZTE Corporation, Sanechips

1. Clarify that when the UE is allowed to access both the legacy PLMN and the NPN (PLMN+CAG), and the UAC configuration on the PLMN and NPN are different, the UE shall be able to pick either the PLMN or the NPN. And more specifically, do so when the UE is:
* receiving SIB1,
* receiving the RRCSetup message,
* receiving the RRCResume message,
* UAC check
1. Some other editorial issues

[R2-2101715](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101715.zip) UAC parameter selection in case of UE allowed both on PLMN and CAG Qualcomm Incorporated

Clarify that the UE can choose to follow the UAC of the PLMN or the CAG, in case it is allowed to access both.

**Rapporteur's Comment:** These papers are addressing the same issue that was left open at the previous meeting, therefore one of them should be selected.

**Q1: Which paper(s) should be used as a baseline for UAC parameter selection when a UE can select a cell both as a PLMN cell and as a CAG cell:**

1. **R2-2100485**
2. **R2-2101557**
3. **R2-2101715**

**In the comment field please indicate if you request some changes (including merging) in the preferred paper(s). More than one paper can be indicated in the answer more than one paper are acceptable.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment (e.g. requested modifications for the preferred solutions)** |
| ZTE | B (proponent) |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Rapporteur's Proposal:**

## 2.2 SIB validity check

The following CR was submitted on SIB validity check:

[R2-2101654](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101654.zip) Correction on SIB validity check Google Inc

When camps on an PNI-NPN cell, the NPN capable UE also use the npn-Identity to do the SIB validity checking.

**Q2: Do you agree that the changes in R2-2101654 are needed? In the comment field please indicate if you request some changes in the CR.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment (e.g. requested modifications in the CR)** |
| ZTE | No | We understand the intention of this CR. For the case that the UE moves from a NPN-only cell to a PNI-NPN (share with a PLMN) cell, even the two cells share the same System Information, the UE still need to reacquire OSI. However, if adopted this CR, for the case that the UE ( which can access both PLMN and NPN) moves from a PLMN cell to a PNI-NPN (share with a PLMN) cell, the UE also still need to reacquire OSI even the two cells share the same System Information.Thus, we tend to respect the previous agreements, that the first NPN ID is used for the SIB validity change for the NPN-only cell.[R2-2001698](file:///C%3A%5CData%5C3GPP%5CRAN2%5CInbox%5CR2-2001698.zip) 1.1For NPN-only cells, the first NPN ID (PLMN ID and NID or PLMN ID and CAG ID) is used for the SIB validity check by NPN capable UEs |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Rapporteur's Proposal:**

## 2.3 Intra-frequency reselection

The following paper was submitted on SIB validity check Intra-frequency reselection:

[R2-2101704](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101704.zip) Discussion on intra-frequency reselection Huawei, HiSilicon

**Proposal 1: Clarify that** **when a cell operates in unlicensed spectrum, UE needs to check the registered/selected PLMN, or the registered/selected SNPN when determining whether intra-frequency reselection is allowed.**

**Q3: Do you agree with the proposal of R2-2101704? In the comment field please indicate if you request some changes in the draft CRs provided in the Annex.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment (e.g. requested modifications in the CRs)** |
| ZTE | FFS | We understand the intention of this CR, maybe selected SNPN is more accurate for that the selected SNPN can be the registered SNPN or the initial SNPN that UE has selected but not finish the initial registration. But we also think that even without this modification, no confusion caused. Thus for this issue, we can follow the majorities’ views |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Rapporteur's Proposal:**

## 2.4 Inter-RAT cell selection triggered by SNPN selection

The following papers were submitted on Inter-RAT cell selection triggered by SNPN selection:

[R2-2101854](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101854.zip) Inter-RAT cell selection triggered by SNPN selection Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI

**For inter-RAT (from E-UTRA to NR) cell (re)selection triggered by SNPN selection request:**

**Proposal#1 RAN2 WG is suggested to add ‘SNPN selection’ in the E-UTRA protocols as one condition for UE to delete the stored priorities/deprioritisation request(s) provided by dedicated E-UTRA signalling.**

The corresponding changes of TS 36.304 can be found in R2-2101849.

**For T320 in NR protocols/E-UTRA protocols:**

**Proposal#2 Add ‘SNPN selection’ as the stop condition of T320 (NR protocols).**

The corresponding changes of TS 38.331 can be found in R2-2101852.

**Proposal#3 Add ‘SNPN selection ’as the stop condition of T320 (E-UTRA protocols).**

The corresponding changes of TS 36.331 can be found in R2-2101850.

**For T325 in E-UTRA protocols:**

**Proposal#4 Add ‘PLMN/SNPN selection’ as the stop condition of T325 (E-UTRA protocols).**

**Proposal#5 Further indicate ‘UE would store the *deprioritisationReq* until T325 expires or is stopped’ in E-UTRA protocols.**

The corresponding changes of TS 36.331 can be found in R2-2101850.

**For T325 in NR protocols:**

**Proposal#6 Add ‘PLMN/SNPN selection’ as the stop condition of T325 (NR protocols).**

**Proposal#7 Further indicate ‘UE shall store the *deprioritisationReq* until T325 expires or is stopped’ in NR protocols.**

The corresponding changes of TS 38.331 can be found in R2-2101852.

[R2-2101849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101849.zip) Corrections for inter-RAT cell selection triggered by SNPN selection Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI

[R2-2101850](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101850.zip) Stop conditions of T320 & T325 in E-UTRA protocols Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI

[R2-2101852](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101852.zip) Stop conditions of T320 & T325 in NR protocols Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI

**Q4: Do you agree with the motivation of the proposals of R2-2101854 (i.e. in principle the CRs are acceptable)? In the comment field please indicate if you request some changes in the CRs (R2-2101849, R2-2101850, and R2-2101852).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment (e.g. requested modifications in the CRs)** |
| ZTE |  | Our understanding is that this issue is caused by the SNPN operation mode and PLMN operation mode switching, we are not sure whether the UE would trigger a hard/soft power off/on procedure during these two modes switching (for that the UE need to read the corresponding USIM). If there is a hard/soft power off/on procedure, we think the whole RRC protocol/parameters/Timers including T320/T325 would be reset. Anyway, the SNPN operation mode and PLMN operation mode switch was not specified in CT1, Ran2 may also not need to specify the details, it can be left to the UE implementation. For the CR [R2-2101849](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101849.zip) and [R2-2101850](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101850.zip) we think the SNPN selection indication will not send to the AS layer of the LTE-mode.For the CR [R2-2101852](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2101852.zip), for the first Change of T320, we think it’s ok, but for the second change of T325, at least for the PLMN selection part, it’s better to discussed in the other agenda. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Rapporteur's Proposal:**

# 3 Conclusions

## 3.1 CRs that can be agreed as is

## 3.2 CRs that can be agreed with some changes / merges with other CRs

## 3.3 CRs that require online discussion

## 3.4 CRs that should not be pursued