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# 1 Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following discussion:

* [AT113-e][010][NR15] UE Capabilities II (ZTE)

 Scope: Treat R2-2101559, R2-2101560, R2-2100064, R2-2101561, R2-2101913, R2-2101914, R2-2100961, R2-2100962,

 Phase 1, determine agreeable parts, Phase 2, for agreeable parts Work on CRs.

 Intended outcome: Report and Agreed CRs.

 Deadline: Schedule A

|  |
| --- |
| **Deadline:** Email discussions with Deadline ***Schedule A***:A first round with **Deadline for comments Thursday Feb 28 1200 UTC** to settle scope what is agreeable etcA Final round with **Final deadline Thursday Feb 4 1200 UTC.** to settle details / agree CRs etc. Additional check points etc if needed are defined by the Rapporteur. In case some parts of an email discussion need more time, doesn’t converge, need on-line treatment etc Rapporteur please contact chair.  |

**Contact from companies**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | mkitazoe@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## 2.1 Part 1: Intended to determine agreeable parts

Part 1 discussion is focusing on reaching conclusion whether the proposals/CRs can be agreed in principle, and Part 2 discussion would then focus on detailed changes for those agreeable contributions.

### Bandwidth

In the current spec, for the *supportedBandwidthDL/supportedBandwidthUL/channelBWs-DL/channelBWs-UL*, it was noted that when determine the channel bandwidth the network shall also validate the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSet.* Meanwhile the s*upportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* has been added for intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC with additional inter-band CA to limit the bandwidth of the intra-band component.

In the below 2 CRs, the s*upportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* was added to the Note part of the *supportedBandwidthDL/supportedBandwidthUL/channelBWs-DL/channelBWs-UL.*

[R2-2101559](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101559.zip) CR on the SupportedBandwidth/channelBWs-R15 ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-15 38.306 15.12.0 0515 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2101560](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101560.zip) CR on the SupportedBandwidth/channelBWs-R16 ZTE Corporation, Sanechips CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0516 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

You may notice that the s*upportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* was also discussed in another offline discussion [AT113-e][009][NR15], however it will not affect the general principle of this CR, it will only affect the wording highlighted in red as below*.*

*“ supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* (for intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC with additional inter-band CA component(s) of LTE and/or NR)”

Note: In this CR, the wording “(for intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC with additional inter-band CA component(s) of LTE and/or NR)” was added based on the current field description of *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC,* which can be further revised based on the offline discussion result [AT113-e][009][NR15] if necessary.

**Q1: Do companies generally agree with the intention and modification of the CRs above?** (Maybe with some correction for the wording of “for intra-band (NG)EN-DC/NE-DC with additional inter-band CA component(s) of LTE and/or NR” based on another offline discussion result [AT113-e][009][NR15])

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree Intention****(Yes or No)** | **Agree Modifications****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 2.1.2 SUO for intra-band EN-DC

On this topic, we would like to discuss some detail issues first then collect companies’ views on which CRs can be taken as baseline.

[R2-2100064](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100064.zip) LS on single UL operation (RP-202932; contact: Huawei) RAN LS in Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core To:RAN2, RAN4

[R2-2101561](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101561.zip) Clarification on the SingleUL-Transmission ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-15 NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2101913](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip) Clarfication on single uplink operation capability report (LS Contact) Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-15 38.306 15.12.0 0524 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2101914](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip) Clarfication on single uplink operation capability report (LS Contact) Huawei, HiSilicon CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0525 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip) Handling of single UL for intra-band EN-DC band combinations Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-15 38.306 15.12.0 0497 - F NR\_newRAT-Core

[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip) Handling of single UL for intra-band EN-DC band combinations Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell CR Rel-16 38.306 16.3.0 0498 - A NR\_newRAT-Core

According to above papers, as clarified in [R2-2101913](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip)/[R2-2101914](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip)/[R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip),it is mandatory to report this field for BCs where only single switched UL transmission is allowed as defined in TS 38.101-3 [4]. (In [R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip), it said the UE shall include this field for band combinations for which only single UL transmission is specified in TS 38.101-3 [4] if the UE supports UL on the carriers where only single UL is specified.)

**Q2: Do companies generally agree that “it is mandatory to report *singleUL-Transmission***

**field for BCs where only single switched UL transmission is allowed as defined in TS 38.101-3 [4]”**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree?****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Furthermore, as noted/discussed in the [R2-2101913](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip)/[R2-2101914](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip)/[R2-2101561](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101561.zip), for the legacy problematic UE (the UE that only supports single UL transmission for a BC, but doesn’t report *singleUL-Transmission* for that BC), the network ignore the BC or ignore the single UL transmission requirement in the BC.

**Q3: Do companies generally agree that “For the legacy problematic UE (the UE that only supports single UL transmission for a BC, but doesn’t report *singleUL-Transmission* for that BC), the network ignore the BC or ignore the single UL transmission requirement in the BC”?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree?****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated |  | (Not sure how we can respond by Yes or No to the question above.)It looks unsafe to just ignore the single UL transmission limitation that the UE may have. Safest approach would be to consider such band combination is invalid. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q3-a: If say yes to the Q2, do companies generally agree to add a related note (e.g. Note in the** [R2-2101913](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip)/[R2-2101914](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip)) **to the field description of *singleUL-Transmission?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree?****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The third question is for the field description of *tdm-Pattern*, as clarified in the [R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip), *“When RAN2 discussed the Rel-15 SUO, the support of TDM pattern was coupled to the SUO capability since it was necessary for UE to support such operation. However, there was still the option for network to not use the TDM pattern but rely on scheduling to resolve the single UL operation. Therefore, for these new cases where single UL is required, it seems not necessary to require UE to always support the TDM pattern”*, some modification was also added for the *tdm-Pattern in* [R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip)*.*

**Q3: Do companies generally agree with the modification for the *tdm-Pattern* in the** [R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip) **?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree?****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | No | Change to singleUL-Transmission is sufficient because the inclusion of tdm-Pattern is already conditioned on singleUL-Transmission. Also, UE “allowing” something via UE capability signalling is also a bit strange. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The forth question is about the BC reporting, as clarified in the [R2-2101561](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101561.zip), the BCs that have different *singleUL-Transmission* capabilities shall be reported in different BCs.

|  |
| --- |
| **Observation 1: The BCs that with different UL band component shall not be reported in a super BC if the corresponding super BC are not defined in RAN4.** **Proposal 1: The BCs that have different *singleUL-Transmission* capabilities shall be reported in different BCs.** |

**Q4: Do companies generally agree that “the BCs that have different *singleUL-Transmission* capabilities shall be reported in different BCs”?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree?****(Yes or No)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | Yes | We understand this is for band combinations where single UL and simultaneous transmissions are supported. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Based on the above questions, we want to collect companies’ views on which CRs can be chosen as the baseline CR.

Option 1: [R2-2100961](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)/[R2-2100962](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip)

Option 2: [R2-2101913](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip)/[R2-2101914](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip)

**Q5: Which CRs can be chosen as the baseline CR?**

**Option 1:** [**R2-2100961**](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100961.zip)**/**[**R2-2100962**](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2100962.zip)

**Option 2:** [**R2-2101913**](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101913.zip)**/**[**R2-2101914**](file:///D%3A/Documents/3GPP/tsg_ran/WG2/RAN2/2101_R2_113e/Docs/R2-2101914.zip)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option?****(1or 2)** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm Incorporated | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Part 2: Intended to progress discussion on agreeable parts

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -

# 3 Conclusion

- To be updated after discussion on part 1 -
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