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1. Introduction

Rel-16 enhancement on MIMO WID includes objectives of enhancing multi-TRP/Panel transmission with ideal and non-ideal backhaul. During the work of rel-16, designs for multiple-PDCCH based and single-PDCCH based multi-TRP/Panel transmission were discussed and specified. This document provides the discussion for Issue #b-10 in multi-TRP email thread 3:

* Issue #b-10 to correct Description on QCL of DMRS ports of M-TRP PDSCH in 38.211

# Issue#b-10: Description on QCL of DMRS ports of M-TRP PDSCH in 38.211

**Reason for changes**:

In current specification TS 38.211, we have the following description on DMRS in Section 7.4.1.1.2:

|  |
| --- |
| In absence of CSI-RS configuration, and unless otherwise configured, the UE may assume PDSCH DM-RS and SS/PBCH block to be quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and, when applicable, spatial Rx parameters. The UE may assume that the PDSCH DM-RS within the same CDM group are quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and spatial Rx. The UE may assume that DMRS ports associated with a PDSCH are QCL with QCL Type A, Type D (when applicable) and average gain. |

That description can only apply to UE when a PDSCH associated with one TCI-state, for instance, single TRP transmission in rel-15. In contrast such restriction in the description does not apply some multi-TRP transmission. Contributions [4] and [12] discussed this issue and proposed TP to correct.

[4] suggests that the QCL assumption of DMRS ports is mainly described in TS 38.214 for both single and multiple TCI states. Thus [4] suggests to remove that sentence in TS 38.211. Furthermore [4] proposes an editorial change that adds the phrase “when applicable”. The TP proposed by [4] is listed as Alt1 below.

[12] suggests also that highlighted description does not apply to single-DCI based multi-TRP transmission when two TCI states are indicated for different DMRS ports in one PDSCH. [4] suggests to add a condition in the description and [4] does not prefer to delete that sentence for backward compatibility to Rel15. The TP proposed by [12] is listed as Alt 2 below.

**Thus, for this issue, we have the following two alternative TPs:**

* **Alt1: TP proposed by [4] for TS 38.211**

|  |
| --- |
| ---------------------------------------Start of text proposal for 7.4.1.1.2 of TS 38.214 --------------------------------------7.4.1.1.2 Mapping to physical resources<Unchanged parts are omitted>In absence of CSI-RS configuration, and unless otherwise configured, the UE may assume PDSCH DM-RS and SS/PBCH block to be quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and, when applicable, spatial Rx parameters. The UE may assume that the PDSCH DM-RS within the same CDM group are quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and spatial Rx(when applicable). The UE may assume that no DM-RS collides with the SS/PBCH block.------------------------------------------------------- End of text proposal ------------------------------------------------------ |

* **Alt2: TP proposed by [12] for TS 38.211:**

|  |
| --- |
| 7.4.1.1.2 Mapping to physical resources<Unchanged parts are omitted>In absence of CSI-RS configuration, and unless otherwise configured, the UE may assume PDSCH DM-RS and SS/PBCH block to be quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and, when applicable, spatial Rx parameters. The UE may assume that the PDSCH DM-RS within the same CDM group are quasi co-located with respect to Doppler shift, Doppler spread, average delay, delay spread, and spatial Rx. Except for a PDSCH associated with two TCI states, the UE may assume that DMRS ports associated with a PDSCH are QCL with QCL Type A, Type D (when applicable) and average gain.The UE may assume that no DM-RS collides with the SS/PBCH block. |

 Please input your views and comments on these two alternatives:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views and comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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