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# Introduction

The summary presents one presented issue regarding Group WUS for NB-IoT. Two contributions have been presented, [1],[2], addressing the alignment of the *commonSequence* between TS 36.211 and TS 36.331.

# Discussion

## Alignment of non-group WUS between specifications

In [3], the common WUS sequence is determined as follows:

|  |
| --- |
| 10.2.6B.1 Sequence generation  …  For a UE not configured with group NWUS, . For a UE configured with group NWUS, for , where is determined by the UE group to which the UE is associated as determined by higher layers [10]. The common NWUS sequence shall be determined by unless the resource is shared with non-group NWUS and common NWUS is configured to be non-group NWUS in which case . |

The present GWUS IE from the most recent running CR [3] is presented below:

|  |
| --- |
| ***GWUS-Config-NB information element***  -- ASN1START  GWUS-Config-NB-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  …  commonSequence-r16 ENUMERATED {g0, g126} OPTIONAL, -- Need OR  … |

It is identified in [1] that “*the terminology is not aligned, i.e., TS 36.211 uses “non-group NWUS”, and TS 36.331 uses “g0*”” whereas [2] identifies that “*since RAN2 changed the previous terminology, there is no misalignment issue between specifications.*”

Based on the above, there are two different proposals on how to proceed:

**Alt. 1:**Agree to the following TP:

|  |
| --- |
| 10.2.6B.1 Sequence generation  …  For a UE not configured with group NWUS, . For a UE configured with group NWUS, for , where is determined by the UE group to which the UE is associated as determined by higher layers [10]. In resource that is not shared with non-group NWUS, the common NWUS sequence shall be determined by. In resource that is shared with non-group NWUS, the common NWUS sequence is determined by higher layers [9]. |

**Alt. 2:**

Maintain existing description of common NWUS sequence in TS 36.211.

## Companies’ preparatory comments

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Does the above inconsistency need discussion in RAN1?** | **Comments** |
| Huawei/HiSilicon | Yes | Support Alt1. As explained in our Tdoc, the current spec has the following problems:   * The terminology is not aligned, i.e., TS 36.211 uses “non-group NWUS”, and TS 36.331 uses “g0”. * And it seems the field description in TS 36.331, i.e., “value *g126* indicates common WUS sequence for the shared WUS resource is g=126” is duplicated with TS 36.211. According to current TS 36.211, if the configured value is not “g0”, the common WUS sequence will be g=126.   Alt1 can solve the issues above, and can avoid referencing HL parameter name directly.  Ok to have a joint discussion with eMTC. |
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