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| **#** | **Issue (summary of CR proposal)** | **Company inputs (if any)** |
| 1  | R1-2205763: Draft CR (Huawei, HiSilicon)R1-2205928: Draft CR (ZTE)R1-2206452: Draft CR (NEC)**Brief Description**: SS set linking clarification; The description for two linked search space sets in TS38.213 is not aligned with RRC configuration TS38.331Moderator’s initial assessment: Discuss in RAN1 #110. |  |
| 2 | R1-2206455: Draft CR (NEC)R1-2206456: Discussion paper (NEC)**Brief Description**: TPC application window for PDCCH repetition.Moderator’s initial assessment: It seems unnecessary as TPC application window is 38.213 Sections 7.1.1, 7.2.1, and 7.3.1 mentions “after a last symbol of a corresponding PDCCH reception” and the beginning of Section 7 mentions “the end of the PDCCH reception is the end of the PDCCH candidate that ends later”  |  |
| 3 | R1-2206457: Draft CR (NEC)R1-2206458: Discussion paper (NEC**Brief Description**: DAI value for individual PDCCH candidate overlapping with one of PDCCH repetitions.Moderator’s initial assessment: It seems unnecessary as 38.213 already captures that interpretation of detected DCI (in case of linked candidate overlaps with individual candidate) is based on linked candidate. This is the case for DAI/PRI/timeline and all the other Rel-17 PDCCH repetition rules. |  |
| 4 | R1-2206724: Draft CR (vivo)**Brief Description**: If only one of two linked SS sets is monitored due to searchspace set group switching, no PDCCH repetition should be assumed.Moderator’s initial assessment: Discuss in RAN1 #110. |  |
| 5 | R1-2206725: Discussion paper (vivo)**Brief Description**: Proposal: UE determines whether there is ambiguity between AL8 and AL16 among the PDCCH candidates regardless of PDCCH dropping rule.Moderator’s initial assessment: It seems unnecessary as the 38.213 already captures that interpretation is based on Rel-17 PDCCH repetition rules.  |  |