Companies are to share their inputs on the excel spreadsheet in /tsg\_ran/WG1\_RL1/TSGR1\_106b-e/Inbox/drafts/8.1.2.1/DL/RRC parameters/ herein.

## Inputs on version 00

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

Table 1 Inputs: Initial version

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| ZTE | * One new RRC is needed to enable determination of two QCL-TypeD properties for multiple overlapping CORESETs * One new RRC may be needed if UE can report more than 1 values of BD = 2, 3 or {2,3} |
| QC | We are ok with ZTE’s suggestion on two QCL-TypeD properties.  For number of BDs, this is still under discussion and is not agreed yet. |
| vivo | For the first bullet point from ZTE, separate RRC parameter may not be needed, there could be other ways to determine for those UEs supporting this capability, for example by whether FDMed SS is configured.  Second bullet point is still under discussion. |
| FL | ZTE’s suggestion on introducing a RRC parameter for the new behavior on two QCL-TypeD is considered in the latest update, which is more straightforward than implicit ways.  @vivo: Note that this parameter is applicable also to intra-band CA. With implicit way, it seems not straightforward to identify whether UE should follow the new behavior or not. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Inputs on version xx

Please share your inputs, if any, in the following table

....