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# **Introduction**

In this contribution, we summarize all issues discussed on beam management and timings associated with beam-based operation for new SCSs to support NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz in RAN#104-e.

# **Summary of Views on a Basis of Beam-based Operation**

The following are observations/proposals related to a basis for beam management for NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.

* Support Rel-15/16 as a basis
  + From [ZTE/Sanechips, 3]:
    - Rel-15/16 NR specifications have enough flexibility to support beam switching for non-SSB channels/signals with new SCS 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
    - Beam management in Rel-15/16 NR could be used as the basis for specifying NR operation above 52.6 GHz.
  + From [Huawei/HiSi, 5]:
    - At least the beam management procedure defined in Rel-15/16 can be the baseline for operation in 52.6GHz to 71GHz.
  + From [vivo, 8]:
    - Support beam management of R15/16 as a basis in the discussion of B52.6G and the specification of beam management in Rel-17 MIMO WI should support NR operation from 52.6-71GHz.
  + From [Intel, 9]:
    - Support both Rel-15/16 and Rel-17 beam management frameworks for NR extension up to 71 GHz.
  + From [InterDigital, 10]:
    - In Rel-17 beam management, various aspects are still FFS or not discussed yet.
    - Given the situation, other sub-agendas in FeMIMO topic (e.g., beam management for multi-TRP) are assuming Rel-15/16 beam management as a baseline.
    - Rel-15/16 beam management should be considered as beam management scheme for the extension of NR for 52.6 – 71 GHz.
  + From [Samsung, 14]:
    - Prefer using Rel-17 beam management as basis for NR 52.6 to 71 GHz.
      * Can support Rel-15/16 beam management in addition if time allows.
  + From [NTT Docomo, 19]:
    - Rel-15/R16 beam management should be considered as the baseline for discussing potential enhancement on beam-based operation in 52.6 – 71 GHz.
* Support Rel-17 as a basis
  + From [Futurewei, 1]:
    - Beam management of NR\_ext\_to\_71GHz should use R17 based beam management as a basis.
  + From [Intel, 9]:
    - Support both Rel-15/16 and Rel-17 beam management frameworks for NR extension up to 71 GHz.
  + From [Xiaomi, 13]:
    - Beam management in Rel17 should be used as a basis in NR-U-60-LBT.
  + From [Samsung, 14]:
    - Prefer using Rel-17 beam management as basis for NR 52.6 to 71 GHz.
      * Can support Rel-15/16 beam management in addition if time allows.

**Discussion 1:**

Based on the above observations/proposals, summary of views on a basis of beam-based operation is provided in Table 1.

**Table 1** Summary of views on a basis of beam-based operation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 1 | Basis of beam-based operation for NR 52.6 – 71GHz | Rel-15/16   * ZTE/Sanechips, Huawei/HiSilicon, vivo, Intel, IDCC, Samsung (if time allows), NTT Docomo   Rel-17   * Futurewei, Intel, Xiaomi, Samsung |

**Observation 1**:

It is observed that majority of companies are supporting Rel-15/16 while four companies are supporting Rel-17. As MIMO delegates are currently developing Rel-17 features, from the moderator point of view, it would be better to assume Rel-15/16 as a basis and discuss further on applying Rel-17 features for NR in 52.6-71GHz. Companies supporting Rel-17 are requested to share the plans on how to support Rel-17 features for NR in 52.6-71GHz.

**Proposal 1**:

For NR operation in 52.6-71 GHz, Rel-15/16 beam management is assumed as a basis.

**Table 2** Additional inputs: issue 1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | Not support Proposal 1. As discussed in our contribution R1-2100052, due to the higher SCS and narrower beamwidth in 52.6-71 GHz, beam management enhancements similar to those developed in Rel-17 are required if using Rel-15/16 as basis, repeating/overlapping the work currently undergoing in FeMIMO WID. |
| Qualcomm | We are fine to have R15/16 as baseline. |
| vivo | Support proposal 1. |

# **Summary of Views on Supported Timings Associated with Beam-based Operation**

The following are observations/proposals related to timings associated with beam-based operation for NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.

* General observations/proposals on supported timings associated with beam-based operation
  + From [Futurewei, 1]:
    - NR\_ext\_to\_71GHz supports introducing new values for multi-beam operation related timing parameters associated with new SCS (i.e., 480kHz and/or 960kHz).
* Support of Rel-15/16 timings
  + From [ZTE/Sanechips, 3]:
    - The time for applying a new beam after receiving PDCCH with BFR response for the new supported SCS 480 kHz / 960 kHz may need to be re-considered.
    - The value of shortest periodicity for the physical layer to inform whether beam failure occurs should be re-considered for NR operation above 52.6 GHz.
    - For determining PDSCH QCL assumption, the value of timeDurationForQCL for the new supported SCS 480 kHz / 960 kHz needs to be re-considered.
    - The value of beamReportTiming, beamSwitchTiming and beamSwitchTiming-r16 for the new supported SCS 480/960 kHz needs to be re-considered.
  + From [OPPO, 4]:
    - Adopt the following beam switch time for 120kHz, 480kHz and 960kHz. FFS for panel activation timing.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SCS | Beam switch time (symbol) |
| 120kHz | 14,28,48 |
| 480kHz | 56, 98, 154 |
| 960kHz | 56, 98, 154 |

* + - Adopt the following time duration QCL for 120kHz, 480kHz and 960kHz.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SCS | Time duration QCL (symbol) |
| 120kHz | 14,28,48 |
| 480kHz | 56, 98, 154 |
| 960kHz | 56, 98, 154 |

* + - Adopt the following beam report timing for 120kHz, 480kHz and 960kHz.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| SCS | Beam report timing (symbol) |
| 120kHz | 14,28,56 |
| 480kHz | 56, 98, 154 |
| 960kHz | 98, 154, 224 |

* + From [Huawei/HiSi, 5]:
    - For 480 kHz SCS (960 kHz SCS), the supported values of “beamSwitchTiming”, “beamReportTiming” and “timeDurationForQCL” are obtained by multiplying a factor of four (eight) to their corresponding values for 120 kHz SCS.
  + From [Nokia/NSB, 6]:
    - Define parameter values (UE capabilities) for the timeDurationForQCL for 480 and 960 kHz.
    - Define parameter values (UE capabilities) for the beamSwitchTiming for the A-CSI-RS triggering for 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCS.
    - Assuming the same absolute times for timeDurationForQCL with 480 and 960 kHz SCSs the corresponding values would be:
      * 56 symbols (4 slots) or 112 symbols (8 slots) with 480 kHz SCS
      * 112 symbols (8 slots) or 224 symbols (16 slots) with 960 kHz SCS
  + From [CATT, 7]:
    - The beam management framework should be reused for NR operation in 52.6-71 GHz.
    - The number of symbols for the timeDurationForQCL parameter for 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCS should increase in proportion comparing to that of reference lower SCS, e.g., 120 kHz SCS.
  + From [Intel, 9]:
    - Support both Rel-15/16 and Rel-17 beam management frameworks for NR extension up to 71 GHz.
    - Identify all Rel-15/16 beam management parameters related to timing and extend their definitions with SCS values of 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
    - Modify relevant RRC parameters to account UE capabilities for beam management with updated values corresponding to SCS 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
  + From [IDCC, 10]:
    - Rel-17 beam management may provide better signaling efficiency and shorter latency not only for FR2 but also for 52.6 – 71GHz, if applicable.
    - If applicable, timing and timeline associated with Rel-17 beam management should be defined for 52.6 – 71 GHz as well as timing and timeline associated with Rel-15/16 beam management.
  + From [Sony, 11]:
    - RAN1 evaluates and extends (if necessary) the UE capability of timeDurationForQCL, beamSwitchTiming and beamReportTiming from SCS 120kHz at FR2 to SCS 480kHz and SCS 960kHz for 52.6GHz to 71GHz frequency band.
  + From [LGE, 12]:
    - Define new values for timeDurationForQCL corresponding to 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCSs.
    - Define new values for beamSwitchTiming corresponding to 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCSs and define corresponding UE behaviour to determine QCL assumption for triggered aperiodic CSI-RS.
    - Define new values for additional beam switching time delay d corresponding to 120 kHz and 480 kHz SCSs of triggering DCI.
  + From [Xiaomi, 13]:
    - UE needs to provide the beamSwitchTiming values corresponding to new SCSs.
    - For these new SCSs, UE should report the corresponding beamReportTiming values to the network.
    - The timeDurationForQCL values should be update to support these new SCSs introduced in NR-U-60-LBT.
  + From [Ericsson, 15]:
    - To support 480 and 960 kHz SCS, RAN1 needs to discuss appropriate values for the UE capabilities on PDCCH-to-PDSCH timing (timeDurationForQCL) and PDCCH-to-CSI-RS timing (beamSwitchTiming) that determine the spatial QCL assumption to be used for reception of PDSCH and ap-CSI-RS, respectively.
    - To support 480 and 960 kHz, RAN1 needs to discuss whether or not the triggering offset for an aperiodic CSI-RS resource set (aperiodicTriggeringOffset) needs to be extended above the current maximum value of 31 slots.
    - The CSI computation delay requirements Z3 and Z3' depend on the value indicated by the UE capability parameter beamReportTiming. All CSI computation delay requirements Z1, Z1', Z2, Z2', Z3, and Z3' should be discussed together.
    - Beam management features available up to Rel-16 as well as enhancements introduced in the Rel-17 feMIMO WI can be used for the 52.6 – 71 GHz band if beneficial for a particular deployment.
    - To allow efficient configuration of reference signal resource sets for beam management for 480/960 kHz SCS, RAN1 should further discuss the introduction of some form of UE capability signalling that can provide the network with knowledge related to the UE beam switch time (on the order of 10s of ns, rather than 10s of symbols).
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - UE capability on beam switch related scheduling offset should be specified per new SCS, including timeDurationForQCL and beamSwitchTiming.
    - UE capability on beam switch execution latency should be introduced per new SCS for required time gap between previous and new beams.
    - UE capability on beam switch count should be specified per new SCS.
    - UE capability on minimum beam dwelling time should be introduced per new SCS.
* Support of Rel-17 timings
  + From [Huawei/HiSi, 5]:
    - Support for the beam management enhancements specified in Rel-17 MIMO WI should be considered in 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz at later stages of Rel-17 WI when these enhancements are stable.
  + From [Intel, 9]:
    - Support both Rel-15/16 and Rel-17 beam management frameworks for NR extension up to 71 GHz.
    - Identify all Rel-15/16 beam management parameters related to timing and extend their definitions with SCS values of 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
    - Modify relevant RRC parameters to account UE capabilities for beam management with updated values corresponding to SCS 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
  + From [IDCC, 10]:
    - Rel-17 beam management may provide better signaling efficiency and shorter latency not only for FR2 but also for 52.6 – 71GHz, if applicable.
    - If applicable, timing and timeline associated with Rel-17 beam management should be defined for 52.6 – 71 GHz as well as timing and timeline associated with Rel-15/16 beam management.
* Introduction of beam switching time between signals/channels
  + From [Lenovo/MotM, 2]:
    - For supporting NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz in Rel. 17, if higher subcarrier spacings (numerologies) are adopted for initial access, beam switching issue would appear between the contiguous transmissions (such as SSB beams) since the CP length would not be enough for beam switching, and an extra gap might be needed to prevent performance degradation.
    - For supporting NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz in Rel. 17, if higher subcarrier spacings (numerologies) are adopted for SSB, then to allow the beam switching between contiguous SSBs, a gap (for example a symbol gap or post prefix) should be supported before beam switching.
  + From [ZTE/Sanechips, 3]:
    - The following options can be considered for supporting beam switching for SSB with SCS 480 kHz and 960 kHz.
      * Option 1: In a half-frame, any two candidate SSBs are discontinuous in the time domain
        + Option 1-1: SSB pattern with SCS 480/960 kHz can adopt the existing pattern of Case A and Case C in one or two slots defined in Rel-15 NR
        + Option 1-2: SSB pattern with SCS 480/960 kHz should be re-designed to reserve at least one symbol between any two candidate SSBs, e.g. only defining one candidate SSB per slot
      * Option 2: Multiple adjacent candidate SSBs are defined to have a same SSB index or QCL assumption
  + From [CATT, 7]:
    - Beam switching gap would be sufficient with gNB implementation solution.
  + From [vivo, 8]:
    - Further study the spec impacts of beam switching time on beam measurement and data transmission for B52.6 operation.
    - Timeline of a-CSI RS triggering should be further enhanced for 52.6GHz.
  + From [LGE, 12]:
    - At least for 960 kHz SCS, discuss how to handle beam switching delay between DL/UL signals/channels.
  + From [Samsung, 14]:
    - Reserve one symbol for beam switching gap when using 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCSs.
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - Clarify beam switch definition/scenario. Time gap should be inserted to where beam switch happens with duration satisfying UE capability.

**Discussion 2:**

Based on the above observations/proposals, summary of views on supported timings associated with beam-based operation is provided in Table 3.

**Table 3** Summary of views on supported timings associated with beam-based operation for new SCSs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 2.1 | Supported release timings associated with beam-based operation | Rel-15/16   * timeDurationForQCL   + ZTE/Sanechip, Oppo, Huawei/HiSi, Nokia/NSB, CATT, Sony, LGE, Xiaomi, Ericsson (in ns), Qualcomm, IDCC * beamSwitchTiming and/or beamSwitchTiming-r16   + ZTE/Sanechip, Oppo, Huawei/HiSi, Nokia/NSB, Sony, LGE, Xiaomi, Ericsson (in ns), Qualcomm, IDCC * beamReportTiming   + ZTE/Sanechip, Oppo, Huawei/HiSi, Sony, Xiaomi, Ericsson (in ns), IDCC * Additional beam switching time delay d   + Vivo, LGE * Extension of aperiodicTriggering offset   + Ericsson   Rel-17   * Futurewei, Samsung |
| 2.2 | New timing definition for 52.6 – 71GHz | Beam switching time between signals/channels   * **Yes:** Lenovo/MotM, ZTE/Sanechip, vivo, LGE, Samsung, NTT DOCOMO * **No:** CATT |

**Observation 2**:

It is observed that majority of companies are supporting defining timeDurationForQCL, beamSwitchTiming and beamSwitchTiming-r16 and beamReportTiming. Other timing parameters such as additional beam switching time delay d and aperiodicTriggering offset were proposed by some companies. Companies are encouraged to share views on other parameters. In addition, companies supporting Rel-17 timing parameters are requested to share the plans on how to define Rel-17 features for NR in 52.6-71GHz.

**Proposal 2**:

For NR operation in 52.6-71GHz with new SCSs,

* Following Rel-15/16 timing parameters are defined:
  + timeDurationForQCL
  + beamSwitchTiming and beamSwitchTiming-r16
  + beamReportTiming
  + FFS: other Rel-15/16 timing parameters
  + FFS: order of the timing parameters (e.g., 10s of ns or 10s of symbols)
* Introduce a beam switching time between signals/channels
* Companies are encouraged to provide preferred values on timeDurationForQCL, beamSwitchTiming, beamSwitchTiming-r16 and beamReportTiming in RAN1#104bis-e

**Table 4** Additional inputs: issue 2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | There are currently discussions in FeMIMO WID on beam management related timing issues, which is highly related to this topic. Discussion/coordination with the FeMIMO WID are needed. |
| Qualcomm | The following R15/16 UE capability on beam switch count should be applied to higher band, since the beam switch could happen more frequently for higher SCS. Another related issue is the definition of beam switch, which is not well clarified in R15. This is more critical for higher band, since gNB and UE may have significant misalignment on where the time gap for beam switch should be reserved. The corresponding new bullet is added to Proposal 2 as below.  ***maxNumberRxTxBeamSwitchDL***  Defines the number of Tx and Rx beam changes UE can perform on this band within a slot. UE shall report one value per each subcarrier spacing supported by the UE. In this release, the number of Tx and Rx beam changes for scs-15kHz and scs-30kHz are not included.  **Proposal 2**:  For NR operation in 52.6-71GHz with new SCSs,   * Following Rel-15/16 timing parameters are defined:   + timeDurationForQCL   + beamSwitchTiming and beamSwitchTiming-r16   + beamReportTiming   + FFS: other Rel-15/16 timing parameters   + FFS: order of the timing parameters (e.g., 10s of ns or 10s of symbols) * Introduce a beam switching time between signals/channels * Following Rel-15/16 beam switch count parameter is defined:   + maxNumberRxTxBeamSwitchDL   + FFS: Clarify the beam switch definition (e.g. whether beam switch is counted across SSBs, CSI-RS resources with Repetition ON, DL/UL channel switch, etc.) * Companies are encouraged to provide preferred values on timeDurationForQCL, beamSwitchTiming, maxNumberRxTxBeamSwitchDL, beamSwitchTiming-r16 and beamReportTiming in RAN1#104bis-e |
| vivo | Our understanding of this proposal is to reuse existing Rel-15/16 timing parameter definitions with possible new values for 5.26 to 71 GHz with new SCSs. If that’s the case, suggest rewording “defined” for clarity. |

# **Summary of Views on Supporting Multiple Beams for Multiple PDSCHs**

The following are observations/proposals related to support multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs for NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.

* Support multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs
  + From [Lenovo/MotM, 2]:
    - For NR operation between 52.6 GHz and 71 GHz with high subcarrier spacing values such as 480kHz and 960kHz, specify enhancements to support multiple beams (multiple TCI states with QCL type-D assumption) indication via single DCI and corresponding applicability/duration of each beam within the scheduled duration.
  + From [CATT, 7]:
    - If single DCI scheduled multi-PUSCH/PDSCH is supported, multiple beam indications of PDSCH with different TCI states need to be investigated.
  + From [Samsung, 14]:
    - Further investigate the overhead for supporting multi-beam indication for multi-PDSCH/PUSCH scheduled by a single DCI.
  + From [Convida, 17]:
    - TCI state indication methods for single DCI scheduling multiple PDSCHs should be studied for NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz.
* Support single beam for multiple PDSCHs
  + From [Huawei/HiSi, 5]:
    - For 480 kHz and 960 kHz SCS, UE is not expected to receive downlink data or control channel or reference signals with different QCL-D properties on adjacent symbols within a slot.
  + From [Nokia/NSB, 6]:
    - If some of PDSCHs in multi-PDSCH scheduling are allocated with scheduling offset less than timeDurationForQCL the UE would have different QCL assumptions for the PDSCHs allocated with scheduling offset than timeDurationForQCL and for the PDSCH allocated with scheduling offset equal to and greater than timeDurationForQCL.
    - Consider single QCL assumption for the multi-PDSCH transmission in case of some of the PDSCHs are having lower scheduling offset than timeDurationForQCL.
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - Support default PDSCH beam invariant across slots to facilitate cross-slot combining for new SCSs.

**Discussion 3:**

Based on the above observations/proposals, summary of views on supporting multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs is provided in Table 5.

**Table 5** Summary of views on supporting multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 3 | Whether to support multiple TCI states for multiple PDSCHs | Number of beams for multiple PDSCHs   * **Single beam:** Nokia/NSB, Qualcomm, Huawei/HiSi * **Multiple beams:** Lenovo/MotM, CATT, Samsung, Convida |

**Observation 3:**

No clear majority was observed. Companies are requested to share their views on whether and how to support multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs.

**Proposal 3**:

Further study supporting multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs scheduled by a single DCI.

**Table 6** Additional inputs: issue 3

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | Considering the required UE’s capability to switch receiving beam within short time duration, it seems it is not necessary to support multiple beams for multiple PDSCHs scheduled by a single DCI. |
| Qualcomm | We are fine for Proposal 3 as start point.  To clarify, our proposal of single beam is for PDSCHs with scheduling offset less than timeForQCLDuration, i.e. fixed default PDSCH beam.  This provides the option to use an optimized default beam to maximize PDSCH SNR across slots when PDSCHs are scheduled with scheduling offset less than timeForQCLDuration for lower latency. |
| vivo | OK to FFS. |

# **Summary of Views on Supporting Beam Management for Unlicensed Band**

The following are observations/proposals related to supporting beam management for NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.

* Support enhancements on periodic RS transmissions to deal with LBT failure
  + From [Lenovo/MotM, 2]:
    - For NR operation in unlicensed bands between 52.6 GHz and 71 GHz, then following potential enhancements related to periodic transmissions of RS such as P-TRS should be specified to deal with LBT failure:
      * Termination of periodic RS transmission on beams where consecutive LBT failures are encountered
      * Dynamic switching of the QCL assumption (beams) for periodic RS transmission where consecutive LBT failures are encountered
  + From [Nokia/NSB, 6]:
    - For P-TRS transmissions in the cell, it would be beneficial to have a mechanism to be able to transmit P-TRSs dropped due to LBT failure.
    - Consider solutions to provide robustness for TRS transmission due to LBT failures, for instance:
      * A beam specific (SSB specific) aperiodic TRS transmission that could be triggered for one or multiple UEs at a time to “patch” non-transmitted P-TRS using certain beam (certain SSB as QCL-TypeD source)
      * Multiple transmission opportunities for the P-TRS within a time period
    - In case of directional LBT (if applied), consider impacts on beam management in the COT, e.g.
      * impact on validity of the configured DL RSs for L1-RSRP measurement and reporting and
      * impact on beam switching application time within the COT (e.g. the case when the new beam is or is not QCLed with the LBT beam of the COT).
  + From [LGE, 12]:
    - The following aspects can be considered to enhance beam management operation when channel access scheme is used for unlicensed spectrum.
      * How to provide more opportunities of CSI-RS or SRS transmission considering LBT failure
      * How to enhance beam failure procedure considering not transmitted BFD-RS due to LBT failure
  + From [Samsung, 14]:
    - Support multi-slot aperiodic CSI-RS/SRS scheduled by a single DCI for beam management in 60 GHz unlicensed band.
    - Further investigate the issue on the uncertainty of RS transmission due to LBT for 60 GHz unlicensed band.
  + From [Apple, 16]:
    - Support triggering multiple A-CSI-RS transmissions on a same CC by a single DCI and a single beam measurement report to reduce the UL signalling overhead.
  + From [Convida, 17]:
    - Introduction of TRS/CSI-RS in idle/inactive state UE in Rel-17 should be studied for beam management during initial access for NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz.
    - Enhancement of beam operation for unlicensed bands should be investigated to mitigate interference and optimize system performance due to hidden node for NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz.
* Handling by gNB implementation without specification impact
  + From [CATT, 7]:
    - When UE detects the miss-transmission of periodic CSI-RS for beam management due to LBT failure, gNB could transmit aperiodic CSI-RS and indicate to the UE as the alternative measurement.
    - Aperiodic CSI-RS could be used as the alternative solution of missed opportunity of periodic CSI-RS transmission due to LBT failure without specification change.

**Discussion 4:**

Based on the above observations/proposals, summary of views on supporting beam management in unlicensed band in Table 7.

**Table 7** Summary of views on supporting beam management in unlicensed band

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 4 | Whether to enhance periodic RS transmissions to deal with LBT failure | Support enhancement on periodic RS transmissions to deal with LBT failure   * **Yes:** Lenovo/MotM, Nokia/NSB, CATT, LGE, Samsung, Apple, Convida * **No:** CATT   Alternatives if supported   * Termination of periodic RS transmission   + Lenovo/MotM * Dynamic switching of QCL assumption of periodic RS transmission   + Lenovo/MotM * Aperiodic TRS to patch a non-transmitted P-TRS   + Nokia/NSB, * Multiple transmission opportunities for TRS, CSI-RS and/or SRS   + Nokia/NSB, LGE * Multi-slot RS transmission by a single DCI   + Samsung, Apple |

**Observation 4:**

No clear majority was observed. Companies are requested to share their views on whether and how to enhance periodic RS transmissions to deal with LBT failure.

**Proposal 4**:

Further study supporting enhancements on periodic RS transmission to deal with LBT failure.

**Table 8** Additional inputs: issue 4

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s Proposal 4. |
| Qualcomm | We are fine for Proposal 4 as starting point. |
| vivo | Fine to FFS. |

# **Summary of Views on Supporting Beam Failure Recovery**

* Timing enhancement
  + From [ZTE/Sanechips, 3]:
    - The time for applying a new beam after receiving PDCCH with BFR response for the new supported SCS 480 kHz / 960 kHz may need to be re-considered.
    - The value of shortest periodicity for the physical layer to inform whether beam failure occurs should be re-considered for NR operation above 52.6 GHz.
    - Study and evaluate the impact of LBT and the limitation of COT length on the procedure of beam failure detection.
* Monitoring/candidate RS
  + From [OPPO, 4]:
    - Holding the discussion on AP-CSI-RS for BFR/BFD until the LBT procedure has been made clear in agenda item 8.2.6.
  + From [Huawei/HiSi, 5]:
    - In order to mitigate the impact of LBT failure in BFD procedure, support transmitting complementary aperiodic CSI-RS when LBT failure occurs on periodic BFD-RS.
  + From [Sony, 11]:
    - Support aperiodic CSI-RS for beam failure detection (BFD) and candidate beam determination (CBD) at least for unlicensed band operation.
  + From [LGE, 12]:
    - The following aspects can be considered to enhance beam management operation when channel access scheme is used for unlicensed spectrum.
      * How to provide more opportunities of CSI-RS or SRS transmission considering LBT failure
      * How to enhance beam failure procedure considering not transmitted BFD-RS due to LBT failure
  + From [Xiaomi, 13]:
    - BFR procedure deeply relies on periodic CSI-RSs.
    - Study whether the BFR based on aperiodic CSI-RSs should be supported.
    - The BFR procedure based on semi-persistent CSI-RSs may need to be supported in NR-U-60-LBT.
  + From [NTT Docomo, 19]:
    - Beam failure detection/recovery procedure in NR 52.6-71GHz can consider following enhancements,
      * FFS whether to increase the number of candidate beams included in set
      * FFS whether to introduce a larger time gap to apply new beam configuration after receiving BFR response from gNB
      * FFS monitoring aperiodic RS transmissions for beam failure detection
* Partial BFR
  + From [IDCC, 10]:
    - Due to the narrower beamwidth in 52.6 – 71 GHz, UE may not successfully recover dynamic blockage based on the existing BFR operation.
    - Enhanced BFR operation to provide better reliability and efficiency should be considered for higher frequencies.
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - Support partial BFR for single TRP.

**Discussion 5:**

Based on the above observations/proposals, summary of views on supporting beam failure recovery in Table 9.

**Table 9** Summary of views on supporting beam failure recovery

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Issue** | **Companies’ views** |
| 5.1 | Supporting efficient RS transmission/monitoring/selection for beam failure recovery | * **Yes:** Huawei/HiSi (AP-CSI-RS), Sony (AP-CSI-RS), IDCC, Xiaomi (SP-CSI-RS) * **No:** * **Hold the discussion until the LBT procedure is clear:** vivo |
| 5.2 | Defining new BFR related timings | * **Yes:** ZTE/Sanechip * **No:** |
| 5.3 | Supporting partial BFR for better reliability | * **Yes:** Qualcomm, IDCC * **No:** |

**Observation 5**:

No clear majority was observed. Companies are requested to share their views on BFR enhancements.

**Proposal 5**:

Further study supporting enhancements on BFR.

**Table 10** Additional inputs: issue 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | Support FL’s Proposal 5. |
| Qualcomm | We are fine for Proposal 5 as starting point. |
| vivo | Fine to FFS. |

# **Summary of Views on Supporting Efficient Beam Management**

The following are observations/proposals related to supporting efficient beam management for NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.

* Handling increased number of beams due to narrower beamwidth
  + From [IDCC, 10]:
    - In order to compensate increased pathloss and maintain cell coverages in 52.6 – 71 GHz, utilization of narrower beam than FR2 is expected.
    - If the existing beam management mechanism is applied with the same number of beams, more frequent RRC reconfiguration and MAC CE signaling are expected.
    - Increased signaling overheads and latencies will lead to inefficient system operation and corresponding performance degradation of NR in 52.6 – 71 GHz.
    - Essential enhancements should be considered for beam management in 52.6 – 71 GHz e.g., increased maximum number of CSI-RS resources and configured/activated TCI states.
  + From [Xiaomi, 13]:
    - Beam management based on periodic reference signals for should be supported in NR-U-60-LBT.
    - The aperiodic CSI-RSs can be directly used to beam measurement if the number of beams is less than 64 in NR-U-6-LBT.
    - To support one CSI-reportConfig associsted with more than one aperiodic CSI-RS set, a new reporting mechanism is needed after the measurement on aperiodic CSI-RS triggered by DCI.
    - Semi-persistent CSI-RSs may be a substitute for periodic reference signals in NR-U-60-LBT.
  + From [Convida, 17]:
    - For NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz, beam management should be studied for the impact of narrower beamwidths on UE in idle/inactive states.
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - Investigate sub-band based beam report.
    - The contents of configured TCI states can be dynamically updated.
    - Support dynamic beam update of periodic channel/RS.
  + From [NTT Docomo, 19]:
    - For beam management in 52.6-71GHz, discuss the following:
      * whether to increase the number of configured CSI-RS resources for beam management.
      * whether to support reporting more than 4 beams for beam reporting in one report instance, if the number of configured CSI-RS resources in a resource set for beam management is increased.
* Beam related enhancements for initial access
  + From [Sony, 11]:
    - Beam alignment during initial access procedure should be considered for NR above 52.6 GHz.
  + From [Qualcomm, 18]:
    - Support UE report of recommended SSB in Msg3/A in initial access.
* Other enhancements
  + From [Apple, 16]:
    - Support dynamic SR polling mechanism for above 52.6GHz frequency to reduce SR latency.

**Discussion 6:**

For supporting efficient beam operation for NR in 52.6-71GHz, further inputs from companies are requested.

**Proposal 6**:

Further study following enhancements for NR in 52.6-71GHz:

* Beam management with increased number of beams
* Beam management for initial access and dynamic SR polling mechanism

**Table 12** Additional inputs: issue 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Input** |
| Futurewei | For supporting efficient beam management, this is clearly overlapping with R17 FeMIMO WID and the very reason to start with R17. Discussion/coordination with the FeMIMO WID are needed. |
| Qualcomm | Suggest to rephrase Proposal 6 as below. Because beam misalignment is a common issue in connected mode and may happen for other channels in addition to SR.  **Proposal 6**:  Further study following enhancements for NR in 52.6-71GHz:   * Beam management with increased number of beams * Beam management to mitigate beam misalignment for initial access and connected mode ~~dynamic SR polling mechanism~~ |
| vivo | Regarding these FFS points in proposal 6, we share the understanding that FeMIMO also discuss these aspects of beam management and like to echo the comment from Futurewei. |

# **References**

1. R1-2100052, “Beam management for shared spectrum access in Beyond 52.6GHz,” FUTUREWEI
2. R1-2100060, “Beam-management enhancements for NR from 52.6 GHz to 71GHz,” Lenovo, Motorola Mobility
3. R1-2100076, “Discussion on the beam management for 52.6 to 71GHz,” ZTE, Sanechips
4. R1-2100152, “Discussion on beam management,” OPPO
5. R1-2100203, “Discussion on the beam management procedures for 52-71GHz band,” Huawei, HiSilicon
6. R1-2100260, “Beam Management Aspects,” Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell
7. R1-2100373, “Beam management for new SCSs for up to 71GHz operation,” CATT
8. R1-2100432, “Discussions on beam management for new SCSs for NR operation from 52.6GHz to 71GHz,” vivo
9. R1-2100646, “Discussion on Beam management aspects for extending NR up to 71 GHz,” Intel Corporation
10. R1-2100839, “Discussions on beam management for new SCSs,” InterDigital, Inc.
11. R1-2100852, “Beam management enhancement for NR from 52.6GHz to 71GHz,” Sony
12. R1-2100895, “Enhancements for beam management to support NR above 52.6 GHz,” LG Electronics
13. R1-2101111, “Discussion on beam management in NR from 52.6 GHz to 71GHz,” Xiaomi
14. R1-2101197, “Beam management for new SCSs for NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz,” Samsung
15. R1-2101309, “Beam Management for New SCSs,” Ericsson
16. R1-2101375, “On beam management for new SCSs,” Apple
17. R1-2101419, “On Beam Management for Supporting NR from 52.6 GHz to 71 GHz,” Convida Wireless
18. R1-2101456, “Beam management for new SCS for NR in 52.6 to 71GHz band,” Qualcomm Incorporated
19. R1-2101608, “Beam based operation for new SCSs for NR from 52.6 to 71 GHz,” NTT DOCOMO, INC..