**3GPP TSG-RAN WG1 Meeting #104-e R1-2xxxxxx**

**E-meeting, January 25 – February 5, 2021**

|  |
| --- |
| *CR-Form-v12.1* |
| **[DRAFT] CHANGE REQUEST** |
|  |
|  | **38.213** | **CR** | **xxxx** | **rev** | **-** | **Current version:** | **16.4.0** |  |
|  |
| *For* [***HE******LP***](http://www.3gpp.org/3G_Specs/CRs.htm#_blank)*on using this form: comprehensive instructions can be found at* [*http://www.3gpp.org/Change-Requests*](http://www.3gpp.org/Change-Requests)*.* |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Proposed change affects:*** | UICC apps |  | ME | **x** | Radio Access Network | **x** | Core Network |  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| ***Title:***  | CR on HARQ-ACK  |
|  |  |
| ***Source to WG:*** | Moderator (OPPO), vivo, CATT |
| ***Source to TSG:*** | R1 |
|  |  |
| ***Work item code:*** | NR\_newRAT-Core |  | ***Date:*** | 2021-01-25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Category:*** | **F** |  | ***Release:*** | Rel-16 |
|  | *Use one of the following categories:****F*** *(correction)****A*** *(mirror corresponding to a change in an earlier release)****B*** *(addition of feature),* ***C*** *(functional modification of feature)****D*** *(editorial modification)*Detailed explanations of the above categories canbe found in 3GPP [TR 21.900](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Specs/html-info/21900.htm). | *Use one of the following releases:Rel-8 (Release 8)Rel-9 (Release 9)Rel-10 (Release 10)Rel-11 (Release 11)…Rel-15 (Release 15)Rel-16 (Release 16)**Rel-17 (Release 17)Rel-18 (Release 18)* |
|  |  |
| ***Reason for change:*** | In clause 9.2 of TS38.213, we have “If a UE is provided ackNackFeedbackMode = separate, the UE may transmit up to two PUCCHs with HARQ-ACK information in different symbols within a slot.” However, the first sentence in clause 9.2.3 of TS38.213 says that “A UE does not expect to transmit more than one PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in a slot”. That obviously conflict with the former sentence and it is not the case for a UE configured with separate HARQ-ACK feedback in multi-PDCCH-based M-TRP. It could cause confusion to UE behavior.  |
| ***T*** |  |
| ***Summary of change:*** | Add the condition of “if the UE is not provided ackNackFeedbackMode = separate” into the text description in Clause 9.2.3 |
|  |  |
| ***Consequences if not approved:*** | The UE behavior of transmitting more than PUCCH resoure in one slot could be ambiguous.  |
|  |  |
| ***Clauses affected:*** | 9.2.3 |
|  |  |
|  | **Y** | **N** |  |  |
| ***Other specs*** |  | **X** |  Other core specifications  |  |
| ***affected:*** |  | **X** |  Test specifications |  |
| ***(show related CRs)*** |  | **X** |  O&M Specifications |  |
|  |  |
| ***Other comments:*** | Isolated impact analysis:* No impact to existing gNB and UE implementation.
 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| ***This CR's revision history:*** |  |

### 9.2.3 UE procedure for reporting HARQ-ACK

A UE does not expect to transmit more than one PUCCH with HARQ-ACK information in a slot, if the UE is not provided *ackNackFeedbackMode = separate*.
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