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# Introduction

This documents summarizes the discussions on 1024QAM proposed by companies [1, 2]

[104-e-LTE-6.1CRs-03] Email discussion/approval on [R1-2101281](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104\Docs\R1-2101281.zip) and [R1-2101508](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_104\Docs\R1-2101508.zip) (1024QAM) by Jan-27 – Yubo (Huawei)

# Discussion

## Issue 1: Correction on spectral efficiency of 1024QAM

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | |
| ***Reason for change:*** | | For 1024QAM, the coding rate and efficiency in CQI table are not aligned. |
|  | |  |
| ***Summary of change:*** | | The efficiency in CQI table for 1024QAM is corrected to be aligned with the coding rate. |
|  | |  |
| ***Consequences if not approved:*** | | There may be ambiguity for UEs to calculate the CQI value to be reported. |

<Changes to TS 36.213>

<Unchanged parts are omitted>

7.2.3 Channel Quality Indicator (CQI) definition

<Unchanged parts are omitted>

Table 7.2.3-4: 4-bit CQI Table 4

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CQI index** | **modulation** | **code rate x 1024** | **Efficiency** |
| 0 | out of range | | |
| 1 | QPSK | 78 | 0.1523 |
| 2 | QPSK | 193 | 0.3770 |
| 3 | QPSK | 449 | 0.8770 |
| 4 | 16QAM | 378 | 1.4766 |
| 5 | 16QAM | 616 | 2.4063 |
| 6 | 64QAM | 567 | 3.3223 |
| 7 | 64QAM | 666 | 3.9023 |
| 8 | 64QAM | 772 | 4.5234 |
| 9 | 64QAM | 873 | 5.1152 |
| 10 | 256QAM | 711 | 5.5547 |
| 11 | 256QAM | 797 | 6.2266 |
| 12 | 256QAM | 885 | 6.9141 |
| 13 | 256QAM | 948 | 7.4063 |
| 14 | 1024QAM | 853 | 8.3301 |
| 15 | 1024QAM | 948 | 9.2578 |

<Unchanged parts are omitted>

Please provide your comments for the proposed CR:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Issue 2: Correction for support of 1024QAM for PDSCH

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ***Reason for change:*** | Table 6.3.2-1 (Modulation schemes) does not include support of 1024QAM for PDSCH. |
|  |  |
| ***Summary of change:*** | Clarify in Table 6.3.2-1 that PDSCH supports 1024QAM. |
|  |  |
| ***Consequences if not approved:*** | Specification is inconsistent. |

<Changes to TS 36.211>

### 6.3.2 Modulation

For each codeword , the block of scrambled bits shall be modulated as described in clause 7.1 using one of the modulation schemes in Table 6.3.2-1, resulting in a block of complex-valued modulation symbols .

Table 6.3.2-1: Modulation schemes

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Physical channel | Modulation schemes |
| PDSCH | QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM, 256QAM, 1024QAM |
| PMCH | QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM, 256QAM |

Please provide your comments for the proposed CR:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies | Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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