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# 1 Introduction

The following has been agreed for email discussion based on the issues raised in the contributions submitted under AI 7.2.5.3 [11]:

[102-e-NR-L1enh-URLLC-PUSCH\_Enh-01] Remaining issues on URLLC PUSCH – Sigen (Apple)

* Issue #1: Optimization regarding numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching
* Issue #2: Peak rate restriction
* Issue #3: Number of MIMO layers
* Discussions/Agreements by 8/21, TPs by 8/28

This contribution provides the summary for the email discussion.

# 2 Issues #1: Optimization regarding *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching*

There was some discussion in RAN1#101-e regarding whether *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* should also apply to the symbols after SSB or CORESET#0. This was further considered by some companies in this meeting:

* Apply *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* to indicate the number of symbols after the last symbol that is indicated by *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in SIB1 or *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in *ServingCellConfigCommon* for reception of SS/PBCH block are invalid symbols for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission.
	+ Yes: ZTE[2], CATT[4], WILUS[10]
	+ No: Samsung[7]
		- Samsung[7]: A UE that is not required to receive an SSB can transmit a PUSCH Type B repetition starting from a first UL or flexible symbol after the SSB.
* Apply *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* to indicate the number of symbols after the last symbol that is indicated by *pdcch-ConfigSIB1* in *MIB* for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set are invalid symbols for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission.
	+ Yes: ZTE[2], CATT[4], WILUS[10]
	+ No: Samsung[7]

## **Proposal 1-1:**

**If *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* is configured, *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* symbols after the last symbol that is indicated by *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in SIB1 or *ssb-PositionsInBurst* in *ServingCellConfigCommon* for reception of SS/PBCH block are invalid symbols for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission.**

**Companies please indicate if you support the proposal.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes** | CATT |
| **No** | Ericsson |

Companies please provide detailed comments if any.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | The gNB can avoid such unavailable symbols via RRC and/or DCI signaling. As a reference, existing spec in 38.214 do not explicitly provide gap after these symbols. These symbols are not to be set as uplink, but can be set as flexible.38.214 section 11.1:*For operation on a single carrier in unpaired spectrum, for a set of symbols of a slot indicated to a UE by ssb-PositionsInBurst in SIB1 or ssb-PositionsInBurst in ServingCellConfigCommon, for reception of SS/PBCH blocks, the UE does not transmit PUSCH, PUCCH, PRACH in the slot if a transmission would overlap with any symbol from the set of symbols and the UE does not transmit SRS in the set of symbols of the slot. The UE does not expect the set of symbols of the slot to be indicated as uplink by tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon, or tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationDedicated, when provided to the UE.* |
| CATT | As we commented in the last meeting, the gap after the SSB/Type0 CSS is necessary to avoid UL-DL interference from system perspective considering the propagation delay and timing advance. In Rel-15, only slot based repetition is supported so that gNB can avoid the collision by proper scheduling/configuration. However, for PUSCH repetition type B, it is not feasible for gNB to avoid colliding with flexible symbols after SSB/Type0 CSS which are used as GP. That is the reason we defined the invalid symbols and it should be applied here as well. |
|  |  |

## **Proposal 1-2:**

**If *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* is configured, *numberInvallidSymbolsForDL-UL-Switching* symbols after the last symbol that is indicated by *pdcch-ConfigSIB1* in *MIB* for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set are invalid symbols for PUSCH repetition Type B transmission.**

**Companies please indicate if you support the proposal.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes** | CATT |
| **No** | Ericsson |

Companies please provide detailed comments if any.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | The gNB can avoid such unavailable symbols via RRC and/or DCI signaling. As a reference, existing spec in 38.214 do not explicitly provide gap after MIB or SIB1. These symbols are not to be set as uplink, but can be set as flexible.38.214 section 11.1:*For a set of symbols of a slot indicated to a UE by pdcch-ConfigSIB1 in MIB for a CORESET for Type0-PDCCH CSS set, the UE does not expect the set of symbols to be indicated as uplink by tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationCommon, or tdd-UL-DL-ConfigurationDedicated.* |
| CATT | Same as response above |
|  |  |

# 3 Issues #2: Peak rate restriction

Huawei/HiSi[5] and Apple[8] discussed the issues on the peak rate restrictions related to PUSCH repetition Type B. There are two aspects, one is the per-cell peak rate restriction, the other one is the aggregated data rate restriction. The corresponding TPs have been proposed.

Huawei/HiSi[5]:

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposal 1: Apply per-cell peak rate restriction for PUSCH repetition type B.**The text proposal for 38.214 could be found in the following:--------------------------------------------Start of text proposal--------------------------------------------------------6.1.4 Modulation order, redundancy version and transport block size determination\*\*\* Unchanged text is omitted \*\*\*For a *j*-th serving cell, if higher layer parameter *processingType2Enabled* of *PUSCH-ServingCellConfig* is configured for the serving cell and set to *enable,* or if at least one *IMCS > W* for a PUSCH, where *W* = 28 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-1 and 5.1.3.1-3, and *W* = 27 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-2, 6.1.4.1-1, and 6.1.4.1-2, or if *PUSCHRepTypeIndicator-ForDCIFormat0\_1* is set to '*pusch-RepTypeB*' for PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1, or if *PUSCHRepTypeIndicator-ForDCIFormat0\_2* is set to '*pusch-RepTypeB*' for PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_2, the UE is not required to handle PUSCH transmissions, if the following condition is not satisfied:$$\frac{\sum\_{m=0}^{M-1}V\_{j,m}}{L×T\_{s}^{μ}}\leq DataRateCC$$where- $L $is the number of symbols assigned to the PUSCH- *M* is the number of TB in the PUSCH- $T\_{s}^{μ}=\frac{10^{-3}}{2^{μ}∙N\_{symb}^{slot}}$ where μ is the numerology of the PUSCH - for the *m*-th TB, $V\_{j,m}=C'∙\left⌊\frac{A}{C}\right⌋$- *A* is the number of bits in the transport block as defined in Clause 6.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - *C* is the total number of code blocks for the transport block defined in Clause 5.2.2 [5, TS 38.212]- $C'$ is the number of scheduled code blocks for the transport block as defined in Clause 5.4.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - $DataRateCC$ [Mbps] is computed as the maximum data rate for a carrier in the frequency band of the serving cell for any signaled band combination and feature set consistent with the serving cell, where the data rate value is given by the formula in Clause 4.1.2 in [13, TS 38.306], including the scaling factor *f(i).*- each actual repetition for PUSCH repetition type B is treated as one PUSCH.---------------------------------------------End of text proposal-------------------------------------------------------- |

Apple[8]:

TP for TS 38.214 Clause 6.1.4:

|  |
| --- |
| Within a cell group, a UE is not required to handle PUSCH(s) transmissions in slot *sj* in serving cell-*j*, and for *j* = 0,1,2.. *J-1*, slot *sj* overlapping with any given point in time, if the following condition is not satisfied at that point in time: $\sum\_{j=0}^{J-1}\frac{\sum\_{m=0}^{M-1}V\_{j,m}}{T\_{slot}^{μ(j)}}\leq DataRate$,where*- J* is the number of configured serving cells belong to a frequency range- for the *j-th* serving cell,*- M* is the number of TB(s) transmitted in slot-*sj*. For PUSCH repetition Type B, each actual repetition is counted separately.*- Tslotμ(j)* =10-3/2*μ(j*), where *μ(j)* is the numerology for PUSCH(s) in slot *sj* of the *j*-th serving cell. - for the *m*-th TB, $V\_{j,m}=C'∙\left⌊\frac{A}{C}\right⌋$*- A* is the number of bits in the transport block as defined in Clause 6.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] *- C* is the total number of code blocks for the transport block defined in Clause 5.2.2 [5, TS 38.212].$ $- $C'$is the number of scheduled code blocks for the transport block as defined in Clause 5.4.2.1 [5,38.212] - $DataRate$ [Mbps] is computed as the maximum data rate summed over all the carriers in the frequency range for any signaled band combination and feature set consistent with the configured servings cells, where the data rate value is given by the formula in Clause 4.1.2 in [13, TS 38.306], including the scaling factor *f(i).*For a *j*-th serving cell, if higher layer parameter *processingType2Enabled* of *PUSCH-ServingCellConfig* is configured for the serving cell and set to *enable,* or if at least one *IMCS > W* for a PUSCH, where *W* = 28 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-1 and 5.1.3.1-3, and *W* = 27 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-2, 6.1.4.1-1, and 6.1.4.1-2, or if it is an actual repetition for PUSCH repetition Type B, the UE is not required to handle PUSCH transmissions, if the following condition is not satisfied:$$\frac{\sum\_{m=0}^{M-1}V\_{j,m}}{L×T\_{s}^{μ}}\leq DataRateCC$$where- $L $is the number of symbols assigned to the PUSCH- *M* is the number of TB in the PUSCH- $T\_{s}^{μ}=\frac{10^{-3}}{2^{μ}∙N\_{symb}^{slot}}$ where μ is the numerology of the PUSCH - for the *m*-th TB, $V\_{j,m}=C'∙\left⌊\frac{A}{C}\right⌋$- *A* is the number of bits in the transport block as defined in Clause 6.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - *C* is the total number of code blocks for the transport block defined in Clause 5.2.2 [5, TS 38.212]- $C'$ is the number of scheduled code blocks for the transport block as defined in Clause 5.4.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - $DataRateCC$ [Mbps] is computed as the maximum data rate for a carrier in the frequency band of the serving cell for any signaled band combination and feature set consistent with the serving cell, where the data rate value is given by the formula in Clause 4.1.2 in [13, TS 38.306], including the scaling factor *f(i).* |

## **Proposal 2-1:**

**Adopt the following TP for TS 38.214:**

|  |
| --- |
| TP for TS 38.214 Clause 6.1.46.1.4 Modulation order, redundancy version and transport block size determination< Unchanged parts are omitted >Within a cell group, a UE is not required to handle PUSCH(s) transmissions in slot *sj* in serving cell-*j*, and for *j* = 0,1,2.. *J-1*, slot *sj* overlapping with any given point in time, if the following condition is not satisfied at that point in time: $\sum\_{j=0}^{J-1}\frac{\sum\_{m=0}^{M-1}V\_{j,m}}{T\_{slot}^{μ(j)}}\leq DataRate$,where*- J* is the number of configured serving cells belong to a frequency range- for the *j-th* serving cell,*- M* is the number of TB(s) transmitted in slot-*sj*. For PUSCH repetition Type B, each actual repetition is counted separately.*- Tslotμ(j)* =10-3/2*μ(j*), where *μ(j)* is the numerology for PUSCH(s) in slot *sj* of the *j*-th serving cell. - for the *m*-th TB, $V\_{j,m}=C'∙\left⌊\frac{A}{C}\right⌋$*- A* is the number of bits in the transport block as defined in Clause 6.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] *- C* is the total number of code blocks for the transport block defined in Clause 5.2.2 [5, TS 38.212].$ $- $C'$is the number of scheduled code blocks for the transport block as defined in Clause 5.4.2.1 [5,38.212] - $DataRate$ [Mbps] is computed as the maximum data rate summed over all the carriers in the frequency range for any signaled band combination and feature set consistent with the configured servings cells, where the data rate value is given by the formula in Clause 4.1.2 in [13, TS 38.306], including the scaling factor *f(i).*For a *j*-th serving cell, if higher layer parameter *processingType2Enabled* of *PUSCH-ServingCellConfig* is configured for the serving cell and set to *enable,* or if at least one *IMCS > W* for a PUSCH, where *W* = 28 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-1 and 5.1.3.1-3, and *W* = 27 for MCS tables 5.1.3.1-2, 6.1.4.1-1, and 6.1.4.1-2, or if it is an actual repetition for PUSCH repetition Type B, the UE is not required to handle PUSCH transmissions, if the following condition is not satisfied:$$\frac{\sum\_{m=0}^{M-1}V\_{j,m}}{L×T\_{s}^{μ}}\leq DataRateCC$$where- $L $is the number of symbols assigned to the PUSCH- *M* is the number of TB in the PUSCH- $T\_{s}^{μ}=\frac{10^{-3}}{2^{μ}∙N\_{symb}^{slot}}$ where μ is the numerology of the PUSCH - for the *m*-th TB, $V\_{j,m}=C'∙\left⌊\frac{A}{C}\right⌋$- *A* is the number of bits in the transport block as defined in Clause 6.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - *C* is the total number of code blocks for the transport block defined in Clause 5.2.2 [5, TS 38.212]- $C'$ is the number of scheduled code blocks for the transport block as defined in Clause 5.4.2.1 [5, TS 38.212] - $DataRateCC$ [Mbps] is computed as the maximum data rate for a carrier in the frequency band of the serving cell for any signaled band combination and feature set consistent with the serving cell, where the data rate value is given by the formula in Clause 4.1.2 in [13, TS 38.306], including the scaling factor *f(i).*- each actual repetition for PUSCH repetition type B is treated as one PUSCH.< Unchanged parts are omitted > |

**Companies please indicate if you support the intention of the TP.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes** | Ericsson, CATT |
| **No** |  |

Companies please provide detailed comments if any.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Issues #3: Number of MIMO layers

vivo[1] discussed whether to introduce the restriction on the number of MIMO layers for PUSCH repetition Type B, and proposed:

* If *numberofrepetitions* is configured, in case the number of nominal repetitions >1, PUSCH is limited to a single transmission layer.

## **Proposal 3-1:**

**For PUSCH repetition Type B, if the number of nominal repetitions is larger than 1, the PUSCH is limited to a single transmission layer.**

**Companies please indicate if you support the proposal.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Yes** | Ericsson, CATT |
| **No** |  |

Companies please provide detailed comments if any.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| CATT | We would like to further clarify whether only a single transmission layer is supported for the case of K=1 with multiple actual repetitions. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 5 Agreements
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