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1. Introduction

Rel-16 enhancement on MIMO WID includes objectives of enhancing multi-TRP/Panel transmission with ideal and non-ideal backhaul. During the work of rel-16, designs for multiple-PDCCH based and single-PDCCH based multi-TRP/Panel transmission were discussed and specified. This document provides the discussion for Issue #b-2 in multi-TRP email thread 2:

* The issue# b-2 to Clarify the relationship between *RepetitionNumber-r16*/*RepSchemeEnabler* and *pdsch-AggregationFactor*, and also clarify the repetitions are in *RepNum16* consecutive slots in Scheme 4.

# Issue#b-2

**Background**:

Companies [4], [12], [17], [18] discuss the issue of relationship between the *RepNumR16* of scheme 4 and the parameter *pdsch-AggregationFactor* that was specified in rel15. They all propose that the *RepNumR16* of scheme 4 and parameter *pdsch-AggregationFactor*  can not be used simultaneously. But their proposal has some difference:

* [4] proposed that *pdsch-AggregationFactor* should be overwritten whenever Rel-16 repetition number *RepetitionNumber-r16* is indicated by DCI.
* [12] proposed that when at least one entry in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList* contains RepNumR16, the UE will ignore the *AggregationFactor*.
* [17] proposed that *pdsch-AggregationFactor* should be overwritten when Rel-16 repetition number *RepetitionNumber-r16* is indicated by DCI.
* [18] proposed that when at least one entry in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList* contains RepNumR16, the UE does not expect to be configured with *AggregationFactor*.

[18] also discussed the issue of simultaneous configuration of scheme 2a/2b/3 and *pdsch-AggregationFactor* and proposed that simultaneous configuration of scheme 2a/2b/3 and *pdsch-AggregationFactor* is not allowed.

[12], [17] and [19] proposed to clarify that in scheme 4, the PDSCH is repeated in in RepNumR16 consecutive slots.

**Proposal 1: Regarding the *RepetitionNumber-r16* of scheme 4 and *AggregationFactor*, down-select from:**

* **Alt1: *pdsch-AggregationFactor* is applied only when the Rel-16 repetition number *RepetitionNumber-r16* is not indicated by a DCI.**
* **Alt2: *AggregationFactor* is applied only when the Rel-16 repetition number *RepetitionNumber-r16* is not included in any entry in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList.***
* **Alt3: When at least one entry in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList* contains *RepetitionNumber-r16*, the UE does not expect to be configured with *AggregationFactor***

**Proposal 2: When a UE is configured by *repetitionSchemeConfig-r16* set to one of '*FDMSchemeA*', '*FDMSchemeB*' and '*TDMSchemeA*', the UE does not expect to be configured with AggregationFactor.**

**Proposal 3: Clarify that in scheme 4, PDSCH is repeated in *RepNumR16* consecutive slots**

Please input your views and comments on these 3 proposals:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views and comments |
| Apple | Since all the parameters are provided by RRC, gNB should provide the correct parameters. Such “ignore” or “overwritten” are not typical ways we used. It seems Alt3 in proposal 1 and proposal 2 & 3 should be fine. |
| MediaTek | We support Alt 3 in Proposal 1, and also support Proposal 2 and Proposal 3. |
| OPPO(updated) | For proposal 1, regarding Apple’s comments, I modify the wording since we only need to clarify in 38.214 when to apply *pdsch-AggregationFactor****.*** The application of *RepetitionNumber-r16* has been clearly described in spec. It should be noticed that it is possible that *RepetitionNumber-r16* is configured in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList-ForDCIFormat1\_2* but not in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList* considering it is mainly applied for URLLC. In this case, if DCI format 1\_0 or DCI format 1\_1 is used to schedule PDSCH, it is not reasonable to forbid gNB to use *pdsch-AggregationFactor* for PDSCH (which is actually Rel-15 UE behavior). Hence, **considering a unified design for *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList-ForDCIFormat1\_2* and *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList*, Alt.1 or Alt.2 is fine to us**. Otherwise, we may need another conclusion to clarify if *pdsch-AggregationFactor* can be configured if *RepetitionNumber-r16* is only configured in *pdsch-TimeDomainAllocationList-ForDCIFormat1\_2.*  We also support proposal 2 and 3. |
| ZTE | Support **Proposal 1 with Alt3**, proposal 2 and proposal 3.  The reason is that, Alt.1 is not flexible since it doesn’t support repetition number =1 if *pdsch-AggregationFactor* is configured. Alt.2 causes unnecessary configuration, the benefit is unclear. |
| CMCC | Support Proposal 1 with Alt2, proposal 2 and proposal 3.  For proposal 1, in 38.214, the number of repetitions *K* for PUSCH has been specified as follows. Similar principle can be reused for PDSCH.  For PUSCH repetition Type A, when transmitting PUSCH scheduled by DCI format 0\_1 or 0\_2 in PDCCH with CRC scrambled with C-RNTI, MCS-C-RNTI, or CS-RNTI with NDI=1, the number of repetitions *K* is determined as  - if *numberofrepetitions* is present in the resource allocation table, the number of repetitions K is equal to *numberofrepetitions*;  - elseif the UE is configured with *pusch-AggregationFactor*, the number of repetitions *K* is equal to *pusch-AggregationFactor*;  - otherwise *K=1*. |
| Spreadtrum | Support Alt.3 in Proposal 1 for its flexibility, proposal 2, and proposal 3. |
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