### **3GPP1 TSG RAN WG1**

#### Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 1380/99

# Draft rReport of the 1<sup>st</sup> WG1 meeting 21<sup>st</sup>-22<sup>nd</sup> January 1999 - Espoo , Finland

#### 1. Opening of the meeting

The meeting was opened by the convenor (Y. Furuya, NEC) who welcomed the delegates for this first meeting of the WG1 group.

#### 2. Approval of the agenda

The agenda was approved without modification.

#### 3. Document structures and terms of reference

**Tdoc RAN WG1 <u>7</u>3/99**, from ARIB, presented by the <u>RAN\_WG1</u> convenor (<u>A. SasakiY. Furuya</u>, <u>ARIBNEC</u>) indicated that the ETSI and ARIB specifications have almost the same documentation structures and proposes to start from the ETSI documentation structure to initiate the merging process. The proposal was **approved**.

The status of ETSI documents describing the ETSI radio interface was presented by the secretary of the WG1 meeting (F. Gourgue, Alcatel). It was clarified that these documents still needed to be approved by ETSI SMG2, scheduled from the 25<sup>th</sup> to 29<sup>th</sup> January, before they could be officially provided to 3GPP.

Number	Document title	Version(s)	Version
		discussed	approved as
Xx.01	UTRA physical layer documentation plan	0.2.2	0.3.0
Xx.02	UTRA physical layer general description	0.3.0, 0.0.4	0.1.0
Xx.03	UTRA FDD, transport channels and physical channels	1.1.0, 1.2.0	1.3.0
Xx.04	UTRA FDD, multiplexing, channel coding and interleaving	0.7.0, 0.7.1	0.8.0
	description		
Xx.05	UTRA FDD, spreading and modulation description	0.4.0, 0.5.0	(0.6.0)
	Note: Channelization code assignment method as proposed by		
	TTA was accepted. However it is not included in v0.5.0.		
	Updated version will probably be 0.6.0		
Xx.06	UTRA FDD, radio transmission and reception	0.4.1	0.5.0
Xx.07	UTRA FDD, physical layer procedures	1.1.0, 1.2.0	1.2.0
Xx.08	UTRA FDD, additional features	0.2.0	0.3.0
Xx.09	UTRA TDD, transport channels and physical channels description	1.2.0	1.2.0
Xx.10	UTRA TDD, multiplexing, channel coding and interleaving	0.4.3	0.5.0
	description		
Xx.11	UTRA TDD, spreading and modulation	1.0.0	1.0.0
Xx.12	UTRA TDD, radio transmission and reception	0.1.0	0.1.0
Xx.13	UTRA TDD, physical layer procedures description	0.4.0	0.5.0
Xx.14	UTRA TDD, additional features description	0.0.2	0.1.0
Xx.15	UTRA handover	0,2,0, 0.3.0	0.3.0
Xx.16	UTRA interoperability description	0.2.0	0.2.0
Xx.17	UTRA radio frequency (RF) system scenarios	N/A	1.0.0
Xx.18	UTRA layer 1 study items	0.4.0	0.4.1
Xx.19	UTRA link level simulation results	N/A	See xx.01
Xx.20	Collection of UTRA system level simulation results	N/A	See xx.01
Xx.21	UTRA User Equipment (UE) physical layer capability classes	0.0.4, 0.0.5	0.1.0

The status of these documents, prepared by (M. Nasshan, Siemens), appears in the following table:

The overall ARIB time schedule was presented on slides by Mr Toyoshima. It is available in the last-latest version of the Mobile Station Air-interface Layer 1 specification on ARIB server www.arib.or.jp/IMT-2000/ARIB/Document under the filename Vol3 m10.zip and Vol3 a10.zip.Vol4\_10\_10.zip

The convenor invited, prior to the meeting, delegates to provide contributions identifying the similarities and differences among the draft technical documents of each proponents. The discussions were organised around the documents proposing such comparisons.

Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 9/99, by Nokia, is a proposal for merging ETSI and ARIB layer 1 documents.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 5/99**, by Ericsson, makes a similar proposal, indicating it was already presented during the 3GPP kick off meeting in December.

(F. Ovesjo, Ericsson) indicated that documents based on XX06, XX12, XX17 should be maintained by WG4 and were thus not proposed to be part of the WG1 tree structure. This proposition was **accepted** by the group.

(G. Romano, CSELT) asked for a document collecting simulation results similar to those in XX19 and XX20. (F. Ovesjo, Ericsson) proposed not to maintain system simulation results (i.e. XX20) considered as not being something to be specified. (E. Le Strat, Nortel) replied that technical reports containing this kind of information would however be helpful (in particular link level simulations). The question was raised whether system level simulations should be maintained by WG1 or RAN.

(Chairman) WG1 task is to make specifications and the maintenance of this kind of documents should be considered out of this group, at TSG level.

(k. Gosse, Motorola) XX18 is not going to become a specification, but it should maintained by this group. It was mentioned during the 10<sup>th</sup> ETSI L1 meeting that it might become a Technical Report (according to ETSI definition)

(E. Le Strat, Nortel) this is an official, document that should be maintained (as a technical report coming on top of specifications ?).

There was a discussion about the opportunity to maintain this document as an attachment or a standalone document. The principle to have a standalone document, but not a specification, was **accepted**, the exact status being for further discussion.

(S. Bang, ETRI) indicated that TTA intended to submit the global CDMA2 proposal to 3GPP, and announced a document pointing out the commonalities and differences between the technologies proposed by ARIB, ETSI and TTA.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 3/99**, by ARIB was re-introduced by (Mo<u>ch</u>tizuki, NEC), indicated that they considered ETSI and ARIB documentation structures as being quite close and that they would agree with the Ericsson proposal made during the 3GPP quick-off meeting and reflected in Tdoc RAN WG<u>1</u>A 5/99.

Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 9/99 was used as a reference to define a convergence path. It was updated during the meeting as Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 14/99.

Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 4/99, by Panasonic, analyses the differences between the TDD of ARIB and of UTRA.

(Chairman) asked confirmation that ARIB has been working to include the joint detection (JD) in its TDD concept. (?, Panasonic) confirmed indicating that it should be part of the ARIB concept but as a non mandatory feature.

(Chairman) are there some specificities in the ARIB proposal that would preclude an operation in line with ETSI description? (Siemens) the first step of the group is to identify the differences, the merging should be done in a second step.

(M. Nasshan, Siemens) the main difference between ETSI and ARIB in that respect is that the ETSI system is optimised for JD in UTRA, but this is not the case for ARIB.

(chairman) the difference in concept might make a piece by piece merging difficult (Siemens) confirmed that part of the TDD merging should be difficult because of the difference in the underlying concepts.

(K. Mayes, Vodafone) it would be of interest to look at the environment for which FDD and TDD are rather likely to be used and to optimise each of them accordingly.

(Chairman) a way to hasten the merging is to create ad hoc groups to address the places were major differences have been identified. Two specific editors are be needed for each of them (one from ETSI, the other from ARIB). [The precise task of these groups and their work procedure is described with Tdoc 15/99, later in this report]

Two categories of ad hoc groups were identified, in line with the breakdown proposed in Tdoc 9/99:

Topics of 1<sup>st</sup> category ad hocs (for the larger subjects possibly spanning over several parts of the specifications):

- TDD: Siemens (ETSI, major editor), Panasonic (ARIB)
- Table 1, raw 2: SCH code multiplexed for ETSI, time multiplexed for ARIB. Nokia (ETSI) NTT DoCoMo (ARIB, major editor)
- Table 1, RACH, Ericsson (ETSI, major editor), NTT DoCoMo (ARIB)
- Table 2, raw 1, harmonisation of channel multiplexing, Siemens (ETSI), NTT DoCoMo (ARIB, major editor)
- Table 2, raws 2 and 3, channel coding and interleaving, HNS (ETSI, major editor), Fujitsu (ARIB)
- Table 4, raw 10, Downlink Tx diversity, Nokia (ETSI, major editor), Samsung (ARIB)

Topics of  $2^{nd}$  category ad hocs (for smaller issues to be resolved)

- Table 1, raws 3 and 4 + Table 3 raw 1, burst format, slot structure, spreading factor, gain factor, Ericsson (ETSI), NEC (ARIB, major editor)
- Table 2, raw 6 + Table 5 raws 1 & 4, HO preparation, including slotted mode, France Telecom (ETSI, major editor), Mitsubishi (ARIB)
- Table 4, raw1, closed loop power control, Nortel (ETSI, major editor), NEC (ARIB)
- Table 3, raws 3 and 4, differences in spreading and scrambling, both for UL and DL, Ericsson (ETSI), Panasonic (ARIB, major editor)

The two following subjects will not be considered within the scope of an ad hoc group, but a contact person will be in charge to address it:

- FAUSCH and shared channel

(ARIB) indicated that it did not study FAUSCH and shared channels yet and needs to analyse the purpose and advantages of them before deciding whether they are needed.

Contact persons:FAUSCH:T. Moulsley (moulsley@prl.research.philips.com)Shared channel:K. Rikkinen (kari.rikkinen@nmp.nokia.com)

(B. Schuffenecker, France Telecom + Chairman answer): the purpose of these groups is not to introduce brand new ideas but to merge existing ones. (M. Nasshan) for clarification issues recently presented at ETSI but still open after the last L1 meeting should be allowed for discussion during this 3GPP process (e.g. interleavers or Turbo Codes). (Chairman) introduction of new schemes is still possible, but convergence issues will be considered in priority. (E. Le Strat, Nortel) existing proposals for Turbo Codes for instance will be documented, and from that point only proposals going in the direction of convergence will be considered.

(D. Cheeseman, InterDigital) can we confirm that ideas in XX18 are not excluded, as well as ideas without which the system would not work are not excluded ? (Chairman) **confirmed**.

(K. Mayes, Vodafone) can you confirm that contributions that improve the description and detail the concepts already included in the documentation would be welcomed at any time ? (Chairman) **confirmed**.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 12/99**, by TTA analyses similarities and differences between ARIB, ETSI and TTA and proposes that the three are considered during the merging process.

(Chairman) the considered TTA technologies are already in ETSI or ARIB documents. TTA can thus contribute by participating to the Ad Hoc groups. **Accepted** by (S. Bang, ETRI)

The issue of terminology will be handled by each subgroup within the scope of its work.

Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 7/99, by TSG RAN convenor, proposes a process for specification alignment.

(E. Le Strat, Nortel) we should agree on a general scheme to proceed with a couple of cases for the content of a section:

- → content in both ETSI and ARIB proposals
- → content in only one of the proposals. (Vodafone) for clarification, if an item only is in the ETSI or ARIB proposal, is it included with or without square brackets in the alignment process? This issue was considered more generally within the scope of the editing policy.

An editing policy was proposed as **Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 17/99**. It was slightly amended and the **approved** version will be released as **Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 18/99**.

The nomination of editors of S1 documents was done during the meeting (note for the draft minutes: the list of Emails for the contacts below is not complete and involved companies are invited to send the corresponding information to <u>frederic.gourgue@alcatel.fr</u> by end January for inclusion in the final minutes):

Document	Main editor + Email	$2^{nd}$ editor + Email
S1.01	Nokia	NEC
	antti.toskala@ntc.nokia.com	mochizuki@pccrd.fc.nec.co.jp
S1.02	Samsung	NEC Technologies-UK
	woojaa@samsung.co.kr	Andy.Bell@nectech.co.uk
S1.11	Ericsson	Texas Instrument
	andreas.wilde@ericsson.co.jp	<u>kinjo@ti.com</u>
S1.12	Fujitsu	Nokia
	yoshi@flab.fujitsu.co.jp	anu.virtanen@ntc.nokia.com
S1.13	Siemens	LSIlogic
	peter.chambers@roke.co.uk	nicko@lsil.com
S1.14	NTT DoCoMo	Ericsson
	takehiro@wsp.yrp.nttdocomo.co.jp	Fredrik.Ovesjo@era-t.ericsson.se
S1.15	Nortel	LGIC
	elestrat@nortelnetworks.com	jschoi@lginfocomm.com
S1.21	Panasonic	Vodafone
		Keith.mayes@vf.vodafone.co.uk
S1.22	Nokia	Panasonic
	jussi.kahtava@nmp.nokia.com	
S1.23	Siemens	Ericsson
	kenji.ito@skk.siemens.co.jp	michel.jansen@emn.ericsson.se
S1.24	Siemens	Panasonic
S1.25	Nortel	LGIC
	elestrat@nortelnetworks.com	jschoi@lginfocomm.com

(T. Moulsley, Philips) reminded that one of the ETSI purpose was to seek for the maximum of commonalities between FDD and TDD and asked whether this policy should be maintained within 3GPP. It was **confirmed** by the meeting.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 6/99**, by Ericsson, is a work plan proposal for WG1 to get a set of specification by end-99. The creation and update of each S1 document, as defined in Tdoc WG1 5/99, is done in three phases:

- 1) finalisation of the identified tasks
- 2) approval of the document by TSG RAN (version 1.0.0)
- 3) final specification end-99 (Release 99)

(Sasaki, ARIB+answer from F. Ovesjo, Ericsson) the main part of the work should be up to version 1.0.0 of the documents. Some extra works is then possible to obtain to the Release state but it should not be the main task.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 16/99**, by ARIB, indicates that Japan plans to start IMT-2000 service in the spring 2000–2001 and needs for this reason a set of specifications around the spring 1999. For this reason the approved first draft of 3GPP specifications is requested for April 1999 so that ARIB can produce its first version of specifications in due time.

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 15/99**, proposed a guideline for the temporary ad hoc meetings introduced above. (E. Le Strat, Nortel) expressed the concern that provisions should be taken to avoid parallel ad hoc meetings so that interested persons should be able to participate to all of the ad hocs they are interested in. She expressed concerns in addition of a mix in the document between temporary Ad hoc meetings with a lifetime of roughly one month, and Ad hocs of a longer lifetime. She indicated in addition that the Ad hoc meeting announcements should be made at least 3 weeks in advance to meet the 3GPP work procedure and that Ad hoc meetings should not be a Sunday or a Friday to come before a WG1 starting a Monday.

(F. Ovesjo) confirmed that the paper only deals with temporary ad hocs and do not propose a working procedure for other kinds of Ad hocs.

The following **agreement** was obtained for the temporary ad hocs:

- Temporary Ad-hocs identified cease to exist at the end of the next WG1 meeting
- The Temporary ad-hoc can meet during the WG1 meeting, if needed. If they meet they should meet in the same location as WG1, the setting of the meeting dates for the WG1 meeting should therefore take into account the fact that there could be such ad-hoc group meetings
- The ad-hoc meetings shall not meet in parallel to the plenary meeting
  - The ad-hoc meetings can met in parallel under the following conditions
    - $\rightarrow$  ad-hocs requiring the same expertise should not meet in parallel
    - → such ad-hocs (service multiplexing and channel coding on one side and TDD, Handover on another) have already been identified but this is not an exhaustive list
- The schedule of the ad-hoc meetings should be known in advance and best included with the draft agenda and schedule of the WG1 meeting, hence sent 3 weeks in advance and subject to discussion

#### 4. Next meetings

- WG1#2 (22nd?) 23rd-25th February, Tokyo 22nd for Ad Hocs
- RAN 1st-5th March, Dallas
- WG1#3 22nd-26th March (provisional), Host expected, contact chairman
- WG1#4 19th-21st April (provisional), Host expected, contact chairman
- Joint RAN 22nd-23rd April (provisional) same venue as WG1#4

#### 5. LS from other groups

Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 8/99, LS from ITU-R TG8/1 proposes 3 actions for WG1:

- complete key characteristics at the February meeting to meet the ITU-R TG8/1 deadline of March. It was **decided** to include a specific WG1agenda item to ensure that it is met
- review the documentation provided by ITU. The chairman asked for a volunteer to prepare a report for next WG1
- consider the TG8/1 time schedule when developing WG1 work plan. The point will be considered during the 2<sup>nd</sup> WG1 meeting when the work plan issues will be addressed..

**Tdoc TSG RAN WG1 19 & 20/99**, LS by WG2, presents the status of WG2 work. It presents the agreed document structure as well as a work plan to produce the deliverables. The next meeting dates are announced as well. WG2 expects WG1 to comment on these elements. It stresses in particular that the document S2.02 is linked to the work of WG1 and requests comments on the considered approval date for this document.

#### 6. Miscellaneous

The chairman reminded that the WG1 chairman election will be held during next meeting, probably the second day. He thanked the delegates for their participation and closed the meeting.

## Annex 1 Participants list

Aaltonen Janne	Nokia	Finland			
Agin Pascal	Alcatel	France			
Antweiler Markus	Synopsys	Germany			
Bishop Craig	Samsung	UK			
Bradley Wayne	Prairie Com	USA			
Byeong Woo LimShinsegi Telecom Inc Korea					
Cardiff Barry	Nokia	UK			
Chambers Peter	RM Research	UK			
Chang Soo Park	Samsung	South Korea			
Cheeseman David	Interdigital Com	UK			
Choi Jinsung	LGTCE	South Korea			
Cioci Sergio	Italtel	Italy			
Corden Ian	Lucent	UK			
Cyne Dominique	Mitsubishi MCRD	France			
De Benedittis Rossella	Italtel	Italy			
El-Saigh Amer	Vodafone	UK			
Eroz Mustafa	Hughes	USA			
Fernandes Edgar	Motorola	UK			
Feyfant Patrick	Siemens	France			
Fievet Bertrand	Bouygues Telecom	France			
Folacci Paul	TI	France			
Furuya Yukitsuna	NEC	Japan			
Gauthier Catherine	Nortel	France			
Gosse Karine	Motorola	France			
Gourgue Frederic	Alcatel	France			
Hallam-Baker Nick	Symbionics	UK			
Hammons Roger	Hughes	USA			
Heinle Frank	Philips	Germany			
Henriksson Anders	Telia	Sweden			
Hikuma Akihiro	DoCoMo	France			
Hoehn Volker	Mannesmann Mobil	Germany			
Horobin Stuart	Anritsu	UK			
Hyeon Woo Lee	Samsung	South Korea			
Il Gyu Kim	Vodafone	UK			
Ito Kenji	Siemens	Japan			
Jansen Michel	Ericsson	Sweden			
Jürgensen Jens-Uwe	Sony	Germany			
Kasapidis Makis	Panasonic	UK			
Kato Osamu	Panasonic	Japan			
Kinjo Shigenori	TI	Japan			
Kistowski Dirk	T-Mobil	Germany			
Kottkamp Meik	Siemens	Germany			
Kowalewski Frank	Bosch	Germany			
Le Dantec Claude	Canon	France			
Le Strat Evelyne	Nortel	France			
Mangold Peter	Bosch	Germany			
Maucksch Thomas	Rohde & Schwarz	Germany			
Mauthe Gerd	LSI Logic	Germany			
Mayes Keith	Vodafone	UK			
Mellein Heinz	Rohde&Schwarz	Germany			
Meyer Jan	Lucent	Germany			
-		-			

I

Meyer Klaus Mochizuki Takashi Mohebbi Behzad Moulsley Tim Nakamura Takehiro Nasshan Markus Okumura Yukihiko Okuyama Nobutaka Ostreich Stefan Ovesjö Fredrik Özlütürk Fatih Park Joong-Hoo Park Kyung Pehkonen Kari Perrin Jean- Hugues Plechinger Jörg Rikkinen Kari Romano Giovanni Rudolf Marian Sasaki Akio Schnare Dirk Schneider Michael Schuffenecker Seidel Eiko Seung Chan Bang Shin Sung-Hyuk Sun Feng-Wen Tae Joong Kim Thornberg Magnus Tong Wen Toyoshima Shigeru Truelove Stephen Ukonmaanaho Mauri Ulrich Thomas Valentini Luca Voyer Nicolas Whinnett Nick Wilde Andreas Willenegger Serge Wolls Patricia Yoshida Satoshi Yoshinori Tanaka Zerbini Ezio

AMD Germany NEC Japan Fujitsu UK Philips UK DoCoMo Japan Siemens Germany DoCoMo Japan LSI Logic Japan Siemens Germany Ericsson Sweden Interdigital Com USA South Korea Samsung Dacom Korea Nokia Mobile Com Japan France Alcatel Siemens Germany Nokia Finland CSELT Italy France Mitsubishi ARIB Japan **E-PLUS** Germany Germany Siemens France Telecom France Panasonic Germany ETRI South Korea InterDigital USA Hughes USA ETRI South Korea Nippon Ericsson Japan Nortel ? ARIB Japan NEC Technologies UK Nokia Japan Siemens Germany TIM Italy Mitsubishi France Motorola France Ericsson Japan Switzerland **Oualcomm** Europe Telecom Modus UK VLSI Tec. France Fujitsu Lab. Japan Marconi Com. Italy