TSG RAN Meeting #26 Vouliagmeni Athens, Greece, 08 - 10 December 2004

RP-040432

TitleCRs (Rel-5 and Rel-6 Category A) to TS 25.412 on Ip transportSourceTSG RAN WG3Agenda Item7.4.5

RAN3 Tdoc	Spec	curr. Vers.	new Vers.	CR	Rev	Cat	Rel	Title	Work item
R3-041606	25.412	5.1.0	5.2.0	16	1	F	Rel-5	IP transport option correction	ETRAN-iptrans
R3-041607	25.412	6.0.0	6.1.0	17	1	А	Rel-6	IP transport option correction	ETRAN-iptrans

3GPP TSG-RAN WG3 Meeting #45

Tdoc **#***R3-041606*

Shin Yokohama	a, Japan,	15th-	19th	November	2004

CHANGE REQUEST						
^ж 2	5.412 CR 016 # rev 1 ^{# Current version:} 5.1.0 [#]					
For <u>HELP</u> on usin	g this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the \Re symbols.					
Proposed change affe	ects: UICC apps# ME Radio Access Network X Core Network X					
Title: ೫ II	P Transport option correction					
Source: ж ह	RAN3					
Work item code: 🕱 🛛 E	TRAN-iptrans Date: 육 03/11/2004					
De	Release: % Rel-5 se one of the following categories: Use one of the following releases: F (correction) Ph2 (GSM Phase 2) A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release) R96 (Release 1996) B (addition of feature), R97 (Release 1997) C (functional modification of feature) R98 (Release 1998) D (editorial modification) R99 (Release 1999) etailed explanations of the above categories can Rel-4 (Release 4) Found in 3GPP TR 21.900. Rel-5 (Release 6) Rel-7 (Release 7)					
Reason for change:	extensions, IP Header compression and DiffServ code point marking in IP Transport Option over lu in order to be aligned with how IP Transport Option is described in 25.414. It is has been assumed that the requirements should be symetrical, e.g. usage of Diffserv code point marking is either used by both sides or by none of them.					
	Impact Analysis: Impact assessment towards the previous version of the specification (same release):This CR has isolated impact with the previous version of the specification (same release) because it affects only the following functions: Diffserv code point marking, IP Header Compression function, PPP functions.This CR has an impact under functional and protocol point of view.The impact can be considered isolated because the change affects only some system functions namely the Diffserv code point marking, IPHC and PPP functions on the CN side.It is noted that this can be seen as new requirements for MSC Server implementations that only terminates the lu-CS Control plane.					
Consequences if not approved:	# IP Transport Options may not be fully implemented on lu					

Clauses affected:	೫ 4.2, 5.2.3, 5.3.4 Y N
Other specs affected:	# X Other core specifications # 25.412 CR017 Rel-6 X Test specifications # 25.412 CR017 Rel-6 X O&M Specifications #
Other comments:	¥

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <u>http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm</u>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked # contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <u>ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/</u> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

4.2 IP Transport Option

An RNC/CN using IP transport option shall support the PPP protocol with HDLC framing [19], [20].

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of any other data link layer protocol (e.g. PPPMux [29]/AAL5/ATM, PPP/AAL2/ATM, Ethernet, MPLS [28]/ATM, etc.) fulfilling the UTRAN requirements toward the upper Layers.

An RNC/CN using IP transport option having interfaces connected via slow bandwidth PPP links like E1/T1/J1 shall also support IP Header Compression [21] and the PPP extensions ML/MC-PPP [22], [23]. In this case, the negotiation of header compression [21] over PPP shall be performed via [24].

5.2.3 IP Transport Option

- 1. **SCCP**, see subclause 5.2.2.
- 2. **M3UA** refers to the SCCP adaptation layer "SS7 MTP3 User Adaptation Layer " [17] also developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF.
- 3. **SCTP** refers to the Stream Control Transmission Protocol [16] developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF for the purpose of transporting various signalling protocols over IP networks. The checksum method specified in RFC 3309 [30] shall be used instead of the method specified in RFC 2960 [16].
- 4. IP. IPv6 shall be supported according to [25]. IPv4 support [13] is optional.

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of Ipv4.

Due to the possible transition from IPv4 to IPv6 the IP dual stack support is recommended.

An RNC/<u>CN</u> using IP transport option shall support Diffserv code point marking [26]. The Diffserv code point may be determined from the application parameters.

5.3.4 IP Transport Option

- 1. **SCCP**, see subclause 5.3.2.
- 2. **M3UA**, refers to the SCCP adaptation layer "SS7 MTP3 User Adaptation Layer " [17] also developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF.
- 3. **SCTP**, refers to the Stream Control Transmission Protocol [16] developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF for the purpose of transporting various signalling protocols over IP networks. An implementation of SCTP to this document shall utilise the new checksum method specified in RFC 3309 [30] instead of the method specified in RFC 2960 [16].
- 4. **IP**. IPv6 shall be supported according to [25]. IPv4 support [13] is optional.

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of IPv4.

Due to the possible transition from IPv4 to IPv6, the IP dual stack support is recommended.

An RNC/<u>CN</u> using IP transport option shall support Diffserv code point marking [26]. The Diffserv code point may be determined from the application parameters.

5

3GPP TSG-RAN WG3 Meeting #45

Tdoc **#***R*3-041607

Shin Yokohama, Japan, 15th- 19th November 2004	Shin	Yokohama,	Japan,	15th-	19th	November 2004
--	------	-----------	--------	-------	------	---------------

[#] 25	5.412 CR 017	ឌ rev	1 [#]	Current version:	6.0.0	Ħ
For <u>HELP</u> on using	g this form, see bottom of thi	s page or l	ook at tl	ne pop-up text ove	r the	nbols.
Proposed change affeo	<i>cts:</i> UICC аррsж	ME	Radio A	Access Network	Core Ne	etwork X
Title: # IP	P Transport option correction	l				
Source: ೫ R/	AN3					
Work item code: ೫ ET	TRAN-iptrans			Date: ೫ 03	3/11/2004	
Det	e <u>one</u> of the following categorie F (correction) A (corresponds to a correction B (addition of feature), C (functional modification of D (editorial modification) tailed explanations of the above found in 3GPP <u>TR 21.900</u> .	on in an ear feature)		se) R96 (Re R97 (Re R98 (Re R99 (Re Rel-4 (Re Rel-5 (Re Rel-6 (Re		eases:
Reason for change: अ	Reference to CN is missi extensions, IP Header co Transport Option over lu described in 25.414. It is has been assumed th Diffserv code point marki	mpression in order to hat the req	and Dif be aligr uiremen	fServ code point n led with how IP Tr ts should be syme	narking in II ansport Opt etrical, e.g. u	tion is usage of
Summary of change: भ	"RNC" is replaced by the term "RNC/CN" to include needed functionality for full IP Transport Option support in both directions.					
	Impact Analysis: Impact assessment towa release):	rds the pre	evious ve	ersion of the speci	fication (sar	ne
	This CR has isolated imp release) because it affect marking, IP Header Com	ts only the	following	g functions: Diffse		
	This CR has an impact u	nder functi	onal and	protocol point of	view.	
	The impact can be consid system functions namely functions on the CN side. It is noted that this can be implementations that only	the Diffser e seen as r	v code p new requ	point marking, IPH	C and PPP	
Consequences if # not approved:	IP Transport Options may	y not be fu	lly imple	mented on lu		

Clauses affected:	೫ 4.2, 5.2.3, 5.3.4 Y N
Other specs affected:	# X Other core specifications # 25.412 CR016 Rel-5 X Test specifications # 25.412 CR016 Rel-5 X O&M Specifications #
Other comments:	¥

How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <u>http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm</u>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked # contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <u>ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/</u> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

4.2 IP Transport Option

An RNC/CN using IP transport option shall support the PPP protocol with HDLC framing [19], [20].

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of any other data link layer protocol (e.g. PPPMux [29]/AAL5/ATM, PPP/AAL2/ATM, Ethernet, MPLS [28]/ATM, etc.) fulfilling the UTRAN requirements toward the upper Layers.

3

An RNC/CN using IP transport option having interfaces connected via slow bandwidth PPP links like E1/T1/J1 shall also support IP Header Compression [21] and the PPP extensions ML/MC-PPP [22], [23]. In this case, the negotiation of header compression [21] over PPP shall be performed via [24].

5.2.3 IP Transport Option

- 1. **SCCP**, see subclause 5.2.2.
- 2. **M3UA** refers to the SCCP adaptation layer "SS7 MTP3 User Adaptation Layer " [17] also developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF.
- 3. **SCTP** refers to the Stream Control Transmission Protocol [16] developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF for the purpose of transporting various signalling protocols over IP networks. The checksum method specified in RFC 3309 [30] shall be used instead of the method specified in RFC 2960 [16].
- 4. IP. IPv6 shall be supported according to [25]. IPv4 support [13] is optional.

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of Ipv4.

Due to the possible transition from IPv4 to IPv6 the IP dual stack support is recommended.

An RNC/<u>CN</u> using IP transport option shall support Diffserv code point marking [26]. The Diffserv code point may be determined from the application parameters.

5.3.4 IP Transport Option

- 1. **SCCP**, see subclause 5.3.2.
- 2. **M3UA**, refers to the SCCP adaptation layer "SS7 MTP3 User Adaptation Layer " [17] also developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF.
- 3. **SCTP**, refers to the Stream Control Transmission Protocol [16] developed by the Sigtran working group of the IETF for the purpose of transporting various signalling protocols over IP networks. An implementation of SCTP to this document shall utilise the new checksum method specified in RFC 3309 [30] instead of the method specified in RFC 2960 [16].
- 4. **IP**. IPv6 shall be supported according to [25]. IPv4 support [13] is optional.

Note: This does not preclude the single implementation and use of IPv4.

Due to the possible transition from IPv4 to IPv6, the IP dual stack support is recommended.

An RNC/<u>CN</u> using IP transport option shall support Diffserv code point marking [26]. The Diffserv code point may be determined from the application parameters.