3GPP RAN#10 RP-000689

Work Item Description

Title

Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall System Architecture

1 3GPP Work Area

X	Radio Access
X	Core Network
	Services

2 Linked work items

- BB2 RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [RAN 3 is predicted to lead the work in RAN]
- BB3 GERAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [GERAN 2 is predicted to lead the work in GERAN]
- BB4 CN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [CN 1 is predicted to lead the work in CN]

3 Justification

In the current network architecture, an RNC can only be connected to one MSC and/or one SGSN. The same restriction applies to BSCs. This has the following consequences:

- a) when a BSC (or RNC) has a relatively large capacity compared to that of an MSC/SGSN there are frequently significant wastages of hardware. (For example, if a BSC has 40% of the capacity of an MSC, do you connect 2 or 3 BSCs to that MSC?)
- b) as networks carry more traffic, the geographic area covered by one MSC or SGSN (of a given capacity) decreases. However, subscribers still tend to travel the same physical distances and therefore there are more inter-MSC/SGSN registration updates. The signalling associated with these inter MSC/SGSN updates causes additional load on MSCs, SGSNs, HLRs, the core network signalling networks and on the radio interface signalling channels.

The ability to connect RNCs and BSCs to more than one MSC and to more than one SGSN could reduce the above problems. In addition, the ability to provide load sharing between MSCs (SGSNs) would further improve the efficiency of hardware utilisation.

This work will focus on a solution where a routeing function is placed in the RNC (or BSC). This avoids most of the problems of a standalone node (TR 23.913 called it the Turbo Routeing Function), while retaining the other advantages of described in R'99, TR 23.913.

This Work Item (which is a Feature) proposes to provide a standardised mechanism for the connection of multiple MSCs (and SGSNs) to an RNC or a BSC (both A/Gb mode and Iu mode) which reduces mobility management signalling and permits improved efficiency in hardware utilisation.

4 Objective

The objective of this Work Item is to produce a new stage 2 Technical Specification for this concept and to provide the necessary change requests to affected existing stage 2 and stage 3 specifications.

The new stage 2 would include:

- description of the proposed architecture
- identification of benefits and constraints
- impacts on mobility management and CN nodes
- impacts on handover/relocation in the CN
- impact on GSM BSS (both A/Gb and Iu cases) and on UMTS RNS
- techniques to avoid changes to mobiles and techniques to provide compatibility with R'99 and older mobiles
- proposals for control of the routeing from the RAN.

The list of affected existing specifications is given in section 10.

5 Service Aspects

None

6 MMI-Aspects

None

7 Charging Aspects

None

8 Security Aspects

None

9 Impacts

Affects:	USIM	ME	AN	CN	Others
Yes		X	X	X	
No	X				X
Don't					
know					

10 Expected Output and Time scale (to be updated at each plenary)

				New spe	ecif	ications		
Spec No.	Title		rsp. WG rsp. WG(s) infor		esented for ormation at nary#	Approved at plenary#	Comments	
23.xxx	Doma	S "Intra in NAS Node tion Function"	SA2		SA	±411	SA#11	
			A					
Casa Na	CR	Cubicat	Affe	ctea existi	ng	specification Approved at		Comments
Spec No. 23.002	CR	Subject Overall archite	ooturo d	occription		Approved at	pieriary#	Comments
23.003		Rules for TMS	o partitio	oning				N. I. S. S. S. S.
23.007		None?						Need to verify that no changes are needed.
23.060		 PS domai RA update (combined handling inter SGS 	e handlir d) LA up	ng date	n			
24.008		Coding of NAS	S routeir	ng parame	ter			
25.331		Inclusion of Nonexameter in Impressage.	AS route	eing				
25.401		RAN architect	ure desc	cription				
25.413		Addition of "cuload" message	ırrent M	SC/SGSN				
29.002		None?						Need to verify that no changes are needed.
29.018		None?						Need to verify that no changes are needed.
29.060		None?						Need to verify that no changes are needed.
43.051		GERAN archit	tecture c	lescription				
44.018								This feature does not require changes to 44.018. However, work on the "future BSS" Work Item may need to take this feature into account
48.008 48.018		Addition of "cumessage to B Addition of "cumessage to B	SSMAP urrent S0					

Work item raporteurs

Stephen Terrill, Ericsson

Work item leadership

SA 2

13 Supporting Companies

Vodafone, Nokia, Ericsson, Nortel, Mannesmann

14 Classification of the WI (if known)

	X	Feature (go to 14a)
Ī		Building Block (go to 14b)
Ī		Work Task (go to 14c)

14a The WI is a Feature: List of building blocks under this feature

(list of Work Items identified as building blocks)

BB2 RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [RAN 3 leads the work in RAN]
This work involves the changes to 25.401, 25.413 and 25.331.

BB3 GERAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [GERAN 2 leads the work in GERAN]
This work involves changes to 43.051, 48.008, 48.018 and possibly 44.018.

CN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes
[CN 1 leads the work in CN]
CN 1 needs to update 24.008 and check 29.018.
CN 4 work is expected to only involve the verification that changes are NOT needed to their specifications.

- 14b The WI is a Building Block: parent Feature
- 14c The WI is a Work Task: parent Building Block

Work Item Description

Title

RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes

1 3GPP Work Area

X	Radio Access
	Core Network
	Services

2 Linked work items

- F1 Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall System Architecture SA 2 is responsible for this.
- BB3 GERAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [GERAN 2 is predicted to lead the work in GERAN]
- BB4 CN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [CN 1 is predicted to lead the work in CN]

3 Justification

In the current network architecture, an RNC can only be connected to one MSC and/or one SGSN. The same restriction applies to BSCs. This has the following consequences:

- when a BSC (or RNC) has a relatively large capacity compared to that of an MSC/SGSN there are frequently significant wastages of hardware. (For example, if a BSC has 40% of the capacity of an MSC, do you connect 2 or 3 BSCs to that MSC?)
- d) as networks carry more traffic, the geographic area covered by one MSC or SGSN (of a given capacity) decreases. However, subscribers still tend to travel the same physical distances and therefore there are more inter-MSC/SGSN registration updates. The signalling associated with these inter MSC/SGSN updates causes additional load on MSCs, SGSNs, HLRs, the core network signalling networks and on the radio interface signalling channels.

The ability to connect RNCs and BSCs to more than one MSC and to more than one SGSN could reduce the above problems. In addition, the ability to provide load sharing between MSCs (SGSNs) would further improve the efficiency of hardware utilisation.

This work will focus on a solution where a routeing function is placed in the RNC (or BSC). This avoids most of the problems of a standalone node (TR 23.913 called it the Turbo Routeing Function), while retaining the other advantages of described in R'99, TR 23.913.

This Work Item (which is a Feature) proposes to provide a standardised mechanism for the connection of multiple MSCs (and SGSNs) to an RNC or a BSC (both A/Gb mode and Iu mode) which reduces mobility management signalling and permits improved efficiency in hardware utilisation.

4	\sim		•			
4	()	h	14	α	tı	ve
7	v	v	ľ	··	u	

The objective of this Work Item is to produce the necessary updates to the RAN TSs.

The list of affected existing specifications is given in section 10.

5 Service Aspects

None

6 MMI-Aspects

None

7 Charging Aspects

None

8 Security Aspects

None

9 Impacts

Affects:	USIM	ME	AN	CN	Others
Yes		X	X		
No	X			X	X
Don't					
know					

10 Expected Output and Time scale (to be updated at each plenary)

			New sp	ecif	ications		
Spec No. Title		Prime rsp. WG	2 nd ary rsp. WG(s)	Presented for information at plenary#		Approved at plenary#	Comments
		Affe	ested exist	ina	specificati	one	
Coop No	Affected existing						Comments
Spec No.	CR	Subject			Approved at	pieriary#	Comments
25.331		Inclusion of NAS route parameter in Initial Di message.	fer				
25.401		RAN architecture des	cription				
25.413		Addition of "current M load" message to RAI					

Work item raporteurs

Brendan McWilliams, Vodafone

Work item leadership

RAN 3

13 Supporting Companies

Vodafone, Nokia, Ericsson, Nortel, Mannesmann

14 Classification of the WI (if known)

	Feature (go to 14a)
X	Building Block (go to 14b)
	Work Task (go to 14c)

14a The WI is a Feature: List of building blocks under this feature

14b The WI is a Building Block

Parent feature: F1: Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall

System Architecture

SA 2 is responsible for this.

14c The WI is a Work Task: parent Building Block

Work Item Description

Title

GERAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes

1 3GPP Work Area

X	Radio Access
	Core Network
	Services

2 Linked work items

- F1 Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall System Architecture SA 2 is responsible for this.
- BB2 RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [RAN 3 is predicted to lead the work in RAN]
- BB4 CN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [CN 1 is predicted to lead the work in CN]

3 Justification

In the current network architecture, an RNC can only be connected to one MSC and/or one SGSN. The same restriction applies to BSCs. This has the following consequences:

- e) when a BSC (or RNC) has a relatively large capacity compared to that of an MSC/SGSN there are frequently significant wastages of hardware. (For example, if a BSC has 40% of the capacity of an MSC, do you connect 2 or 3 BSCs to that MSC?)
- f) as networks carry more traffic, the geographic area covered by one MSC or SGSN (of a given capacity) decreases. However, subscribers still tend to travel the same physical distances and therefore there are more inter-MSC/SGSN registration updates. The signalling associated with these inter MSC/SGSN updates causes additional load on MSCs, SGSNs, HLRs, the core network signalling networks and on the radio interface signalling channels.

The ability to connect RNCs and BSCs to more than one MSC and to more than one SGSN could reduce the above problems. In addition, the ability to provide load sharing between MSCs (SGSNs) would further improve the efficiency of hardware utilisation.

This work will focus on a solution where a routeing function is placed in the RNC (or BSC). This avoids most of the problems of a standalone node (TR 23.913 called it the Turbo Routeing Function), while retaining the other advantages of described in R'99, TR 23.913.

This Work Item (which is a Feature) proposes to provide a standardised mechanism for the connection of multiple MSCs (and SGSNs) to an RNC or a BSC (both A/Gb mode and Iu mode) which reduces mobility management signalling and permits improved efficiency in hardware utilisation.

4 Objective

The objective of this Work Item is to produce the necessary updates to the GERAN and RAN TSs.

The list of affected existing specifications is given in section 10.

5 Service Aspects

None

6 MMI-Aspects

None

7 Charging Aspects

None

8 Security Aspects

None

9 Impacts

Affects:	USIM	ME	AN	CN	Others
Yes		X	X		
No	X			X	X
Don't					
know					

10 Expected Output and Time scale (to be updated at each plenary)

				New spe	ecif	ications		
Spec No.	No. Title		Prime 2ndary Pres		sented for Approved at		Comments	
			Δffe	cted exist	ina	specification	ons	
Spec No.	CR	Subject	70	otou oxioti	9	Approved at		Comments
25.413		Addition of "cuload" messag					•	
43.051		GERAN archi			1			
44.018								This feature does not require changes to 44.018. However, work on the "future BSS" Work Item may need to take this feature into account
48.008 48.018		Addition of "comessage to B Addition of "comessage to B	SSMAP urrent S0		1			

Claude Arzelier, Vodafone

Work item leadership

GERAN 2

13 Supporting Companies

Vodafone, Nokia, Ericsson, Nortel, Mannesmann

14 Classification of the WI (if known)

	Feature (go to 14a)			
X	Building Block (go to 14b)			
	Work Task (go to 14c)			

14a The WI is a Feature: List of building blocks under this feature

(list of Work Items identified as building blocks)

14b The WI is a Building Block:

Parent Feature, F1 Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall

System Architecture

SA 2 is responsible for this.

The Iu interface changes in 25.413 are one Work Task for this BB. This work task is part of BB2: RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes

14c The WI is a Work Task: parent Building Block

Work Item Description

Title

CN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes

1 3GPP Work Area

	Radio Access
X	Core Network
	Services

2 Linked work items

- F1 Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall System Architecture SA 2 is responsible for this.
- BB2 RAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [RAN 3 is predicted to lead the work in RAN]
- BB3 GERAN work for Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes [GERAN 2 is predicted to lead the work in GERAN]

3 Justification

In the current network architecture, an RNC can only be connected to one MSC and/or one SGSN. The same restriction applies to BSCs. This has the following consequences:

- when a BSC (or RNC) has a relatively large capacity compared to that of an MSC/SGSN there are frequently significant wastages of hardware. (For example, if a BSC has 40% of the capacity of an MSC, do you connect 2 or 3 BSCs to that MSC?)
- h) as networks carry more traffic, the geographic area covered by one MSC or SGSN (of a given capacity) decreases. However, subscribers still tend to travel the same physical distances and therefore there are more inter-MSC/SGSN registration updates. The signalling associated with these inter MSC/SGSN updates causes additional load on MSCs, SGSNs, HLRs, the core network signalling networks and on the radio interface signalling channels.

The ability to connect RNCs and BSCs to more than one MSC and to more than one SGSN could reduce the above problems. In addition, the ability to provide load sharing between MSCs (SGSNs) would further improve the efficiency of hardware utilisation.

This work will focus on a solution where a routeing function is placed in the RNC (or BSC). This avoids most of the problems of a standalone node (TR 23.913 called it the Turbo Routeing Function), while retaining the other advantages of described in R'99, TR 23.913.

This Work Item (which is a Feature) proposes to provide a standardised mechanism for the connection of multiple MSCs (and SGSNs) to an RNC or a BSC (both A/Gb mode and Iu mode) which reduces mobility management signalling and permits improved efficiency in hardware utilisation.

4 Objective

The objective of this Work Item is to produce the necessary updates to the CN TSs and to verify that there is no impact on other CN TSs.

5 Service Aspects

None

6 MMI-Aspects

None

7 Charging Aspects

None

8 Security Aspects

None

9 Impacts

Affects:	USIM	ME	AN	CN	Others
Yes				X	
No	X	X	X		X
Don't					
know					

10 Expected Output and Time scale (to be updated at each plenary)

		·		New spe	cifications	·	·
Spec No.	Title		Prime rsp. WG	rsp. WG(s)	Presented for information at plenary#	Approved at plenary#	Comments
			Affe	cted existi	ng specificat	tions	
Spec No.	CR	Subject			Approved a	at plenary#	Comments
23.003		Rules for TMSI partitioning					Is this an SA2 or CN specification?
23.007		None?					Need to verify that no changes are needed.
24.008		Coding of I	NAS routeir	ng parame	ter		
29.002		None?					Need to verify that no changes are needed.
29.018		None?					Need to verify that no changes are needed.
29.060		None?					Need to verify that no changes are needed.

Work item raporteurs

Duncan Mills, Vodafone

Work item leadership

CN 1

13 Supporting Companies

Vodafone, Nokia, Ericsson, Nortel, Mannesmann

14 Classification of the WI (if known)

	Feature (go to 14a)
X	Building Block (go to 14b)
	Work Task (go to 14c)

14a The WI is a Feature: List of building blocks under this feature

(list of Work Items identified as building blocks)

14b The WI is a Building Block:

Parent Feature, F1: Intra Domain Connection of RAN Nodes to Multiple CN Nodes: Overall

System Architecture

SA 2 is responsible for this.

14c The WI is a Work Task: parent Building Block