TSG-RAN Meeting #9   *RP-000494*
Hawaii, US, 20th – 22nd September 2000

Source:	**TSG RAN**
To:	**TSG T WG2**
Cc:	
Title:	**Answer to TSG T2: Usage of Message type in TS 25.419 (SABP)**
Contact:	Carolyn Taylor ETSI (MCC) carolyn.taylor@etsi.fr
Document for:	**Approval**

_____

TSG-RAN thanks TSG-T WG2 for their liaison on Usage of Message type in TS 25.419.

TSG-T WG2 concerns were discussed during TSG RAN and RAN would like to answer to the

following concerns:

- *The CR to 25.419 (R3-001954) prepared by RAN WG3 for approval at RAN plenary in 2 weeks time is incorrect and should either be withdrawn until a new CR can be generated or a modification made to the CR.*

It seems that TSG T WG2 is confused in the terminology between 23.041 and 25.419. The

problem is with the 'Message Type' that 23.041 uses in the protocol between the CBC and UE,

where as all RAN APs use 'Message Type' for the identificaiton of the procedure and message.

TSG RAN didn't think this was a problem and therefore have approved CR016 in RP-000377 to 25.419. The stage 2 specification shouldn't mandate the naming in the stage 3. It is much more important that the stage 3 specification is consistent, and that is what TSG RAN approved this CR. If T2 is reluctant to align with the terminology generally used in the Iu reference point that is fine with TSG RAN. TSG RAN thinks that this issue can, if required, be solved for the next TSGs meeting and therefore has decided to approve the CR.