

**TSG-RAN Meeting #9
Hawaii, US, 20 - 22 September 2000**

TSGRP#9(00)0395

Title: Agreed CRs to TS 25.102

Source: TSG-RAN WG4

Agenda item: 5.4.3

Tdoc Num	TS	CR number	Title	TYPE	Status	Cur_Ver	New_Ver
R4-000604	25.102	32	Performance requirements with TFCI decoding for TDD UE	F	agreed	3.3.0	3.4.0
R4-000699	25.102	33	Performance test for UE power control in downlink	F	agreed	3.3.0	3.4.0
R4-000728	25.102	34	Definition of period for frequency error	F	agreed	3.3.0	3.4.0
R4-000776	25.102	35	Handling of measurement uncertainties in UE radio conformance testing (TDD)	F	agreed	3.3.0	3.4.0

<h2 style="margin: 0;">CHANGE REQUEST</h2>		<small>Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly.</small>
25.102	CR	32
<small>GSM (AA.BB) or 3G (AA.BBB) specification number ↑</small>		<small>↑ CR number as allocated by MCC support team</small>
For submission to: RAN 9	for approval <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Current Version: 3.3.0
<small>list expected approval meeting # here ↑</small>	for information <input type="checkbox"/>	strategic <input type="checkbox"/> (for SMG use only)
		non-strategic <input type="checkbox"/>

Form: CR cover sheet, version 2 for 3GPP and SMG The latest version of this form is available from: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/CR-Form-v2.doc

Proposed change affects: (U)SIM ME UTRAN / Radio Core Network
(at least one should be marked with an X)

Source: RAN WG4 **Date:** 08/09/2000

Subject: Performance requirements with TFCI decoding

Work item:

Category:	F Correction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release <input type="checkbox"/> B Addition of feature <input type="checkbox"/> C Functional modification of feature <input type="checkbox"/> D Editorial modification <input type="checkbox"/>	Release:	Phase 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 96 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 97 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 98 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 99 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Release 00 <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--	-----------------	--

(only one category shall be marked with an X)

Reason for change: This CR proposes to note that the requirements of demodulation of DCH are applicable to the case with transport format detection using TFCI.

Clauses affected: 8.2; 8.3

Other specs affected:	Other 3G core specifications <input type="checkbox"/> Other GSM core specifications <input type="checkbox"/> MS test specifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BSS test specifications <input type="checkbox"/> O&M specifications <input type="checkbox"/>	→ List of CRs: → List of CRs: → List of CRs: → List of CRs: → List of CRs:
------------------------------	--	--

Other comments:

8.2 Demodulation in static propagation conditions

8.2.1 Demodulation of DCH

The performance requirement of DCH in static propagation conditions is determined by the maximum Block Error Ratio (BLER). The BLER is specified for each individual data rate of the DCH. DCH is mapped into the Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH).

8.2.1.1 Minimum requirement

For the parameters specified in Table 8.2 the BLER should not exceed the piece-wise linear BLER curve specified in Table 8.3. These requirements are applicable for TFCS size 16.

Table 8.2: DCH parameters in static propagation conditions

Parameters	Unit	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Test 4
$\frac{\Sigma DPCH - E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-6	-3	0	0
I_{oc}	dBm/3.84 MHz	-60			
Information Data Rate	kbps	12.2	64	144	384

Table 8.3: Performance requirements in AWGN channel.

Test Number	$\frac{\hat{I}_{or}}{I_{oc}}$ [dB]	BLER
1	0.1	10^{-2}
2	2.3	10^{-1}
	2.6	10^{-2}
3	2.2	10^{-1}
	2.4	10^{-2}
4	1.6	10^{-1}
	1.8	10^{-2}

8.3 Demodulation of DCH in multipath fading conditions

8.3.1 Multipath fading Case 1

The performance requirement of DCH is determined by the maximum Block Error Ratio (BLER). The BLER is specified for each individual data rate of the DCH. DCH is mapped into the Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH).

8.3.1.1 Minimum requirement

For the parameters specified in Table 8.4 the BLER should not exceed the piece-wise linear BLER curve specified in Table 8.5. These requirements are applicable for TFCS size 16.

Table 8.4: DCH parameters in multipath Case 1 channel

Parameters	Unit	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Test 4
$\frac{\Sigma DPCH - E_c}{I_{or}}$	DB	-6	-3	0	0
I_{oc}	dBm/3.84 MHz	-60			
Information Data Rate	kbps	12.2	64	144	384

Table 8.5: Performance requirements in multipath Case 1 channel.

Test Number	$\frac{\hat{I}_{or}}{I_{oc}}$ [dB]	BLER
1	13.5	10^{-2}
2	13.3	10^{-1}
	19.6	10^{-2}
3	13.3	10^{-1}
	19.7	10^{-2}
4	13.5	10^{-1}
	20.2	10^{-2}

8.3.2 Multipath fading Case 2

The performance requirement of DCH is determined by the maximum Block Error Ratio (BLER). The BLER is specified for each individual data rate of the DCH. DCH is mapped into the Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH).

8.3.2.1 Minimum requirement

For the parameters specified in Table 8.6 the BLER should not exceed the piece-wise linear BLER curve specified in Table 8.7. These requirements are applicable for TFCS size 16.

Table 8.6: DCH parameters in multipath Case 2 channel

Parameters	Unit	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Test 4
$\frac{\Sigma DPCH - E_c}{I_{or}}$	DB	-3	0	0	0
I_{oc}	dBm/3.84 MHz	-60			
Information Data Rate	kbps	12.2	64	144	384

Table 8.7: Performance requirements in multipath Case 2 channel.

Test Number	$\frac{\hat{I}_{or}}{I_{oc}}$ [dB]	BLER
1	5.5	10^{-2}
2	5.8	10^{-1}

	9.7	10^{-2}
3	9.5	10^{-1}
	13.2	10^{-2}
4	8.5	10^{-1}
	12.6	10^{-2}

8.3.3 Multipath fading Case 3

The performance requirement of DCH is determined by the maximum Block Error Ratio (BLER). The BLER is specified for each individual data rate of the DCH. DCH is mapped into the Dedicated Physical Channel (DPCH).

8.3.3.1 Minimum requirement

For the parameters specified in Table 8.8 the BLER should not exceed the piece-wise linear BLER curve specified in Table 8.9. These requirements are applicable for TFCS size 16.

Table 8.8: DCH parameters in multipath Case 3 channel

Parameters	Unit	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Test 4
$\frac{\Sigma DPCH - E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	-3	0	0	0
I_{oc}	dBm/3.84 MHz	-60			
Information Data Rate	kbps	12.2	64	144	384

Table 8.9: Performance requirements in multipath Case 3 channel.

Test Number	$\frac{\hat{I}_{or}}{I_{oc}}$ [dB]	BLER
12.2 kbps	4.7	10^{-2}
64 kbps	5.2	10^{-1}
	8.4	10^{-2}
	12.1	10^{-3}
144 kbps	11.7	10^{-1}
	15.2	10^{-2}
	17.8	10^{-3}
384 kbps	8.2	10^{-1}
	11.3	10^{-2}
	13.0	10^{-3}

8.5 Power control in downlink

Power control in the downlink is the ability of the UE receiver to converge to the required link quality set by the network while using minimum downlink power.

8.5.1 Minimum requirements

For the parameters specified in Table 8.12 the average downlink \hat{I}_{or}/I_{oc} power shall not exceed the values specified in Table 8.13. Downlink power control is ON during the test.

Table 8.12: Test parameters for downlink power control

Parameter	Unit	Test 1	Test 2
$\frac{DPCH_E_c}{I_{or}}$	dB	0	[]
I_{oc}	dBm/3.84 MHz	-60	
Information Data Rate	kbps	12.2	
Target quality value on DTCH	BLER	0.01	
Propagation condition		Case 4	

Table 8.13: Requirements for downlink power control

Parameter	Unit	Test 1	Test 2
\hat{I}_{or}/I_{oc}	dB	[]	[]
Measured quality on DTCH	BLER	0.01±30%	0.01±30%

Annex B (normative): Propagation conditions

B.1 Static propagation condition

The propagation for the static performance measurement is an Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN) environment. No fading and multi-paths exist for this propagation model.

B.2 Multi-path fading propagation conditions

Table B2 shows propagation conditions that are used for the performance measurements in multi-path fading environment. All taps have classical Doppler spectrum.

Table B2: Propagation Conditions for Multi path Fading Environments

Case 1, speed 3km/h		Case 2, speed 3 km/h		Case 3, 120 km/h		Case 4, 3 km/h	
Relative Delay [ns]	Average Power [dB]	Relative Delay [ns]	Average Power [dB]	Relative Delay [ns]	Average Power [dB]	Relative Delay [ns]	Average Power [dB]
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
976	-10	976	0	260	-3	976	0
		12000	0	521	-6		
				781	-9		

CHANGE REQUEST

Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly.

25.102 CR 34

Current Version: **3.3.0**

GSM (AA.BB) or 3G (AA.BBB) specification number ↑

↑ CR number as allocated by MCC support team

For submission to: **TSG RAN #9**

list expected approval meeting # here ↑

for approval
for information

strategic
non-strategic (for SMG use only)

Form: CR cover sheet, version 2 for 3GPP and SMG The latest version of this form is available from: ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/CR-Form-v2.doc

Proposed change affects:

(at least one should be marked with an X)

(U)SIM ME UTRAN / Radio Core Network

Source:

RAN WG4

Date:

2000-09-07

Subject:

Definition of period for frequency error

Work item:

Category:

(only one category shall be marked with an X)

F Correction
A Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release
B Addition of feature
C Functional modification of feature
D Editorial modification

Release:

Phase 2
Release 96
Release 97
Release 98
Release 99
Release 00

Reason for change:

The observation period for frequency error is missing.

Clauses affected:

6.3

Other specs affected:

Other 3G core specifications → List of CRs:
Other GSM core specifications → List of CRs:
MS test specifications → List of CRs:
BSS test specifications → List of CRs:
O&M specifications → List of CRs:

Other comments:

6.3 UE frequency stability

The UE modulated carrier frequency shall be accurate to within ± 0.1 PPM observed over a period of one timeslot compared to carrier frequency received from the BS. These signals will have an apparent error due to BS frequency error and Doppler shift. In the later case, signals from the BS must be averaged over sufficient time that errors due to noise or interference are allowed for within the above ± 0.1 PPM figure. The UE shall use the same frequency source for both RF frequency generation and the chip clock.

<h2 style="margin: 0;">CHANGE REQUEST</h2>		<small>Please see embedded help file at the bottom of this page for instructions on how to fill in this form correctly.</small>
25.102	CR	35
<small>GSM (AA.BB) or 3G (AA.BBB) specification number ↑</small>		<small>↑ CR number as allocated by MCC support team</small>
Current Version: 3.3.0		
For submission to: RAN #9 <small>list expected approval meeting # here ↑</small>	for approval for information	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
		strategic <input type="checkbox"/> non-strategic <input type="checkbox"/> <small>(for SMG use only)</small>

Form: CR cover sheet, version 2 for 3GPP and SMG The latest version of this form is available from: <ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/Information/CR-Form-v2.doc>

Proposed change affects: (U)SIM ME UTRAN / Radio Core Network
(at least one should be marked with an X)

Source: RAN WG4 **Date:** 2000-09-06

Subject: Handling of measurement uncertainties in UE radio conformance testing (TDD)

Work item: _____

Category:	F Correction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A Corresponds to a correction in an earlier release <input type="checkbox"/> B Addition of feature <input type="checkbox"/> C Functional modification of feature <input type="checkbox"/> D Editorial modification <input type="checkbox"/>	Release:	Phase 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 96 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 97 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 98 <input type="checkbox"/> Release 99 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Release 00 <input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--	-----------------	--

(only one category shall be marked with an X)

Reason for change: Alignment with draft ITU/R recommendation on handling of measurement uncertainties for a global circulation of terminals

Clauses affected: 4.1

Other specs affected:	Other 3G core specifications <input type="checkbox"/> → List of CRs: Other GSM core specifications <input type="checkbox"/> → List of CRs: MS test specifications <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> → List of CRs: BSS test specifications <input type="checkbox"/> → List of CRs: O&M specifications <input type="checkbox"/> → List of CRs:	
------------------------------	---	--

Other comments: _____



<----- double-click here for help and instructions on how to create a CR.

4.1 Measurement uncertainty Test tolerances

The requirements given in the present document make no allowance for measurement uncertainty. ~~Where the measurement uncertainty can be determined, The test specification 34.122 Annex F defines test tolerances. These test tolerances are individually calculated for each test. The test tolerances are then added to the limits in this specification to create test limits. The measurement results are compared against the test limits as defined by the shared risk principle. the test limit shall be relaxed from the value given in the present document. See Annex F of 34.122. Where the measurement uncertainty cannot reasonably be determined, the "Shared Risk" principle is applied, i.e. the test limit is not relaxed.~~

The Shared Risk principle is defined in ETR ~~273~~ Part 1 sub-part 2 section 6.5028.