

Work Plan for FS_MINT-CT


LG Electronics





Time plan after CT1#129e

The rapporteur's plan for FS_MINT-CT study is as follows:



NOW

Impact of the LSeS to SA1 / SA3

CT1 sent four LSeS to SA1 and SA3 in CT1#128e meeting

- C1-211189: LS on broadcasting from other PLMN in case of Disaster Condition (to SA3)
 - 1) whether receiving and utilizing broadcast information as being studied in TR 24.811 from PLMNs other than the PLMN with Disaster Condition, which can be the home PLMN or a visited PLMN, pose any security risks; and
 - 2) if the answer to Q1 is yes, then what would be SA3's recommendations from security perspective?
- C1-211192: LS on disaster roaming for MINT related to PLMN change (SA1)
 - 1) Can disaster roaming service be provided to the UE in disaster area by the PLMN with DC over 3GPP access?
 - 2) Can disaster roaming service be provided to the UE in disaster area by the PLMN with DC over non-3GPP access?
- C1-211237: LS on HPLMN control of devices that should not use disaster roaming service (SA1)
 - Is there a requirement for the HPLMN to preclude certain UEs from attempting to use disaster roaming service?
- C1-211324: LS on disaster roaming and non-public network hosted by a PLMN (SA1)
 - When a CAG-supporting UE determines that Disaster Condition applies, and a PLMN can provide disaster roaming to the UE, is the UE without CAG configuration for the PLMN allowed to select and register on a CAG cell of the PLMN?



Impact of the LSeS to SA1 / SA3

- Conclusions of each Key issues in TR 24.811 could be affected by the response from SA1 or SA3 to those Lses
- SA1 is expected to discuss and respond before CT1#130 starts
- SA3 can send back the response by the 1st week of the CT1#130 meeting, as SA3#103 meeting starts earlier than CT1
- The rapporteur will bring pCRs for those issues as a placeholder. The contents of pCRs can be updated based on the reply LSeS.

Making conclusions

- All the key issues other than KI#2 need to be concluded in this meeting.
- Asking questions from the moderated discussion would be helpful
 - Some of them needs to be refined
- If a conclusion on a question or sub-issue is not agreed during this meeting, than the question or the issue can be either (issue by issue)
 - handled during the normative phase, if the issue is considered as a “stage 3 topic”; or
 - taken out from Rel-17 work, and no normative work will be done for it.
- At least a “basis solution” needs to be considered (e.g. using existing functionality, with least system impacts).



Annex. Meeting calendar in 1H/2021

Apr							May							Jun									
S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S	S	M	T	W	T	F	S			
				1	2	3							1			1	2	3	4	5			
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	7	8	9	10	11	12			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	13	14	15	16	17	18	19			
	S2#144							S1#94								CT#92			SA#92				
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	20	21	22	23	24	25	26			
	C1#129							S2#145			C1#130						SA#92						
								S3#103			S1#94												
25	26	27	28	29	30		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	27	28	29	30						
								C1#130				S2#145											
								S3#103															
							30	31															