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\*\*\*\*\* First change \*\*\*\*\*

#### 6.3.2.5 Abnormal cases on the network side

The following abnormal cases can be identified:

a) Expiry of timer T3591.

On the first expiry of the timer T3591, the SMF shall resend the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND message and shall reset and restart timer T3591. This retransmission is repeated four times, i.e. on the fifth expiry of timer T3591, the SMF shall abort the procedure and enter the state PDU SESSION ACTIVE.

The SMF may continue to use the previous configuration of the PDU session or initiate the network-requested PDU session release procedure. If the SMF decides to continue to use the previous configuration of the PDU session and

i) the authorized QoS rules IE is included in the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND message, the SMF may mark the corresponding authorized QoS rule(s) of the PDU session as to be synchronised with the UE; and

ii) the authorized QoS flow descriptions IE is included in the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND message, the SMF may mark the corresponding authorized QoS flow description(s) of the PDU session as to be synchronised with the UE.

b) Invalid PDU session identity.

Upon receipt of the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND REJECT message including 5GSM cause #43 "invalid PDU session identity", the SMF shall release locally the existing PDU session.

c) Collision of UE-requested PDU session release procedure and network-requested PDU session modification procedure.

If the SMF receives a PDU SESSION RELEASE REQUEST message during the network-requested PDU session modification procedure, and the PDU session indicated in the PDU SESSION RELEASE REQUEST message is the PDU session that the SMF had requested to modify, the SMF shall abort the PDU session modification procedure and proceed with the UE-requested PDU session release procedure.

d) Collision of UE-requested PDU session modification procedure and network-requested PDU session modification procedure.

If the network receives a PDU SESSION MODIFICATION REQUEST message during the network-requested PDU session modification procedure, and the PDU session indicated in the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION REQUEST message is the PDU session that the network had requested to modify, the network shall ignore the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION REQUEST message received in the state PDU SESSION MODIFICATION PENDING. The network shall proceed with the network-requested PDU session modification procedure as if no PDU SESSION MODIFICATION REQUEST message was received from the UE.

e) 5G access network cannot forward the message.

If the SMF determines based on content of the n2SmInfo attribute specified in 3GPP TS 29.502 [20A] that the DL NAS TRANSPORT message carrying the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND message was not forwarded to the UE by the 5G access network, then the SMF shall abort the procedure and enter the state PDU SESSION ACTIVE.

f) 5G access network cannot forward the message due to handover, EPS fallback or RAT fallback.

If the SMF determines based on content of the n2SmInfo attribute specified in 3GPP TS 29.502 [20A] that the DL NAS TRANSPORT message carrying the PDU SESSION MODIFICATION COMMAND message was not forwarded to the UE by the 5G access network due to handover, or EPS fallback or RAT fallback for IMS voice as specified in 3GPP TS 38.413 [31], then the SMF shall abort the procedure and enter the state PDU SESSION ACTIVE.

The SMF may re-initiate, up to a pre-configured number of times, the network-requested PDU session modification procedure when the SMF detects that the handover, or EPS fallback or RAT fallback for IMS voice as specified in 3GPP TS 38.413 [31], is completed successfully or has failed or at the expiry of the configured guard timer as specified in 3GPP TS 23.502 [9].

\*\*\*\*\* Next change \*\*\*\*\*

#### 6.4.1.6 Abnormal cases in the UE

The following abnormal cases can be identified:

a) Expiry of timer T3580

The UE shall, on the first expiry of the timer T3580:

- if the PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message was sent with request type set to "initial emergency request" or "existing emergency PDU session", then the UE may:

a) inform the upper layers of the failure of the procedure; or

NOTE: This can result in the upper layers requesting another emergency call attempt using domain selection as specified in 3GPP TS 23.167 [6].

b) de-register locally, if not de-registered already, attempt initial registration for emergency services.

- otherwise, retransmit the PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message and the PDU session information which was transported together with the initial transmission of the PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message and shall reset and start timer T3580, if still needed. This retransmission can be repeated up to four times, i.e. on the fifth expiry of timer T3580, the UE shall abort the procedure, release the allocated PTI and enter the state PROCEDURE TRANSACTION INACTIVE.

b) Upon receiving an indication that the 5GSM message was not forwarded due to routing failure along with a PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message with the PDU session ID IE set to the same value as the PDU session ID that was sent by the UE, the UE shall stop timer T3580 and shall abort the procedure. If the UE sent the PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message in order for the handover of an existing non-emergency PDU session between 3GPP access and non-3GPP access, the UE shall consider that the PDU session is associated with the source access type.

b1) Upon receiving an indication that the 5GSM message was not forwarded due to service area restrictions along with a PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message with the PDU session ID IE set to the same value as the PDU session ID that was sent by the UE, the UE shall stop timer T3580 and shall abort the procedure.

c) Collision of UE-requested PDU session establishment procedure and network-requested PDU session release procedure.

If the UE receives a PDU SESSION RELEASE COMMAND message after sending a PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message to the network, and the PDU session ID in the PDU SESSION RELEASE COMMAND message is the same as the PDU session ID in the PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT REQUEST message, the UE shall ignore the PDU SESSION RELEASE COMMAND message and proceed with the UE-requested PDU session establishment procedure.

x) Inter-system change from N1 mode to S1 mode triggered during UE-requested PDU session establishment procedure.

If the UE-requested PDU session establishment procedure is triggered for handover of an existing PDU session from non-3GPP access to 3GPP access, and the inter-system change from N1 mode to S1 mode is triggered by the NG-RAN (e.g. EPS fallback) before PDU SESSION ESTABLISHMENT ACCEPT is received, the UE shall abort the procedure, stop timer T3580, and notify the upper layer of the handover failure.

NOTE: This can result in the upper layer requesting re-initiation of handover from non-3GPP access to 3GPP access after the inter-system change is completed, if still required.

\*\*\*\*\* End change \*\*\*\*\*