#### 3GPP TSG-CN Meeting #26 8th ñ 10th December 2004. Athens, Greece.

Source:	TSG CN WG3
Title:	CRs to Rel-6 on Work Item ì QoS1î (Gq interface)
Agenda item:	9.20
Document for:	APPROVAL

#### Introduction:

This document contains 9 CRs to Rel-6 on Work Item iQoS1î(Gq interface) that have been agreed by TSG CN WG3, and are forwarded to TSG CN Plenary for approval.

WG_tdoc	Spec	CR	R	Cat	Title	Rel	C_Ver	Work Item
N3-040700	29.208	075	2	F	Clarification on Mapping Table 7.1.1.1	Rel- 6	6.1.0	QoS1
N3-040678	29.209	001	1	F	semantics of updated Flow-Description AVP(s)	Rel- 6	6.0.0	QoS1
N3-040679	29.209	002	1	F	Flow grouping AVPs in modified service information	Rel- 6	6.0.0	QoS1
N3-040680	29.209	003	1	F	Smaller corrections to avoid misinterpretations	Rel- 6	6.0.0	QoS1
N3-040895 (Note 1)	29.208	076	3	С	Allowing the use of Application identifier for IMS	Rel- 6	6.1.0	QoS1
N3-040897 (Note 2)	29.209	008	3	F	Resource reservation at PDF	Rel- 6	6.0.0	QoS1
N3-040896 (Note 3)	29.209	009	1	F	Bandwidth attributes	Rel- 6	6.0.0.	QoS1
N3-040825	29.208	074	3		Correcting Mapping Table[Update to existing CR 074 against 29.208]	Rel- 6	6.1.0	QoS1
N3-040890	29.209	010		D	Modification to Gq protocols to make it more generic	Rel- 6	6.0.0	QoS1

Note 1: This CR is an editorial revision of N3-040702

Note 2: This CR is an editorial revision of N3-040875

Note 3: This CR is an editorial revision of N3-040802

# 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #33bis

# Tdoc # N3-040700

Sophia Antipolis, France. 4<sup>th</sup> ñ 7<sup>th</sup> October 2004.

CHANGE REQUEST									
<sup>ж</sup> 29	0.208 CR 075	жrev	<b>2</b> <sup>#</sup>	Current versio	<sup>n:</sup> 6.1.0	æ			
For <u>HELP</u> on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the $#$ symbols.									
Proposed change affects: UICC apps <b>#</b> ME Radio Access Network Core Network <b>X</b>									
Title: ೫ Cla	arification on Mapping Tat	ole 7.1.1.1							
Source: 🕷 Sie	emens								
Work item code: 🕷 🛛 Qo	oS1			Date: 🕱	27/09/2004				
Category:       F       Release:       Rel-6         Use one of the following categories:       Use one of the following releases:       Ph2       (GSM Phase 2)         A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)       R96       (Release 1996)         B (addition of feature),       R97       (Release 1997)         C (functional modification of feature)       R98       (Release 1998)         D (editorial modification)       R99       (Release 1999)         Detailed explanations of the above categories can       Rel-4       (Release 4)         be found in 3GPP TR 21.900.       Rel-6       (Release 6)									
<b>Reason for change: X</b> According to TS 29.209, AVPs supplied previously within the Media_Component_Description AVPs and Media_Subcomponent AVPs remain valid. However, Table 7.1.1.1 may be understood to refer only to the latest service information. It also provides rules to handle cases where AVPs are provided, e.g. max Max-Requested-Bandwidth.									
Summary of change: ₩	<ol> <li>An outdated editor</li> <li>is ffs is removed.</li> <li>A Note is added cla already been suppl</li> <li>References to NOT note provides a refe</li> <li>A Note is added cla Component-Descrip information, the cor shall be used, as sp</li> </ol>	arifying that A ied, as speci E 3 in table erence for SI arifying that if ption AVP or responding i	VPs may fied in TS 7.1.0.1 at OP param AVPs at Media-S nformatio	y be omitted if t S 29.209. re moved to co neters handled re omitted within ub-Component on from previou	the same valu rrect location in the right c n a Media- t AVP of the s	ue has , as the olumn. service			
Consequences if R not approved:	Table 7.1.1.1 is ambigu QoS is no longer well-d		ination w	ith TS 29.209.	Thus, the au	thorized			
Clauses affected: #	4, 7.1.0, 7.1.1								

		Υ	Ν			
Other specs	æ		Χ	Other core specifications	ж	
affected:			Χ	Test specifications		
			Χ	O&M Specifications		

Other comments: #

# 4 Authorize QoS resources

Editorís note: The Multi-Round feature of the Diameter base protocol is FFS.

# Next modified Section

# 7.1 QoS parameter mapping between AF and GPRS

#### 7.1.0 SDP parameters to service information mapping in AF

The mapping described in this clause is mandatory for the P-CSCF and should also be applied by other AFs if the SDI is SDP.

When a session is initiated or modified the P-CSCF shall use the mapping rules in table 7.1.0.1 for each SDP media component to derive a Media-Component-Description AVP from the SDP Parameters. Furthermore, the P-CSCF shall map information about the grouping of media lines into resource reservation flows into the Flow-Grouping AVP as specified in table 7.1.0.3.

. . .

service information per	Derivation from SDP Parameters
Media-Component-	(see NOTE 2)
Description AVP	
(NOTE 1; Note x)	
Media-Component-	ordinal number of the position of the "m=" line in the SDP
Number	
AF-Application-Identifier	The AF-Application-Identifier AVP may be supplied or omitted, depending on
Ar-Application-identifier	the application. For IMS, if the AF-Application-Identifier AVP is supplied,
	its value shall not demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class
	handling.
Media-Type	The Media Type AVP shall be included with the same value as supplied for
Media-Type	the media type in the "m=" line.
Flow-Status	IF port in m-line = 0 THEN
	Flow-Status:= REMOVED;
	ELSE
	IF a=recvonly THEN
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_DOWNLINK;</pre>
	ELSE /* mobile terminated */
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_UPLINK;</pre>
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	IF a=sendonly THEN
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_UPLINK;</pre>
	ELSE /* mobile terminated */
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_DOWNLINK;</pre>
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	IF a=inactive THEN
	Flow-Status :=DISABLED;
	ELSE /* a=sendrecv or no direction attribute */
	Flow-Status := ENABLED
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
M. D	ENDIF;
Max-Requested-	IF b=AS: <bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth>
Bandwidth	Max-Requested-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth> * 1000; /* Unit is bit/s</bandwidth>
	ELSE Max-Requested-Bandwidth:= <operator setting="" specific="">,</operator>
	or AVP not supplied;
	ENDIF;
RR-Bandwidth	IF b=RR: <bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth>
	RR-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth>;</bandwidth>
(NOTE 3)	
	AVP not supplied
	ENDIF; (NOTE 3)
RS-Bandwidth	IF b=RS: <bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth>
(NOTE 3)	RS-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth>;</bandwidth>
	ELSE
	AVP not supplied
	ENDIF; (NOTE 3)
Media-Sub-Component	Supply one AVP for each Flow Identifier within the media component. The
- <b>-</b>	Flow identifiers are derived according to Annex D of 3GPP TS 29.207 [7].
	The encoding of the AVP is described in Table 7.1.0.2
NOTE 1: The encoding of the	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].
	ers are described in RFC 2327 [9].
	=RR:í SDP bandwidth modifiers are defined in RFC 3556 [10].
	omitted if they have been supplied in previous service information and have not changed, as
detailed in TS 29.2	

# Table 7.1.0.1: Rules for derivation of service information within Media-Component-Description AVP from SDP media component

Table 7.1.0.2: Rules for derivation of Media-Sub-Component AVP from SDP media component
---

Gq service information	Derivation from SDP Parameters
per Media-Sub-	(see NOTE 2)
Component AVP	
(NOTE 1 <mark>, NOTE x</mark> )	
Flow-Number	derived according to Annex C of 3GPP TS 29.207 [7]
Flow-Status	AVP not supplied
Max-Requested-	AVP not supplied
Bandwidth-UL	
Max-Requested-	AVP not supplied
Bandwidth-DL	
Flow-Description	For uplink and dowlink direction, a Flow-Description AVP shall be provided
-	unless no IP Flows in this direction are described within the media
	component.
	The uplink destination address shall be copied from the "c=" line of
	downlink SDP.
	The uplink destination port shall be derived from the "m=" line of downlink SDP.
	The downlink destination address shall be copied from the "c=" line of
	uplink SDP.
	The downlink destination port shall be derived from the "m=" line of uplink
	SDP.
	Uplink and downlink source adresses should be set to "any" and source ports
	should not be supplied.
	Proto shall be derived from the transport of the "m=" line. For "RTP/AVP"
- <u></u>	proto is 17(UDP).
Flow-Usage	The Flow-Usage AVP shall be supplied with value "RTCP" if the IP flow(s) described in the Media-Sub-Component AVP are used to transport RTCP.
	Otherwise the Flow-Usage AVP shall not be supplied. RFC 2327 [9] specifies
	how RTCP flows are described within SDP.
NOTE 1. The encoding of th	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].
	ers are described in RFC 2327 [9].
	omitted if they have been supplied in previous service information and have not changed, as
detailed in TS 29.2	

#### Table 7.1.0.3: Rules for mapping SDP information about the grouping of media lines into resource reservation flows into the Flow Grouping AVP

Flow-Grouping AVP (NOTE1)	Derivation from SDP Parameters (see NOTE 2)						
Flow Grouping	For each SDP "a=group:SRF" SDP line, a Flow Grouping AVP shall be generated. (NOTE 3)						
Flows For each identification tag within "a=group:SRF" SDP line, a Flows AV containing a Media-Component-Number AVP identifying the corresponding line shall be generated. (NOTE 3) No Flow-Number AVP shall be supplied within the Flows AVP.							
within the Flows AVP. NOTE 1: The encoding of the service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12]. NOTE 2: The SDP parameters are described in RFC 2327 [9]. NOTE 3: The SDP igroupî attribute is defined in RFC 3388 [13]. The iSRFî semantics attribute within this grouping framework is defined in RFC 3524 [14].							

# 7.1.1 Gq service information to Authorized IP QoS parameters mapping in PDF

The QoS authorization is to be based on the parameters Maximum Authorized QoS Class and Maximum Authorized Data Rate UL/DL.

When a session is initiated or modified the PDF shall use the mapping rules in table 7.1.1.1 to derive the Authorized IP QoS parameters Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL and the Maximum Authorized QoS Class from the service information. In the case of forking, the various forked responses may have different QoS requirements for the IP flows of the same media component. Each Authorized IP QoS Parameter shall be set to the highest value requested for the IP flow(s) of that media component by any of the active forked responses. These values are derived by the rules in table 7.1.1.1

Authorized IP QoS Parameter per flow identifier	Derivation from service information (see note 4)
Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL (Max_DR_DL) and UL (Max_DR_UL) per flow	IF AF-Application-Identifier AVP demands application specific data rate handling THEN
identifier	<pre>Max_DR_UL:= as defined by application specific algorithm; Max_DR_DL:= as defined by application specific algorithm;</pre>
	ELSE
	IF not RTCP flow(s) according to Flow-Usage AVP THEN IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth is present THEN bw:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth ; ELSE
	<pre>bw:= as set by the operator; ENDIF</pre>
	<pre>IF Flow-Status = ENABLED_DOWNLINK THEN     Max_DR_UL:= 0;</pre>
	Max_DR_DL:= bw; ELSE
	IF Flow-Status = ENABLED_UPLINK THEN Max_DR_UL:= bw; Max_DR_DL:= 0;
	IF Flow-Status = REMOVED THEN
	Max_DR_UL:= 0; Max_DR_DL:= 0;
	ELSE /* Flow-Status = ENABLED or DISABLED */ Max_DR_UL:= bw;
	Max_DR_DL:= bw;
	ENDIF; ENDIF;
	ENDIF; ENDIF;
	ELSE /* RTCP IP flow(s) */ IF RS-Bandwidth is present and
	RR-Bandwidth is present THEN
	<pre>Max_DR_UL:= (RS-Bandwidth + RR-Bandwidth); Max_DR_DL:= (RS-Bandwidth + RR-Bandwidth); ELSE</pre>
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth is present THEN IF RS-Bandwidth is present and
	RR-Bandwidth is not present THEN Max_DR_UL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth, RS-Bandwidth];
	<pre>Max_DR_DL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth,</pre>
	IF RS-Bandwidth is not present and RR-Bandwidth is present THEN
	<pre>Max_DR_UL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth,</pre>
	RR-Bandwidth];
	<pre>ENDIF; IF RS-Bandwidth and RR-Bandwidth is not present THEN Max_DR_UL:= 0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth; Max_DR_DL:= 0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth; ENDIF;</pre>
	ENDIF; ELSE Max_DR_UL:= as set by the operator;
	<pre>Max_DR_DL:= as set by the operator; ENDIF;</pre>
	ENDIF; ENDIF;
	/* MAX-Requested-Bandwidth-UL and MAX-Requested-Bandwidth-DL take precedence*/
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL is present THEN Max_DR_UL:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL; ENDIF
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL is present THEN Max_DR_DL:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL;

#### Table 7.1.1.1: Rules for derivation of the Maximum Authorized Data Rates and Maximum Authorized QoS Class per flow identifier in the PDF

	ENDIF								
Maximum Authorized Oos	ENDIF;	ation anosifis Oog Class							
Maximum Authorized QoS	IF AF-Application-Identifier AVP demands application specific QoS Class handling THEN								
Class [MaxClass] per flow	MaxClass:= as defined by application specific algorithm;								
identifier	LSE								
(see notes 1, 2 and 3)	IF Media-Type is present THEN								
	IF (all media IP flows of media type "aud session have the same direction) THEN								
	MaxClassDerivation:=B;	/*streaming*/							
	ELSE	,,							
	MaxClassDerivation:=A;	/*conversational*/							
	ENDIF;								
	CASE Media-Type OF								
	"audio": MaxClass:= MaxClassDer								
	"video": MaxClass:= MaxClassDer								
	"application": MaxClass:=A; /*co								
	"data": MaxClass:=E; /*interactive with priori								
	<pre>"control": MaxClass:=C; /*ir /*new medi</pre>	nteractive with priority 1*/							
		ackground*/							
	END;	ickground"/							
	ELSE								
	MaxClass:= as defined by by operator;								
	ENDIF;								
	ENDIF;								
NOTE 1: The Maximum Aut flow.	horized QoS Class for a RTCP IP flow is the same as for th	e corresponding RTP media IP							
	eo IP flow (s) are removed from a session, the maximum A	uthorized OoS class shall keep the							
originally assigned									
5,5	eo IP flow(s) are added to a session, the PDF shall derive t	he maximum Authorized OcS							
	ccount the already existing media IP flow(s) within the sess								
	he service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12]. If AVPs								
	iption AVP or Media-Sub-Component AVP of the service in								
	revious service information shall be used, as specified in TS								
		5 20.200 [ 12].							

The PDF shall per ongoing session store the Authorized IP QoS parameters per flow identifier.

When the GGSN requests the Authorized UMTS QoS parameters for an activated/modified PDP Context carrying IP flows of media component(s), the PDF shall use the rules in table 7.1.1.2 to calculate the Authorized IP QoS parameters per Client Handle.

Authorized IP QoS Parameter per Client Handle	Calculation Rule
Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL and UL per Client Handle	<pre>Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle is the sum of all Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL for all the flow identifiers associated with that Client Handle. IF Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle &gt; 16000 kbps THEN Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle = 16000 kbps /* See 3GPP TS 23.107 [8] */ END;</pre>
Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle	Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle = MAX [Maximum Authorized QoS Class per flow identifier among all the flow identifiers associated with that Client Handle. (The MAX function ranks the possible Maximum Authorized QoS Class values as follows: "A" > "B" > "C" > "D" > "E" > "F") /* See 3GPP TS 29.207 [7]) */

 Table 7.1.1.2: Rules for calculating the Maximum Authorized Data Rates

 and Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle in the PDF

#### 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #33bis

Other comments:

Ħ

# Tdoc **# N3-040678**

Sophia Antipolis, France. 4<sup>th</sup> ñ 7<sup>th</sup> October 2004.

CHANGE REQUEST										
<b>H</b>	29	<mark>.209</mark> C	R <mark>001</mark>	жrev	1	Ħ	Current vers	sion:	6.0.0	<b>[</b> #]
For <u>HELP</u> or	n using	this form,	see bottom o	f this page o	<sup>.</sup> look	at th	e pop-up text	tover	<sup>.</sup> the <mark>ม</mark> ี sy	mbols.
Proposed chang	e affec	ts:   UIC	C apps <mark>#</mark>	ME	Rad	dio A	ccess Netwo	rk	Core N	etwork X
Title:	ж <mark>Se</mark>	mantics o	f updated Flov	w-Description	<mark>ו AVP ו</mark>	'(s)				
Source:	<mark>೫ Sie</mark>	mens								
Work item code:	<mark>೫ Qc</mark>	S1					Date: 🖁	27/	/09/2004	
Category:	Deta	F (correct A (corres B (addition C (function D (editoria iiled explan	following categ tion) ponds to a corro on of feature), onal modification al modification) nations of the al PP <u>TR 21.900</u> .	ection in an ea n of feature)		elease	Release: Use <u>one</u> of Ph2 Ph2 Ph2 R96 R97 R98 R99 Rel-4 Rel-5 Rel-6 Rel-7	the fo (GSN (Rele (Rele (Rele (Rele (Rele (Rele		) ) )
Reason for chan	ge: Ж		if new Flow D description A				in a media-su	ubcon	nponent r	eplace
Summary of cha	nge: Ж	New Flo	ow Description	<mark>n AVP(s) witł</mark>	nin a r	nedia	a-subcompor	ent re	eplace old	flow

	decription AVP(s).				
Consequences if not approved:	# Ambiguous semantics of Flow-Description AVP(s)				
Clauses affected:	<b>#</b> 6.5.20				
Other specs affected:	Y     N       X     Other core specifications     #       X     Test specifications       X     O&M Specifications				

### 6.5.20 Media-Sub-Component AVP

The Media-Sub-Component AVP (AVP code 519) is of type Grouped, and it contains the requested QoS and filters for the set of IP flows identified by their common Flow-Identifier. The Flow-Identifier is defined in 3GPP TS 29.207[4].

Possible Bandwidth information and Flow-Status information provided within the Media-Sub-Component AVP takes precedence over information within the encapsulating Media Component Description AVP. If a Media-Sub-Component- AVP is not supplied, or if optional AVP(s) within a Media-Sub-Component AVP are omitted, but corresponding information has been provided in previous Gq messages, the previous information for the corresponding IP flow(s) remains valid, unless new information is provided within the encapsulating Media-Component-Description AVP. If Flow-Description AVP(s) are supplied, they replace all previous Flow-Description AVP(s), even if a new Flow-Description AVP has the opposite direction as the previous Flow-Description AVP.

All IP flows within a Media-Sub-Component- AVP are permanently disabled by supplying a Flow Status AVP with value "REMOVED". The PDF may delete corresponding filters and state information.

AVP format:

# 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #33bis

# Tdoc **# N3-040679**

Sophia Antipolis, France. 4<sup>th</sup> ñ 7<sup>th</sup> October 2004.

	CHANGE	REQUES	Т	CR-Form-v7.1
<sup>ж</sup> 29	.209 CR 002	<mark>۶ rev 1</mark>	Current vers	ion: <b>6.0.0</b> <sup>第</sup>
For <u>HELP</u> on using a Proposed change affec	this form, see bottom of this t <b>s:</b> │ UICC apps <mark>æ</mark>		the pop-up text Access Networ	
Title: # Flo	w grouping AVPs in modifie	d service information	ation	
Source: 🕷 Sie	emens			
Work item code: 🕱 📿 Qo	S1		Date: 🔀	27/09/2004
Deta	one of the following categories <b>F</b> (correction) <b>A</b> (corresponds to a correction <b>B</b> (addition of feature), <b>C</b> (functional modification of fe <b>D</b> (editorial modification) hiled explanations of the above bund in 3GPP <u>TR 21.900</u> .	n in an earlier relea eature)	Ph2	Rel-6 the following releases: (GSM Phase 2) (Release 1996) (Release 1997) (Release 1998) (Release 1999) (Release 4) (Release 4) (Release 5) (Release 6) (Release 7)
Reason for change: 🕱	It is unclear if Flow Group information if provided ear Go procedures do not des grouping provided during	rlier. scribe an enforce	ment of a more	
Summary of change: ₩	If Flow-Grouping AVP(s) h are not provided in subsect remains valid. Rules for the service information are als An encoding to lift any rest Gq flow grouping shall not signalling is provided.	quent service info the meaning of Flo so provided. trictions on the flo	ormation, the ol ow Grouping A\ low grouping is	d flow grouping /Ps in subsequent also provided.
Consequences if <b>#</b> not approved:	Unclear semantics of flow	grouping AVP in	modified session	ion information.

Clauses affected:	₩ 6.5.9 YN
Other specs affected:	X     Other core specifications     X       X     Test specifications     X       X     O&M Specifications     X
Other comments:	* *

### 6.5.9 Flow-Grouping AVP

The Flow-Grouping AVP (AVP code 508) is of type Grouped, and it indicates that no other IP Flows shall be transported together with the listed IP Flows in the same PDP context(s).

If Flow-Grouping AVP(s) have been provided in earlier service information, but are not provided in subsequent service information, the old flow grouping remains valid.

<u>If Flow-Grouping AVP(s) have been provided in earlier service information, and new Flow-Grouping AVP(s) are</u> provided, the new flow grouping information replaces the previous information. Previous flow grouping information is invalidated even if the new Flow-Grouping AVP(s) affect other IP flows.

<u>A Flow-Grouping AVP containing no Flows AVP may be used to invalidate flow grouping information provided in</u> earlier service information. A Flow-Grouping AVP containing no Flows AVP shall not be supplied together with other Flow-Grouping AVP(s).

If earlier service information has already been provided, flow grouping information in subsequent service information shall not restrict the flow grouping further for IP flows already described in the previous service information. However, new IP flows described for the first time in the subsequent service information may be added to existing flow groups or in new flow groups.

AVP Format:

Flow-Grouping ::= < AVP Header:  $x > \frac{1*[*[Flows]]}{2}$ 

### 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #33bis

# Tdoc **# N3-040680**

Sophia Antipolis, France. 4<sup>th</sup> ñ 7<sup>th</sup> October 2004.

CHANGE REQUEST								
<sup>)#</sup> 29	<mark>9.209</mark> CR <mark>003</mark>	xrev 1	Current version	on: 6.0.0 <sup>#</sup>				
For <u>HELP</u> on using Proposed change affe	g this form, see bottom of this		t the pop-up text o					
	maller corrections to avoid mi	sinterpretations	5					
Source:	Siemens QoS1		Date: 🔀	27/09/2004				
Det	<ul> <li>a <u>one</u> of the following categories</li> <li><i>F</i> (correction)</li> <li><i>A</i> (corresponds to a correction</li> <li><i>B</i> (addition of feature),</li> <li><i>C</i> (functional modification of feature)</li> <li><i>D</i> (editorial modification)</li> <li>atailed explanations of the above found in 3GPP <u>TR 21.900</u>.</li> </ul>	n in an earlier rele eature)	Ph2 (( ease) R96 (/ R97 (/ R98 (/ R99 (/ Rel-4 (/ Rel-5 (/ Rel-6 (/	Rel-6 ne following releases: GSM Phase 2) Release 1996) Release 1997) Release 1998) Release 1999) Release 4) Release 5) Release 5) Release 7)				
Reason for change:	<ul> <li>mentioned. Unnecessa several times.</li> <li>(5.1.6) Revoke authoriz sessions use same PD</li> <li>(5.2.2) According to me</li> <li>(5.2.3) Inhomogenous mentioned only here. N mandated by Diameter</li> <li>(5.3.1) The PDF does n</li> <li>(6.3.7) According to TS for the authorized sess</li> <li>(6.5.3) Word imayî cou sending of the Access- elsewhere and sending</li> <li>(6.5.12) Meaning of iR According to TS 29.200 0 in SDP)</li> <li>(6.5.14) Description of AAR are clearly identification</li> </ul>	ary to supply Ac zation needs to P context, but essage descrip description: Se lo need to desc to need to desc 29.208, ASR ion are no long ld be understo Network-Charg g is not optional emovedî Value 8, this is used v Gq Specific ac ied, parts intere	ccess-Network-Cha consider case wh this is detailed in 1 tion, RAR is optior nding of Diameter cribe this, as send e information, but is only used when er available od as normative. I ging-Identifier AVP I. of Flow status AV when media compo-	arging-Identifier(s) ere several AF TS 29.207 hal. answer message ing of answers is receives it. ALL bearer resources n fact, conditions for are detailed /P is unclear. onent is removed (port				
Summary of change:₿	understood to apply in 1. (5.1.4) The PDF shall Access-Network-Char supplied earlier to the 2. (5.1.6) Reference to d	enforce bearer ging-Address is AF.	s only required to					

	<ol> <li>(5.2.2) Enhanced wording: The AF does not need to send a new authorization request</li> <li>(5.2.4) Diameter answer message no longer mentioned.</li> <li>(5.3.1) word ipassesî replaced by ireceivesî</li> <li>(6.3.7) word iallî added.</li> <li>(6.5.3) descriptive wording is used with term ican beî.</li> <li>(6.5.12) description of Removed value is revised. This value shall be used to remove all associated IP flow(s). All associated IP flow(s) in both directions shall be dissabled. The IP Filters for the associated IP flow(s) may be removed. The associated IP flows shall not be taken into account when deriving the authorized QoS.</li> <li>(6.5.14) Parts of the description applying to AAR only are clearly identified.</li> </ol>
Consequences if # not approved:	Ambiguous specification and contradictions to TS 29.207 and 29.208
Clauses affected: #	
Other specs	YN
Other comments: ೫	

### 5.1.4 Session modification

The PDF may receive the AA-Request message from the AF with modified service information. The PDF shall store the SBLP for the session based on the new service information. The PDF shall acknowledge the session modification by issuing an AA-Answer back to the AF and shall include the Access-Network-Charging-Identifier(s) and may include the Access-Network-Charging-Address, if they are available at this moment and have not yet been supplied earlier to the AF. The PDF shall enforce corresponding bearer modifications as detailed in 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

# Next modified Section

### 5.1.6 Revoke authorization

When receiving the Session-Termination-Request message from the AF, the PDF shall revoke the bearer authorization as detailed in 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

# Next modified Section

### 5.2.2 Resource reservation

The PDF may contact the AF at the UE resource reservation by sending the Re-Auth-Request message with <u>a</u> request for the service information. The AF shall respond with the Re-Auth-Answer message containing the Media-Component-Description AVP(s). The information in the Media-Component-Description AVP(s) may be based on the session description information negotiated within the AF session signaling. The <u>AF does not need to send a new authorization</u> <u>request back to the PDF when</u> receiving <u>a Re-Auth-Request message with a request for the service information of</u> <u>session description request does not trigger the sending of a new authorization request back to the PDF</u>. The AF may include the Flow-Grouping AVP(s) to request a particular way on how the IP flows described within the service description are distributed to PDP contexts.

The AF may receive an access network charging identifier (e.g. GCID) and access network charging address (e.g. GGSN IP address) for charging correlation purposes from the PDF in a separate Re-Auth-Request message after the bearer has been authorized. The AF does not need to send a new authorization request when receiving a Re-Auth-Request message with access network charging identifier (e.g. GCID) and access network charging address (e.g. GGSN IP address) The receiving of charging correlation information from the PDF does not trigger the sending of a new authorization request back to the PDF.

# Next modified Section

### 5.2.4 Session modification

During the AF session modification, the AF shall send an update for the session description information to the PDF based on the new SDI exchanged within the AF session signalling. The AF does this by sending the AA-Request message containing the Media-Component-Description AVP(s) containing the updated service information. The AF may include the Flow-Grouping AVP(s) to request a particular way on how the IP flows described within the service description are distributed to PDP contexts.

The PDF acknowledges the session modification by issueing an AA Answer back to the AF.

# Next modified Section

### 5.3.1 Provisioning of Service Information at P-CSCF

The P-CSCF shall send service information to the PDF upon every SIP message that includes an SDP answer payload. The service information shall be derived both from the SDP offer and the SDP answer. This ensures that the PDF passes receives proper information to perform media authorization for all possible IMS session set-up scenarios, and that the PDF is also capable of handling session modifications.

# Next modified Section

#### 6.3.7 Abort-Session-Request (ASR) command

The ASR command, indicated by the Command-Code field set to 274 and the ëRí bit set in the Command Flags field, is sent by the PDF to inform the AF that <u>all</u> bearer resources for the authorized session are no longerhave become <u>un</u>available.

#### Message Format:

# Next modified Section

#### 6.5.3 Access-Network-Charging-Identifier AVP

The Access-Network-Charging-Identifier AVP (AVP code 502) is of type Grouped, and contains a charging identifier (e.g. GCID) within the Access-Network-Charging-Identifier-Value AVP along with information about the flows transported within the corresponding bearer within the Flows AVP. If no Flows AVP is provided, the Access-Network-Charging-Identifier-Value applies for all flows within the AF session.

The Access-Network-Charging-Identifier AVP may becan be sent from the PDF to the AF. The AF may use this information for charging correlation with session layer.

AVP Format:

```
Access-Network-Charging-Identifier ::= < AVP Header: x >
        { Access-Network-Charging-Identifier-Value}
        *[ Flows ]
```

# Next modified Section

#### 6.5.12 Flow-Status AVP

The Flow-Status AVP (AVP code 511) is of type Enumerated, and describes whether the IP flow(s) are enabled or disabled. The following values are defined:

**ENABLED-UPLINK (0)** 

This value shall be used to enable associated uplink IP flow(s) and to disable associated downlink IP flow(s). If any downlink RTCP IP flow(s) are identified by the Flow\_Usage AVP(s), those flow(s) shall be enabled.

#### ENABLED-DOWNLINK (1)

This value shall be used to enable associated downlink IP flow(s) and to disable associated uplink IP flow(s). If any uplink RTCP IP flow(s) are identified by the Flow\_Usage AVP(s), those flow(s) shall be enabled.

#### ENABLED (2)

This value shall be used to enable all associated IP flow(s) in both directions.

#### CR page 4

#### DISABLED (3)

This value shall be used to disable all associated IP flow(s) in both directions. If any RTCP IP flow(s) are identified by the Flow\_Usage AVP(s), those flow(s) shall be enabled.

#### REMOVED (4)

<u>This value shall be used to remove all associated IP flow(s)</u>. The IP Filters for the associated IP flow(s) shall be removed. The associated IP flows shall not be taken into account when deriving the authorized QoS.

# Next modified Section

### 6.5.14 Gq-Specific-Action AVP

The Gq-Specific-Action AVP (AVP code 513) is of type Enumerated.

Within a PDF initiated Re-Authorization Request, the Gq-Specific-Action AVP, and determines the type of the Gq action within the PDF initiated request.

Within an initial AA request the AF may use the Gq-Specific-Action AVP to request specific actions from the PDF at the bearer authorization and to limit the contact at bearer authorization to such bearer authorization events where this action is required. If the Gq-Specific-Action AVP is omitted within the initial AA request, no notification of any of the events defined below is requested.

The following values are defined:

#### SERVICE\_INFORMATION\_REQUEST (0)

Within a RAR, tThis value shall be used when the PDF requests the service information from the AF for the bearer authorization. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the PDF to demand service information at each bearer authorization

#### CHARGING\_CORRELATION\_EXCHANGE (1)

Within a RAR, t<sup>T</sup>his value shall be used when the PDF reports the access network charging identifier to the AF. The PDF shall include the Access-Network-Charging-Identifier AVP within the request. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the PDF to provide an access network charging identifier to the AF at each bearer authorization, when a new access network charging identifier becomes available.

#### INDICATION\_OF\_LOSS\_OF\_BEARER (2)

Within a RAR, t<sup>T</sup> his value shall be used when the PDF reports a loss of a bearer (PDP context bandwidth modification to 0 kbit) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the PDF to provide a notification at the loss of a bearer.

#### INDICATION\_OF\_RECOVERY\_OF\_BEARER (3)

<u>Within a RAR, t</u> his value shall be used when the PDF reports a recovery of a bearer (PDP context bandwidth modification from 0 kbit to another value) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the PDF to provide a notification at the recovery of a bearer.

#### INDICATION\_OF\_RELEASE\_OF\_BEARER (4)

Within a RAR, t<sup>Th</sup> is value shall be used when the PDF reports the release of a bearer (PDP context removal) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the PDF to provide a notification at the removal of a bearer.

### N3-040895

		CHANGE	REQ	UES	ST			CR-Form-v7
æ	29.208 CR	<b>076</b> #	rev	3	æ (	Current versi	on: 6.1.0	) <mark>(</mark> #
For <u>HELP</u> on	using this form, see	e bottom of this p	bage or	look a	t the	pop-up text	over the 🖁 s	symbols.
Proposed change	e affects:   UICC a	apps <mark># </mark>	ME	Radi	o Ace	cess Networ	k Core	Network X
Title:	# Allowing the use	of Application in	dentifier	for IM	S			
Source:	¥ <mark>Nokia</mark>							
Work item code:	쁐 <mark>QoS1</mark>					Date: 🔀	07/10/2004	4
Category:	B (addition of	) ds to a correction f feature), modification of fea podification) ons of the above c	ature)			2 R96 R97 R98 R99 Rel-4 Rel-5	Rel-6 the following I (GSM Phase (Release 199 (Release 199 (Release 199 (Release 4) (Release 5) (Release 6)	2) 6) 17) 18)
Reason for chang		e Application ide						

Reason for change.	Currently, the Application identifier may be used for other services than IMS to provide additional information to the PDF. The PDF may use the identifier to alter the QoS related mapping, e.g. QoS class and bandwidth, for the service. The use of the Application id is optional; the AF may provide it, and if provided, the PDF may use it for the QoS related decisions. This contribution proposes that the use of Application identifier be allowed for IMS also. The use of it would again be optional, and if any company or operator does not want to use it, it can be omitted and/or ignored. At the same time, if would allow more flexibility for the operators to use the QoS in their systems.
Summary of change:⊯	For IMS, if the AF-Application-Identifier AVP is supplied, its value should not demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class handling. However, if an IMS application is capable of handling a QoS downgrading, the AF-Application- Identifier AVP may be used to demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class handling.
Consequences if # not approved:	Restrictive standardization limiting operators choice for using the system resources and for providing differentiated treatment for the services.
Clauses affected: #	7.1.0
Other specs # affected:	Y       N         X       Other core specifications         X       Test specifications         X       O&M Specifications
Other comments: #	

#### How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <u>http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm</u>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked 🔀 contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be downloaded from the 3GPP server under <u>ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/</u> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.
- 3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

#### 7.1.0 SDP parameters to service information mapping in AF

The mapping described in this clause is mandatory for the P-CSCF and should also be applied by other AFs if the SDI is SDP.

When a session is initiated or modified the P-CSCF shall use the mapping rules in table 7.1.0.1 for each SDP media component to derive a Media-Component-Description AVP from the SDP Parameters. Furthermore, the P-CSCF shall map information about the grouping of media lines into resource reservation flows into the Flow-Grouping AVP as specified in table 7.1.0.3.

service information per Media-Component-	Derivation from SDP Parameters (see NOTE 2)
Description AVP (NOTE 1)	
Media-Component-	ordinal number of the position of the "m=" line in the SDP
Number	
AF-Application-Identifier	The AF-Application-Identifier AVP may be supplied or omitted, depending on
	the application. For IMS, if the AF-Application-Identifier AVP is supplied, its value shouldall not demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class handling. <u>However, if an IMS application is capable of handling a QoS</u> downgrading, the AF-Application-Identifier AVP may be used to demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class handling.
Media-Type	The Media Type AVP shall be included with the same value as supplied for the media type in the "m=" line.
Flow-Status	IF port in m-line = 0 THEN
	<pre>Flow-Status:= REMOVED;</pre>
	ELSE
	IF a=recvonly THEN
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_DOWNLINK; ELSE /* mobile terminated */</pre>
	Flow-Status := ENABLED UPLINK;
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	IF a=sendonly THEN
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_UPLINK;</pre>
	ELSE /* mobile terminated */
	<pre>Flow-Status := ENABLED_DOWNLINK;</pre>
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	IF a=inactive THEN
	Flow-Status :=DISABLED;
	ELSE /* a=sendrecv or no direction attribute */
	Flow-Status := ENABLED
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF; ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
Max-Requested-	IF b=AS: <bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth>
Bandwidth	Max-Requested-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth> * 1000; /* Unit is bit/s</bandwidth>
Bandwidth	ELSE
	Max-Requested-Bandwidth:= <operator setting="" specific="">,</operator>
	or AVP not supplied;
	ENDIF;
RR-Bandwidth	IF b=RR: <bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth>
(NOTE 3)	RR-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth>;</bandwidth>
	ELSE
	AVP not supplied
	ENDIF;
RS-Bandwidth	IF b=RS: <bandwidth> is present THEN RS-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth>;</bandwidth></bandwidth>
(NOTE 3)	ELSE Conductor -= <pre>conductor -&gt; /</pre>
	AVP not supplied
	ENDIF;
Media-Sub-Component	Supply one AVP for each Flow Identifier within the media component. The
incula cas component	Flow identifiers are derived according to Annex D of 3GPP TS 29.207 [7].
	The encoding of the AVP is described in Table 7.1.0.2

# Table 7.1.0.1: Rules for derivation of service information within Media-Component-Description AVP from SDP media component

# 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #34

# Tdoc **# N3-040897**

Seoul, Korea. 15<sup>th</sup> - 19<sup>th</sup> November 2004.

			С	HANGE	ERE	QUI	EST	-			CR-Form-v7.1
<b>(#</b> )		29.209	CR (	800	жre	v <mark>3</mark>	Ħ	Current ve	rsion:	6.0.0	æ
For <u>HELP</u> of	n us	sing this for	m, see	bottom of thi	is page	or looi	k at th	e pop-up te	xt ovei	r the <mark></mark> sy	mbols.
Proposed chang	ge a	nffects:	JICC ap	ps <mark>æ</mark>	ME	Ra	adio A	ccess Netw	ork	Core N	etwork X
Title:	ж	Resource	reserva	ation at PDF							
Source:	Ħ	Siemens									
Work item code	: <b>X</b>	QoS1						Date:	₩ <mark>08</mark>	/11/2004	
Category:		F (con A (con B (add C (fun D (edi	rection) responds dition of f ctional mo torial mo planation	odification of dification) s of the above	on in an feature)			Ph2	of the fo (GSI (Rel (Rel (Rel (Rel (Rel (Rel	el-6 ollowing rel M Phase 2, ease 1996; ease 1998; ease 1999; ease 4) ease 5) ease 6) ease 7)	) ) )

Reason for change:	<b>X</b> No resources are requested by GGSN, but this is implied by existing text.
Summary of change	: Condition on requested bearer resources is replaced by condition authorization
	for unknown flow identifiers is being requestedî
Consequences if	# Impossible behavior is demanded from PDF
not approved:	
Clauses affected:	<del>ដ</del> 5.1.2
	YN
Other specs	X     Other core specifications     X
affected:	X Test specifications
	X O&M Specifications
Other comments:	ж

#### 5.1.2 Resource reservation

When receiving a bearer authorization request from the Go interface, the PDF shall authorize the request according to the stored SBLP for the session, if available.

For a bearer authorization request with a new authorization token the PDF shall behave as described within the present paragraph: If the SBLP is not available for the session, or if the AF has instructed the PDF to do so, the PDF shall send the Re-Auth\_Request message with the SERVICE\_INFORMATION\_REQUEST indication in the Gq-Specific-Action AVP to the AF to request the service information. When receiving the Media-Component-Description AVP(s) in the Re-Auth-Answer message, the PDF shall authorize the required QoS resources and shall store the SBLP for the session. If SBLP is available for the session but the requested bearer resources exceed itauthorization for unknown flow identifiers is being requested, and the AF has not instructed the PDF to contact it at bearer authorization, the PDF shall deny the resources-authorization without contacting the AF.

### 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #34 Seoul, Korea. 15<sup>th</sup> - 19<sup>th</sup> November 2004.

# Tdoc **#N3-040896**

			C	CHANG	E RE	EQI	JE	ST					C	CR-Form-v7.1
æ	29	9.209	CR	009	жrе	ev	1	ж	Cur	rrent v	ersion	· 6	.0.0	æ
For <u>HELP</u> or	using	this for	m, see	bottom of th	his page	e or l	ook	at the	e po	p-up t	ext ov	er the	e <mark>#</mark> syr	nbols.
Proposed chang	e affe	cts:	JICC a	pps <mark>#</mark>	ME	≣ 📃	Rac	lio A	cces	s Net	work	C	Core Ne	etwork X
Title:	ж <mark>В</mark> а	andwidt	h attrib	utes										
Source:	<mark>೫ Si</mark>	emens												
Work item code:	ж <mark>Q</mark>	oS1								Date	: <mark>೫ 0</mark>	8/11/	2004	
Category:	Det	F (con A (con B (add C (fun D (edia cailed exp	rection) respond lition of ctional i torial m planatio	wing categor ds to a correct feature), modification c odification) ns of the abov <u>R 21.900</u> .	tion in ar of feature	e)		elease	U	lease: Ph2 R96 R97 R98 R99 Rel-4 Rel-5 Rel-6 Rel-7	e of the (G (Re (Re (Re (Re 5 (Re 5 (Re 5 (Re	SM Pl elease elease elease	ə 5) ə 6)	eases:
Reason for chan	ge: ₿	band band	lwidths lwidth i	for bidirection	onal me differer	edia d nt.	comp	one	nts,	as exp	oresse	d by	b:AS	and then

	bandwidth modifier, are different. The current media component encoding coveres this possibility only in less than ideal manner, i.e.for media subcomponents.
Summary of change:	# Add uplink and downlink bandwidth AVPs to media component, Remove Max-Requested-Bandwidth, as it is no longer required.
Consequences if not approved:	Information provided in SDP can not be expressed as Gq service information in media component granularity.
Clauses affected:	¥ 6.5
Other specs affected:	Y       N         X       Other core specifications       X         X       Test specifications       X         X       O&M Specifications       X
Other comments:	¥

Table 6.5.1 describes the Diameter AVPs defined for the Gq interface protocol, their AVP Code values, types, possible flag values and whether or not the AVP may be encrypted. The Vendor-Id header of all AVPs defined in the present document shall be set to 3GPP (10415).

				AVF				
Attribute Name	AVP	Clause	Value Type (note 2)	Must	Мау	Should	Must	May Encr.
	Code					not	not	
Abort-Cause	500	6.5.1	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Address	501	6.5.2	Address	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Identifier	502	6.5.3	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Identifier-Value	503	6.5.4	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
AF-Application-Identifier	504	6.5.5	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
AF-Charging-Identifier	505	6.5.6	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
Authorization-Token	506	6.5.7	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Description	507	6.5.8	IPFilterRule	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Grouping	508	6.5.9	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Number	509	6.5.10	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Flows	510	6.5.11	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Status	511	6.5.12	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Usage	512	6.5.13	Enumerated	M,V	Ρ			Y
Gq-Specific-Action	513	6.5.14	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Max-Requested-Bandwidth	<del>514</del>	<del>6.5.15</del>	Unsigned32	<del>M,∀</del>	₽			¥
Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL	515	6.5.16	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL	516	6.5.17	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Component-Description	517	6.5.18	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Component-Number	518	6.5.19	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Sub-Component AVP	519	6.5.20	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Type	520	6.5.21	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
RR-Bandwidth	521	6.5.22	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
RS-Bandwidth	522	6.5.23	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
SIP-Forking-Indication	523	6.5.24	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y

#### Table 6.5.1: Gq specific Diameter AVPs

NOTE 1: The AVP header bit denoted as 'M', indicates whether support of the AVP is required. The AVP header bit denoted as 'V', indicates whether the optional Vendor-ID field is present in the AVP header. For further details, see RFC 3588 [6].

NOTE 2: The value types are defined in RFC 3588 [6].

#### Next modified Section

#### 6.5.15 VoidMax-Requested-Bandwidth AVP

The Max Requested Bandwidth AVP (AVP code 514) is of type Unsigned32, and it indicates the maximum requested bandwidth in bits per second for an uplink or downlink IP flow. The bandwidth contains all the overhead coming from the IP layer and the layers above, e.g. IP, UDP, RTP and RTP payload.

#### Next modified Section

### 6.5.18 Media-Component-Description AVP

The Media-Component-Description AVP (AVP code 517) is of type Grouped, and it contains service information for a single media component within an AF session. It may be based on the SDI exchanged between the AF and the AF client in the UE. The information is used by the PDF to determine authorized QoS and IP flow classifiers for bearer authorization.

Within one Gq message, a single IP flow shall not be described by more than one Media-Component-Description AVP.

Bandwidth information and Flow-Status information provided within the Media-Component-Description AVP applies to all those IP flows within the media component, for which no corresponding information is being provided within Media-Sub-Component AVP(s).

If a Media-Component-Description AVP is not supplied, or if optional AVP(s) within a Media-Component-Description AVP are omitted, but corresponding information has been provided in previous Gq messages, the previous information for the corresponding IP flow(s) remains valid.

All IP flows within a Media-Component-Description AVP are permanently disabled by supplying a Flow Status AVP with value "REMOVED". The PDF may delete corresponding filters and state information.

AVP format:

#### 6.5.19 Media-Component-Number AVP

The Media-Component-Number AVP (AVP code 518) is of type Unsigned32, and it contains the ordinal number of the media component, assigned according to the rules in annex C of 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

### 6.5.20 Media-Sub-Component AVP

The Media-Sub-Component AVP (AVP code 519) is of type Grouped, and it contains the requested QoS and filters for the set of IP flows identified by their common Flow-Identifier. The Flow-Identifier is defined in 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

Possible Bandwidth information and Flow-Status information provided within the Media-Sub-Component AVP takes precedence over information within the encapsulating Media Component Description AVP. If a Media-Sub-Component AVP is not supplied, or if optional AVP(s) within a Media-Sub-Component AVP are omitted, but corresponding information has been provided in previous Gq messages, the previous information for the corresponding IP flow(s) remains valid, unless new information is provided within the encapsulating Media-Component-Description AVP.

All IP flows within a Media-Sub-Component- AVP are permanently disabled by supplying a Flow Status AVP with value "REMOVED". The PDF may delete corresponding filters and state information.

AVP format:

```
Media-Sub-Component ::= < AVP Header: ?>
        { Flow-Number } ; Ordinal number of the IP flow
        1*2[ Flow-Description ] ; UL and/or DL
        [ Flow-Status ]
        [ Flow-Usage ]
        [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL ]
        [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL ]
```

### 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #34 Seoul, Korea. 15<sup>th</sup> - 19<sup>th</sup> November 2004.

# Tdoc **# N3-040825**

				CHANG	ERE	EQ	UE	ST	1			Ĺ	R-Form-v7.1
æ		29.20	<mark>8</mark> CR	074	жre	ev	3	Ħ	Current	versio	<sup>n:</sup> 6.′	1.0	æ
For <u>HELP</u> or	n us	sing this i	form, se	e bottom of t	his page	e or l	look	at the	e pop-up i	text o	ver the	₩ syn	nbols.
Proposed chang	ye a	affects:	UICC :	apps <mark>#</mark>	M	E	Rac	lio A	ccess Net	twork	Ca	ore Ne	etwork X
Title:	ж	Correct	ing Map	ping Table fo	or early	med	<mark>ia ha</mark>	ndlir	ng				
Source:	ж	Siemer	IS										
Work item code:	: <b>X</b>	QoS1							Date	e: #	<mark>08/11/2</mark>	004	
Category:	æ	<i>F</i> ( <i>c</i> <i>A</i> ( <i>c</i> <i>B</i> ( <i>a</i> <i>C</i> ( <i>f</i> <i>D</i> ( <i>e</i> Detailed (	orrection correspor addition o unctional editorial n explanatio	lowing categor ) nds to a correc of feature), I modification of nodification) ons of the abo <u>TR 21.900</u> .	ntion in ai	e)		lease	Ph2	e of the (C (F (F (F 4 (F 5 (F 6 (F	Rel-6 e followin SSM Pha Release Release Release Release Release Release	ase 2) 1996) 1997) 1998) 1999) 4) 5) 6)	eases:

Reason for change: ⊯	According to IMS callflows and Rel-5 Go specifications, there is a requirement to authorize the QoS for the estblished media already during the SIP session set-up, while disabling early media by closing gates (QoS Commit) until the 200 OK(INVITE). According to agreed text in 29.207, the handling of early media is a task of the AF. However, the current mapping tables at the PDF couple the gate operation and the QoS derivation, as the Flow Status AVP is used for both purposes. Information about the directionality of a media component is also included in the presence or absence of uplink and downlink flow description AVPs. SDP offer-answer RFC 3264 allows to express that uplink and downlink bandwidths for bidirectional media components, as expressed by b:AS bandwidth modifier, are different. Unspecified if SDP direction attribute shall be taken from SDP offer or SDP answer. The SDP inactive attribute has a specila meaning when interworking with
	external SIP clients, see TR 29.962, and TS 23.228 and 24.229. According to RFC 3264 the SDP inactive attribute shall be answered when offered. However, older SIP clients may not understand the SDP inactive attribute and ignore it when received in an SDP offer. As suggested in TR 29.962, the correct behavior is emulted by giving precedence to an inactive attribute in an SDP offer.
Summary of change: ଞ୍ଜ	<ol> <li>In the PDF QoS mapping, the directionality of a media component is derived from the presence or absence of uplink and downlink flow description AVPs, rather than from the Flow Status AVP.</li> <li>A clarifying remark in line with TS 29.209 about the early media handling is</li> </ol>

	<ul> <li>added to the SDP to service information mapping table.</li> <li>The rules which flow description AVPs shall be supplied are detailed. The handling of ìa=inactiveî is clarified. The handling of media put on hold, as already agreed in ReI-5, is also taken into account.</li> <li>b:AS is mapped into Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL and -Requested-Bandwidth-DL AVPs rather than Max-Requested-Bandwidth AVP. Mapping tables are updated to use these AVPs accordingly.</li> <li>Note added to mapping table for mapping SDP parameters to servive information clarifying that The direction attributes and port number from the SDP answer shall be used to derive the flow status. However, to enable interoperability with SIP clients that do not understand the inactive SDP attribute, if a=sendonly was supplied in the SDP offer, this shall be used to derive the flow status.</li> </ul>
Consequences if	A separate enabling or disabling of early media is not possible. Media put on
not approved:	<ul> <li>A separate enabling of disabiling of early media is not possible. Media put off hold are handled in a different manner as in Rel-5. A PDP context modification is enforced.</li> <li>Bandwidth Information that can be provided in SDP is not expressed correctly as Gq service information</li> <li>Unclear Mapping rules may lead to incorrect enabling and disabling of IP flows.</li> </ul>
Clauses affected: #	7.1.0, 7.1.1
Other specs	YN
Other comments: #	

# 7.1 QoS parameter mapping between AF and GPRS

#### 7.1.0 SDP parameters to service information mapping in AF

The mapping described in this clause is mandatory for the P-CSCF and should also be applied by other AFs if the SDI is SDP.

When a session is initiated or modified the P-CSCF shall use the mapping rules in table 7.1.0.1 for each SDP media component to derive a Media-Component-Description AVP from the SDP Parameters. Furthermore, the P-CSCF shall map information about the grouping of media lines into resource reservation flows into the Flow-Grouping AVP as specified in table 7.1.0.3.

service information per Media-Component- Description AVP (NOTE 1)	Derivation from SDP Parameters (see NOTE 2)
Media-Component- Number	ordinal number of the position of the ""m="" line in the SDP $\$
AF-Application-Identifier	The AF-Application-Identifier AVP may be supplied or omitted, depending on the application. For IMS, if the AF-Application-Identifier AVP is supplied, its value shall not demand application specific bandwidth or QoS class handling.
Media-Type	The Media Type AVP shall be included with the same value as supplied for the media type in the " $m$ ="".
Flow-Status	<pre>IF port in m-line = 0 THEN Flow-Status:= REMOVED; ELSE IF a=recvonly THEN IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN Flow-Status := ENABLED_DOWNLINK; (NOTE 4) ENDIF; ELSE IF a=sendonly THEN IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN Flow-Status := ENABLED_UPLINK; (NOTE 4) ELSE /* mobile terminated */ Flow-Status := ENABLED_UPLINK; (NOTE 4) ENDIF; ELSE IF a=inactive THEN Flow-Status := DISABLED; ELSE /* a=sendrecv or no direction attribute */ Flow-Status := ENABLED_(NOTE 4) ENDIF; ENDIF;</sdp></sdp></pre>
Max-Requested- Bandwidth	<pre>IF b=AS:<bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth></pre>
Max-Requested-	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile terminated THEN</sdp>
Bandwidth-UL	<pre>IF b=AS:<bandwidth> is present THEN Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL:= <bandwidth> * 1000; /* Unit is bit/s ELSE Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL:= <operator setting="" specific="">, or AVP not supplied; ENDIF; ELSE Consider SDP in opposite direction ENDIF</operator></bandwidth></bandwidth></pre>
<u>Max-Requested-</u> Bandwidth-DL	<pre>IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN IF b=AS:<bandwidth> is present THEN Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL:= <bandwidth> * 1000; /* Unit is bit/s ELSE Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL:= <operator setting="" specific="">, or AVP not supplied; ENDIF; ELSE Consider SDP in opposite direction ENDIF</operator></bandwidth></bandwidth></sdp></pre>
RR-Bandwidth (NOTE 3)	<pre>ENDIP IF b=RR:<bandwidth> is present THEN</bandwidth></pre>
RS-Bandwidth (NOTE 3)	IF b=RS: <bandwidth> is present THEN RS-Bandwidth:= <bandwidth>; ELSE</bandwidth></bandwidth>

# Table 7.1.0.1: Rules for derivation of service information within Media-Component-Description AVP from SDP media component

	AVP not supplied
	ENDIF;
	(NOTE 6)
Media-Sub-Component	Supply one AVP for each Flow Identifier within the media component. The
	Flow identifiers are derived according to Annex D of 3GPP TS 29.207 [7].
	The encoding of the AVP is described in Table 7.1.0.2
NOTE 1: The encoding of the	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].
NOTE 2: The SDP paramete	ers are described in RFC 2327 [9].
NOTE 3: The ib=RS:i and ib=	=RR:í SDP bandwidth modifiers are defined in RFC 3556 [10].
NOTE 4: As an operator poli	cy to disable forward and/or backward early media, the Flow-Status may be downgraded
before a SIP dialog	ue is established, i.e. until a 200 OK(INVITE) is received. The Value iDISABLEDi may be
used instead of the	Values iENABLED_UPLINKî or iENABLED_DOWNLINKî. The Values iDISABLEDî,
ÌENABLED_UPLIN	IKî or ìENABLED_DOWNLINKî may be used instead of the Value ìENABLEDî.
NOTE 5: The direction attribution	utes and port number from the SDP answer shall be used to derive the flow status. However,
to enable interoper	ability with SIP clients that do not understand the inactive SDP attribute, if a=inactive was
supplied in the SDF	P offer, this shall be used to derive the flow status.
NOTE 6: Information from th	e SDP answer is applicable

Gq service information	Derivation from SDP Parameters				
per Media-Sub-	(see NOTE 2)				
Component AVP	(				
(NOTE 1)					
Flow-Number	derived according to Annex C of 3GPP TS 29.207 [7]				
Flow-Status	AVP not supplied				
Max-Requested-	AVP not supplied				
Bandwidth-UL					
Max-Requested-	AVP not supplied				
Bandwidth-DL					
Flow-Description	For uplink and dowlink direction, a Flow-Description AVP shall be provided				
	unless no IP Flows in this direction are described within the media				
	component.				
	The SDP direction attribute (NOTE 4) indicates the direction of the media IP flows within the media component as follows:				
	IF a=recvonly THEN (NOTE 3)				
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>				
	Provide only downlink Flow-Description AVP				
	ELSE /* mobile terminated */				
	Provide only uplink Flow-Description AVP				
	ENDIF;				
	IF <sdp direction=""> = mobile originated THEN</sdp>				
	Provide only uplink Flow-Description AVP				
	ELSE /* mobile terminated */				
	Provide only downlink Flow-Description AVP				
	ENDIF;				
	ELSE /* a=sendrecv or a=inactive or no direction attribute */ Provide uplink and downlink Flow-Description AVPs				
	ENDIF;				
	ENDIF;				
	For RTCP IP flows uplink and downlink Flow-Description AVPs shall be provided irrespective of the SDP direction attribute.				
	The uplink destination address shall be copied from the "c=" line of downline GDD				
	downlink SDP. The uplink destination port shall be derived from the "m=" line of downlink SDP.				
	The downlink destination address shall be copied from the "c=" line of uplink SDP.				
	The downlink destination port shall be derived from the "m=" line of uplink SDP.				
	Uplink and downlink source adresses should be set to "any" and source ports should not be supplied.				
	Proto shall be derived from the transport of the "m=" line. For "RTP/AVP" proto is 17(UDP).				
Flow-Usage	The Flow-Usage AVP shall be supplied with value "RTCP" if the IP flow(s)				
	described in the Media-Sub-Component AVP are used to transport RTCP.				
	Otherwise the Flow-Usage AVP shall not be supplied. RFC 2327 [9] specifies				
	how RTCP flows are described within SDP.				
	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].				
	ers are described in RFC 2327 [9].				
	n attribute for the media component negotiated in a previous offer-answer exchange was				
	direction attribute was provided, and the new SDP direction attribute sendonly or recvonly is				
	a subsequent SDP offer-answer exchange, uplink and downlink Flow-Description AVPs shall be				
supplied.					
	The direction attributes from the SDP answer shall be used to derive the flow description. However, to enable				
	rability with SIP clients that do not understand the inactive SDP attribute, if a=inactive was supplied in				
the SDP offer, this	shall be used.				

#### Table 7.1.0.2: Rules for derivation of Media-Sub-Component AVP from SDP media component

# Table 7.1.0.3: Rules for mapping SDP information about the grouping of media lines into resourcereservation flows into the Flow Grouping AVP

Flow-Grouping AVP (NOTE1)	Derivation from SDP Parameters (see NOTE 2)
Flow Grouping	For each SDP "a=group:SRF" SDP line, a Flow Grouping AVP shall be generated. (NOTE 3)
Flows	For each identification tag within "a=group:SRF" SDP line, a Flows AVP containing a Media-Component-Number AVP identifying the corresponding m-

	line shall be generated. (NOTE 3) No Flow-Number AVP shall be supplied within the Flows AVP.		
NOTE 1: The encoding of the	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].		
NOTE 2: The SDP parameters are described in RFC 2327 [9].			
NOTE 3: The SDP igroupî attribute is defined in RFC 3388 [13]. The iSRFî semantics attribute within this grouping			
framework is define	ed in RFC 3524 [14].		

# 7.1.1 Gq service information to Authorized IP QoS parameters mapping in PDF

The QoS authorization is to be based on the parameters Maximum Authorized QoS Class and Maximum Authorized Data Rate UL/DL.

When a session is initiated or modified the PDF shall use the mapping rules in table 7.1.1.1 to derive the Authorized IP QoS parameters Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL and the Maximum Authorized QoS Class from the service information. In the case of forking, the various forked responses may have different QoS requirements for the IP flows of the same media component. Each Authorized IP QoS Parameter shall be set to the highest value requested for the IP flow(s) of that media component by any of the active forked responses. These values are derived by the rules in table 7.1.1.1

Authorized IP QoS Parameter per flow identifier	Derivation from service information (see note 4)
Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL (Max_DR_DL) and UL (Max_DR_UL) per flow	IF AF-Application-Identifier AVP demands application specific data rate handling THEN
identifier	<pre>Max_DR_UL:= as defined by application specific algorithm; Max_DR_DL:= as defined by application specific algorithm;</pre>
	ELSE
	IF not RTCP flow(s) according to Flow-Usage AVP THEN IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth is present THEN During Max Requested Paradridth is
	Bw:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth; ELSE Bw:= as set by the operator;
	ENDIF IF Flow-Status = REMOVED THEN
	<u>Max_DR_UL:= 0;</u> Max_DR_DL:= 0;
	ELSE IF uplink Flow Desription AVP is supplied THEN
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL is present THEN Max_DR_UL:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL ; ELSE
	Max_DR_UL:= as set by the operator; ENDIF
	ELSE Max_DR_UL:= 0;
	ENDIF; IF downlink Flow Desription AVPs is supplied THEN
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL is present THEN Max_DR_DL:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL;
	ELSE Max_DR_DL:= as set by the operator; ENDIF
	ELSE Max_DR_DL:= 0;
	ENDIF; IF Flow Status = ENABLED_DOWNLINK THEN
	ELSE IF Flow-Status = ENABLED_UPLINK THEN
	Max_DR_UL:=     bw;       Max_DR_DL:=     0;       IF     Flow       Status     =
	$- \frac{Max - DR - UL := 0;}{Max - DR - DL := 0;}$
	Max_DR_DL:= bw;       ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF; ELSE /* RTCP IP flow(s) */ LE DS Dendwidth is progent and
	IF RS-Bandwidth is present and RR-Bandwidth is present THEN Max_DR_UL:= (RS-Bandwidth + RR-Bandwidth);
	Max_DR_DL:= (RS-Bandwidth + RR-Bandwidth); ELSE
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL is present THEN IF RS-Bandwidth is present and
	RR-Bandwidth is not present THEN Max_DR_UL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth <u>-UL</u> , RS-Bandwidth];
	RS-Bandwidth]; <u>Max_DR_DL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth</u> , <u>RS-Bandwidth];</u>
1	ENDIF; IF RS-Bandwidth is not present and
	RR-Bandwidth is present THEN Max_DR_UL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth_UL,

#### Table 7.1.1.1: Rules for derivation of the Maximum Authorized Data Rates and Maximum Authorized QoS Class per flow identifier in the PDF

	RR-Bandwidth];
	Max_DR_DL:= MAX[0.05 * Max Requested Bandwidth,
	ENDIF;
	IF RS-Bandwidth and RR-Bandwidth is not present THEN
1	
	<pre>Max_DR_UL:= 0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth_UL;</pre>
	Max_DR_DL:= 0.05 * Max_Requested Bandwidth.;
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	$Max_DR_UL$ := as set by the operator;
	Max_DR_DL:= as set by the operator;
	ENDIF i
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL is present THEN
	IF RS-Bandwidth is present and
	Max_DR_DL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL,
	RS-Bandwidth];
	ENDIF;
	IF RS-Bandwidth is not present and
	RR-Bandwidth is present THEN
	Max_DR_DL:= MAX[0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL,
	RR-Bandwidth];
	ENDIF;
	IF RS-Bandwidth and RR-Bandwidth is not present THEN
	Max_DR_DL:= 0.05 * Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL;
	ENDIF;
	ELSE
	Max_DR_DL:= as set by the operator;
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
	ENDIF;
	/* MAX Requested Bandwidth-UL and MAX Requested Bandwidth DL take
	precedence*/
	Max_DR_UL:= Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL;
	ENDIF
	IF Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL is present THEN
	Max_DR_DL:= Max Requested Bandwidth DL;
1	ENDIF;

Maximum Authorized QoS	IF AF-Application-Identifier AVP demands application specific QoS Class				
Class [MaxClass] per flow	handling THEN				
identifier	MaxClass:= as defined by application specific algorithm;				
(see notes 1, 2 and 3)	ELSE				
(···· , ····,	IF Media-Type is present THEN				
	IF (only uplink Flow Desription AVPs are supplied for all IP				
	flows of the session, which have media type "audio" or "video"				
	and no flow usage "RTCP", or				
	only downlink Flow Desription AVPs are supplied for all IP				
	flows of the session, which have media type "audio" or "video"				
	and no flow usage "RTCP" all media IP flows of media type "audio"				
	or "video" for the				
	session have the same direction) THEN				
	MaxClassDerivation:=B; /*streaming*/				
	ELSE (the second s				
	MaxClassDerivation:=A; /*conversational*/				
	ENDIF;				
	CASE Media-Type OF				
	"audio": MaxClass:= MaxClassDerivation				
	"video": MaxClass:= MaxClassDerivation				
	"application": MaxClass:=A; /*conversational*/				
	"data": MaxClass:=E; //*interactive with priority 3*/				
	<pre>"control": MaxClass:=C; /*interactive with priority 1*/</pre>				
	/*new media type*/				
	OTHERWISE: MaxClass:=F; /*background*/				
	END;				
	ELSE				
	MaxClass:= as defined by by operator;				
	ENDIF; ENDIF;				
	horized QoS Class for a RTCP IP flow is the same as for the corresponding RTP media IP				
flow.					
NOTE 2: When audio or vide	eo IP flow (s) are removed from a session, the maximum Authorized QoS class shall keep the				
originally assigned	value.				
NOTE 3: When audio or vide	When audio or video IP flow(s) are added to a session, the PDF shall derive the maximum Authorized QoS				
	ccount the already existing media IP flow(s) within the session.				
	e service information is defined in TS 29.209 [12].				

The PDF shall per ongoing session store the Authorized IP QoS parameters per flow identifier.

When the GGSN requests the Authorized UMTS QoS parameters for an activated/modified PDP Context carrying IP flows of media component(s), the PDF shall use the rules in table 7.1.1.2 to calculate the Authorized IP QoS parameters per Client Handle.

Table 7.1.1.2: Rules for calculating the Maximum Authorized Data Rates
and Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle in the PDF

Authorized IP QoS Parameter per Client Handle	Calculation Rule
Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL and UL per Client Handle	<pre>Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle is the sum of all Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL for all the flow identifiers associated with that Client Handle. IF Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle &gt; 16000 kbps THEN Maximum Authorized Data Rate DL/UL per Client Handle = 16000 kbps /* See 3GPP TS 23.107 [8] */ END;</pre>
Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle	<pre>Maximum Authorized QoS Class per Client Handle = MAX [Maximum Authorized QoS Class per flow identifier among all the flow identifiers associated with that Client Handle. (The MAX function ranks the possible Maximum Authorized QoS Class values as follows: "A" &gt; "B" &gt; "C" &gt; "D" &gt; "E" &gt; "F") /* See 3GPP TS 29.207 [7]) */</pre>

#### 3GPP TSG-CN WG3 Meeting #34 Seoul, Korea. 15<sup>th</sup> ñ 19<sup>th</sup> November 2004.

# Tdoc x N3-040890

			CHANGE	REQ	UE	ST			(	CR-Form-v7.1
<b>#</b>	29.	<mark>209</mark> CR	010	жrev	-	æ	Current	version:	6.0.0	æ
For <u>HELP</u> or	n using ti	his form, see	e bottom of this	s page or	look a	at the	e pop-up	text ove	r the <mark>೫</mark> syl	mbols.
Proposed chang	e affect	s:   UICC a	apps <mark>#</mark>	ME	Rad	lio Ac	ccess Ne	twork	Core Ne	etwork X
Title:	¥ Moo	difications to	Gq protocol to	<mark>o make it r</mark>	more	gene	eric			
Source:	泼 <mark>Eric</mark>	sson								
Work item code:	<mark>ំដ Qos</mark>	61					Date	e: <mark></mark>	/11/2004	
Category:	Detai	F (correction) A (correspon B (addition of C (functional D (editorial m	ds to a correctio f feature), modification of f podification) ons of the above	n in an ear feature)		lease	Ph2	e of the f (GS (Rel (Rel (Rel 4 (Rel 5 (Rel 5 (Rel 6 (Rel	el-6 ollowing rel M Phase 2) ease 1996) ease 1998) ease 1999) ease 4) ease 5) ease 6) ease 7)	
Reason for chan		easier reus	specified AVPs according to	Diameter	r spec			•		er for

Summary of change:	Changes to AVPs to make them generic. References to AVPs updated An erroneous reference between chapter 6.4 and 6.5.8 is also corrected.						
Consequences if not approved:	Not possible to reuse the Gq specified AVPs for other interfaces. Inconsistencies in TS 29.209						
Clauses affected:	<b>£</b> 5.2, 5.5, 5.7, 6.4, 6.5. 6.5.1, 6.5.6, 6.5.8, 6.5.13, 6.5.14, 6.5.18, 6.5.20, 6.5.24						
Other specs	Y     N       X     Other core specifications     X						
affected:	X     Test specifications       X     O&M Specifications						
Other comments:	H H						

#### How to create CRs using this form:

Comprehensive information and tips about how to create CRs can be found at <u>http://www.3gpp.org/specs/CR.htm</u>. Below is a brief summary:

- 1) Fill out the above form. The symbols above marked **#** contain pop-up help information about the field that they are closest to.
- 2) Obtain the latest version for the release of the specification to which the change is proposed. Use the MS Word "revision marks" feature (also known as "track changes") when making the changes. All 3GPP specifications can be

downloaded from the 3GPP server under <u>ftp://ftp.3gpp.org/specs/</u> For the latest version, look for the directory name with the latest date e.g. 2001-03 contains the specifications resulting from the March 2001 TSG meetings.

3) With "track changes" disabled, paste the entire CR form (use CTRL-A to select it) into the specification just in front of the clause containing the first piece of changed text. Delete those parts of the specification which are not relevant to the change request.

### Start of modifications

#### 5.1.2 Resource reservation

When receiving a bearer authorization request from the Go interface, the PDF shall authorize the request according to the stored SBLP for the session, if available.

For a bearer authorization request with a new authorization token the PDF shall behave as described within the present paragraph: If the SBLP is not available for the session, or if the AF has instructed the PDF to do so, the PDF shall send the Re-Auth\_Request message with the SERVICE\_INFORMATION\_REQUEST indication in the Gq\_Specific-Action AVP to the AF to request the service information. When receiving the Media-Component-Description AVP(s) in the Re-Auth-Answer message the PDF shall authorize the required QoS resources and shall store the SBLP for the session. If SBLP is available for the session but the requested bearer resources exceed it, and the AF has not instructed the PDF to contact it at bearer authorization, the PDF shall deny the resources without contacting the AF.

For a bearer authorization request for an authorization token already authorized by the PDF, the PDF shall behave as described within the present paragraph: If the request contains binding information for media with no corresponding SBLP available at the PDF, or if the PDF has already authorized the same binding information and not obtained updated service information since then, or if the AF has instructed the PDF to do so, the PDF shall send a Re-Auth-Request message with the SERVICE\_INFORMATION\_REQUEST indication in the Gq-Specific-Action AVP to the AF to request updated service information. When receiving the Media-Component-Description AVP(s) in the Re-Auth-Answer message the PDF shall authorize the required QoS resources and shall store the SBLP for the session.

After the bearer authorization the PDF shall send possible new access network charging identifier(s) (e.g. GCID), received from the GGSN during the bearer authorization to the AF for charging correlation purposes, and an access network charging-address (e.g. GGSN IP Address), if the AF has instructed the PDF to do so. The PDF does this by sending the Re-Auth\_Request message with the CHARGING\_CORRELATION\_EXCHANGE indication in the Gq-Specific-Action AVP to the AF.

### Next modified section

#### 5.1.5 Bearer modification

The bearer authorization for the session- or bearer-initiated modification is performed as specified in 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

If the AF has requested a notification at the loss of a bearer, and the PDF receives a notification that a PDP context is modified to the bandwidth of 0 kbit via the Go interface, the PDF shall send a Re-Auth\_Request with the value for the Gq-Specific-Action AVP set to INDICATION\_OF\_LOSS\_OF\_BEARER and shall indicate the affected IP flows with the Flows AVP(s) if not all IP flows within an AF session are affected.

If the AF has requested a notification at the recovery of a bearer, and the PDF receives a notification that a PDP context is modified from the bandwidth of 0 kbit to a higher value via the Go interface, the PDF shall send a Re-Auth\_Request with the value for the Gq Specific-Action AVP set to INDICATION\_OF\_RECOVERY\_OF\_BEARER and shall indicate the affected IP flows with the Flows AVP(s) if not all IP flows within an AF session are affected.

### Next modified section

#### 5.1.7 Indication of bearer release

If the AF has requested a notification at the release of a bearer, and the PDF receives a notification that a PDP context is released via the Go interface, but not all IP flows within the corresponding AF session are affected by the PDP context

release, the PDF shall send a Re-Auth\_Request with the value for the Gq-Specific-Action AVP set to INDICATION\_OF\_RELEASE\_OF\_BEARER and shall indicate the affected IP flows with the Flows AVP(s) and the appropriate Abort-Cause AVP value.

### Next modified section

# 6.4 Gq-Experimental-Result-Code AVP values

This subclause defines the Gq specific values of the Experimental-Result-Code AVP:

GQ\_INVALID\_SERVICE\_INFORMATION (5061)

The <u>PDF cannot authorize the bearer with the service information provided by the AF is invalid or insufficient</u> for the server to perform the requested action.

GQ\_FILTER\_RESTRICTIONS (5062)

The PDF cannot handle Flow\_Description AVP(s) cannot be handled by the server because restrictions defined in clause 6.5.86 are not observed.

## Next modified section

# 6.5 Gq specific AVPs

Table 6.5.1 describes the Diameter AVPs defined for the Gq interface protocol, their AVP Code values, types, possible flag values and whether or not the AVP may be encrypted. The Vendor-Id header of all AVPs defined in the present document shall be set to 3GPP (10415).

		Clause defined	Value Type (note 2)	AVP Flag rules (note 1)				]
Attribute Name	AVP Code			Must	May	Should not	Must not	May Encr.
Abort-Cause	500	6.5.1	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Address	501	6.5.2	Address	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Identifier	502	6.5.3	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Access-Network-Charging- Identifier-Value	503	6.5.4	OctetString	M,V	Ρ			Y
AF-Application-Identifier	504	6.5.5	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
AF-Charging-Identifier	505	6.5.6	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
Authorization-Token	506	6.5.7	OctetString	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Description	507	6.5.8	IPFilterRule	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Grouping	508	6.5.9	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Number	509	6.5.10	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Flows	510	6.5.11	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Status	511	6.5.12	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Flow-Usage		6.5.13	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Gq-Specific-Action	513	6.5.14	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
Max-Requested-Bandwidth	514	6.5.15	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL	515	6.5.16	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL	516	6.5.17	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Component-Description	517	6.5.18	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Component-Number	518	6.5.19	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Sub-Component AVP	519	6.5.20	Grouped	M,V	Р			Y
Media-Type	520	6.5.21	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
RR-Bandwidth	521	6.5.22	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
RS-Bandwidth	522	6.5.23	Unsigned32	M,V	Р			Y
SIP-Forking-Indication	523	6.5.24	Enumerated	M,V	Р			Y
NOTE 1: The AVP header bit of denoted as 'V', indica details, see RFC 358 NOTE 2: The value types are of	tes whe 8 [6].	ether the op	otional Vendor-ID field is					

#### Table 6.5.1: Gq specific Diameter AVPs

### Next modified section

#### 6.5.1 Abort-Cause AVP

The Session-Abort-Cause AVP (AVP code 500) is of type Enumerated, and determines the cause of a session abort request or of an RAR indicating a PDP context release. The following values are defined:

**<u>BEARERPDP\_CONTEXT</u>**\_RELEASED (0)

This value is used-when the <u>PDP contextbearer</u> has been deactivated as a result from normal <u>PDP context</u> signalling handling. For GPRS the bearer refers to the PDP Context.

INSUFFICIENT\_PDFSERVER\_RESOURCES (1)

This value is used to indicate that the server PDF is overloaded and needs to abort the session.

#### INSUFFICIENT\_BEARER\_RESOURCES (2)

This value is used when the <u>bearer</u>PDP context has been deactivated due to insufficient bearer resources <u>at a</u> transport gateway (e.g. GGSN for GPRS).-at the GGSN.

### Next modified section

#### 6.5.6 AF-Charging-Identifier AVP

The AF-Charging-Identifier AVP (AVP code 505) is of type OctetString, contains the AF Charging Identifier that is sent from by the AF-to the PDF. The PDF may use tThis information may be used for charging correlation with bearer layer.

### Next modified section

#### 6.5.8 Flow-Description AVP

The Flow-Description AVP (AVP code 507) is of type IPFilterRule, and defines a packet filter for an IP flow with the following information:

- Direction (in or out).
- Source and destination IP address (possibly masked).
- Protocol.
- Source and destination port (list or ranges).

The IPFilterRule type shall be used with the following restrictions:

- Only the Action "permit" shall be used.
- No "options" shall be used.
- The invert modifier "!" for addresses shall not be used.
- The keyword "assigned" shall not be used.

If any of these restrictions is not observed by the AF, the PDF shall send the server shall send an error response to the AF containing the Gq-Experimental-Result-Code AVP with value FILTER RESTRICTIONS. GQ\_INVALID\_SERVICE\_INFORMATION.

The Flow description AVP shall be used to describe a single IP flow.

The direction "in" refers to uplink IP flows, and the direction "out" refers to downlink IP flows.

#### Next modified section

#### 6.5.13 Flow-Usage AVP

The Flow-Usage AVP (AVP code 512) is of type Enumerated, and provides information about the usage of IP Flows. The following values are defined:

NO\_INFORMATION (0)

This value is used to indicate that no information about the usage of the IP flow is being provided

RTCP(1)

This value is used to indicate that an IP flow is used to transport RTCP.

NO\_INFORMATION is the default value.

NOTE: An AF may choose not to identify RTCP flows, e.g. in order to avoid that RTCP flows are always enabled by the PDFserver.

### Next modified section

#### 6.5.14 Gq-Specific-Action AVP

The Gq-Specific-Action AVP (AVP code 513) is of type Enumerated, and determines the type of the Gq-action within the PDF-server initiated request.

Within an initial AA request the AF may use the <del>Gq</del>-Specific-Action AVP to request specific actions from the <u>PDF</u> <u>server</u> at the bearer <u>authorization events</u> and to limit the contact <u>at bearer authorization</u> to such bearer <u>authorization</u> events where <u>this specific</u> action is required. If the <del>Gq</del>-Specific-Action AVP is omitted within the initial AA request, no notification of any of the events defined below is requested.

The following values are defined:

#### SERVICE\_INFORMATION\_REQUEST (0)

This value shall be used when the <u>PDF server</u> requests the service information from the AF for the bearer <u>authorization\_event</u>. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the <u>PDF server</u> to demand service information at each bearer <u>authorization\_authorization</u>.

#### CHARGING\_CORRELATION\_EXCHANGE (1)

This value shall be used when the <u>PDF-server</u> reports the access network charging identifier to the AF. The <u>PDF</u> shall include the Access-Network-Charging-Identifier AVP shall be included within the request. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the <u>PDF-server</u> to provide an access network charging identifier to the AF at each bearer <u>authorizationestablishment/modification</u>, when a new access network charging identifier becomes available.

#### INDICATION\_OF\_LOSS\_OF\_BEARER (2)

This value shall be used when the <u>PDF server</u> reports a loss of a bearer (<u>e.g. in the case of GPRS</u> PDP context bandwidth modification to 0 kbit) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the <u>PDF</u> server to provide a notification at the loss of a bearer.

INDICATION\_OF\_RECOVERY\_OF\_BEARER (3)

This value shall be used when the <u>PDF-server</u> reports a recovery of a bearer (<u>e.g. in the case of GPRS</u>, PDP context bandwidth modification from 0 kbit to another value) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the <u>PDF-server</u> to provide a notification at the recovery of a bearer.

#### INDICATION\_OF\_RELEASE\_OF\_BEARER (4)

This value shall be used when the <u>PDF server</u> reports the release of a bearer (<u>e.g.</u> PDP context removal <u>for</u> <u>GPRS</u>) to the AF. In the AAR, this value indicates that the AF requests the <u>PDF server</u> to provide a notification at the removal of a bearer.

## Next modified section

### 6.5.18 Media-Component-Description AVP

The Media-Component-Description AVP (AVP code 517) is of type Grouped, and it contains service information for a single media component within an AF session. It may be based on the SDI exchanged between the AF and the AF client in the UE. The information is-may be used by the <u>PDF server</u> to determine authorized QoS and IP flow classifiers for bearer authorization and charging rule selection.

Within one Gq\_Diameter message, a single IP flow shall not be described by more than one Media-Component-Description AVP.

Bandwidth information and Flow-Status information provided within the Media-Component-Description AVP applies to all those IP flows within the media component, for which no corresponding information is being provided within Media-Sub-Component AVP(s).

If a Media-Component-Description AVP is not supplied, or if optional AVP(s) within a Media-Component-Description AVP are omitted, but corresponding information has been provided in previous Gq-Diameter messages, the previous information for the corresponding IP flow(s) remains valid.

All IP flows within a Media-Component-Description AVP are permanently disabled by supplying a Flow Status AVP with value "REMOVED". The <u>PDF-server</u> may delete corresponding filters and state information.

AVP format:

```
Media-Component-Description ::= < AVP Header: ?>
    { Media-Component-Number } ; Ordinal number of the media comp.
    *[ Media-Sub-Component ] ; Set of flows for one flow identifier
    [ AF-Application-Identifier ]
    [ Media-Type ]
    [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth ]
    [ Flow-Status ]
    [ RS-Bandwidth ]
    [ RR-Bandwidth ]
```

### Next modified section

#### 6.5.20 Media-Sub-Component AVP

The Media-Sub-Component AVP (AVP code 519) is of type Grouped, and it contains the requested QoS and filters for the set of IP flows identified by their common Flow-Identifier. The Flow-Identifier is defined in 3GPP TS 29.207 [4].

Possible Bandwidth information and Flow-Status information provided within the Media-Sub-Component AVP takes precedence over information within the encapsulating Media Component Description AVP. If a Media-Sub-Component AVP is not supplied, or if optional AVP(s) within a Media-Sub-Component AVP are omitted, but corresponding information has been provided in previous Gq-Diameter messages, the previous information for the corresponding IP flow(s) remains valid, unless new information is provided within the encapsulating Media-Component-Description AVP.

All IP flows within a Media-Sub-Component- AVP are permanently disabled by supplying a Flow Status AVP with value "REMOVED". The <u>PDF-server</u> may delete corresponding filters and state information.

AVP format:

```
Media-Sub-Component ::= < AVP Header: ?>
    { Flow-Number } ; Ordinal number of the IP flow
    1*2[ Flow-Description ] ; UL and/or DL
    [ Flow-Status ]
    [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-UL ]
    [ Max-Requested-Bandwidth-DL ]
```

#### Next modified section

## 6.5.24 SIP-Forking-Indication AVP

The SIP\_Forking AVP (AVP code 523) is of type Enumerated, and describes if several SIP dialogues are related to one Gq-Diameter session-:

#### SINGLE\_DIALOGUE (0)

This value is used to indicate that the Gq Diameter session relates to a single SIP dialogue. This is the default value applicable if the AVP is omitted.

#### SEVERAL\_DIALOGUES (1)

This value is used to indicate that the Gq-Diameter session relates to several SIP dialogues.

## **End of modifications**