Palm Springs, CA, US



Third Generation Partnership Project

MEETING REPORT v3.0.0

3GPP TSG-CN4#23bis

Helsinki, FINLAND. $21^{st} - 23^{rd}$ June, 2004

Hosted by:

NOKIA

CN4 Officials:

Chairman: Peter Schmitt, Siemens. Peter.Schmitt@gksag.de

Vice-Chairman: Mr. Peter Wild, Vodafone-D2. peter.wild@vodafone.com
MCC Support: Kimmo Kymäläinen, ETSI MCC. kimmo.kymalainen@etsi.org

Table of contents

1	Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda	3
1.1	IPR call	3
2	Allocation of documents to agenda items	3
3	Meeting Reports	3
4	Input liaison statements:	3
5	Release 5 and 6	4
5.1	Generic User Profile	4
5.2	Wireless LAN interworking	9
5.3	Diameter Version control	11
5.4	Diameter coordination	12
5.5	Subscriber data handling for the IMS	12
6	AOB	16
7	Check of approved output documents	16
8	Closing of the meeting	16
ANNEX A	N:OUTPUT MATERIAL	17
A.1	Liaisons Approved	17
A.2	New TSs /TRs Approved (to be placed under change control)	17
A.3	New / Revised Work Items Approved	17
A.4	Approved CRs	17
A.5	Email Approval CRs	18
Annex R	Participants	10

1 Opening of the meeting and approval of the agenda

1.1 IPR call

The Chairman drew attention to Members' obligations under the 3GPP Partner Organizations' IPR policies. Every Individual Member organization is obliged to declare to the Partner Organization or Organizations of which it is a member any IPR owned by the Individual Member or any other organization which is or is likely to become essential to the work of 3GPP.

NP-040761 Preliminary agenda for CN4 #23bis: CN4 chairman

Discussion:

Status: Revised to N4-040762

NP-040762 Detailed agenda & time plan for CN4 #23bis: status at document deadline, CN4

chairman Discussion:

Status: Revised to N4-040763

NP-040763 Detailed agenda & time plan for CN4 #23bis: status on eve of meeting, CN4

chairman Discussion:

Status: AGREED

2 Allocation of documents to agenda items

NP-040764 Proposed allocation of documents to agenda items for CN4 #23bis: status at document deadline, CN4 chairman

Discussion:

Status: Revised to N4-040765

NP-040765 Proposed allocation of documents to agenda items for CN4 #23bis: status on eve

of meeting, CN4 chairman

Discussion: Status:

3 Meeting Reports

4 Input liaison statements:

NP-040800 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on Re-use of RADIUS attributes within the 3gpp specific vendor id, CN3

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.4

NP-040801 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Comments on Physical Storage of GUP components, SA1

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.1

NP-040802 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on WLAN Charging Identifiers, SA1

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.2

NP-040803 Input LS - - Rel-6; Reply LS on Managing of Metadata: New Procedures vs.

Metadata GUP Components, SA2

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.1

NP-040804 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Reply to LS on Provisioning Function for Physical Storage

of GUP components, SA2

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.1

NP-040805 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Reply LS on Transfer of T2 GUP TS's, SA2

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.1

NP-040806 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; Reply LS on GUP security status in SA3 and on

collaboration of 3GPP and Liberty Alliance Project, SA2

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.4

NP-040807 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; LS on the flexibility of filtering of register request, SA2

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.5

NP-040808 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on GUP security status in SA3 and on collaboration of

3GPP and Liberty Alliance Project, SA3

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.1

NP-040809 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; LS on non-compliance to IMS security, SA3

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.5

NP-040810 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; Reply LS on Request for Comments on Wi-Fi Alliance

Public Access MRD draft v1.0, SA3

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.2

NP-040822 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on Assignment of the Diameter codes and identifiers,

SA5

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to 5.4

5 Release 5 and 6

5.1 Generic User Profile

NP-040801 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Comments on Physical Storage of GUP components, SA1

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040803 Input LS - - Rel-6; Reply LS on Managing of Metadata: New Procedures

vs. Metadata GUP Components, SA2

Discussion:

Lucent: SA2 opinion is that it is CN4 matter to make any decision on this topic.

Status: **NOTED**

NP-040804 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Reply to LS on Provisioning Function for Physical Storage of GUP components, SA2

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040805 Input LS - to: Rel-6; Reply LS on Transfer of T2 GUP TS's, SA2

Discussion: 3GPP TS 23.241 and 3GPP TS 24.241 are under CN4 control after CN#24.

Mrs. Yvette Koza from T-Mobile will be an editor for 3GPP TS 23.241.

An editorship for 3GPP TS 24.241 is still open.

Status: NOTED

NP-040806 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; Reply LS on GUP security status in SA3 and on

collaboration of 3GPP and Liberty Alliance Project, SA2

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040808 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on GUP security status in SA3 and on collaboration

of 3GPP and Liberty Alliance Project, SA3

SA3 wants to inform that the following issues are still under investigation:

The impacts of using client certificates need to be studied in case the GUP requestor over Rg-interface is a UE. GBA (Generic Bootstrapping Architecture) based client authentication may possibly be used in this case.

- The impact of potential double encryption needs to be studied by SA3.
- Which specification would be suitable for specifying security for the Rg reference point.
- Potential profiling of the Liberty Alliance Project ID-WSF security solution in the scope of GUP security.
- Potential alignments of terminology in 3GPP and Liberty Alliance Project specifications.
- Use of Liberty Alliance Project Privacy mechanisms.

Discussion: Lucent: What does this mean. The input of LS is not clear on Lucent.

Lucent: Should we reference 3GPP security documents or Liberty Alliance

documentation? For us it would be more easier if we reference only 3GPP security

document.

CN4 is waiting more contribution from SA3 in CN4#24.

Status: NOTED

NP-040767 DISC; TS 29.240, Clarification of the Main concept and General

Guidelines, Nokia

Discussion: Lucent: The same document was rejected in CN4#23 because in that time CN4

meeting didn't see proposed text clear enough.

CN4 agreed to add only a sentence: "The framework, procedures, SOAP binding and security solutions of GUP are based on the Liberty Alliance Project work." in the

chapter 4.

Status: REVISED to N4-040825

NP-040825 DISC; TS 29.240, Clarification of the Main concept and General

Guidelines, Nokia

Discussion: Will be added in 29.240.

Status: AGREED without presentation

NP-040768 DISC; TS 29.240, Initial Rg reference point description, Nokia

Discussion: Orange: What is the most important interface: Rg or Rp?

Nokia: The interfaces are quite similar.

Lucent: All the actions are initiated to Rg interface, because "action" starts from there. Difference between Rp and Rg interface use are in handling of

Metadata.

Lucent: The splitting is mention only in 8.1.4. It should be also added in all other

sub-section (8.1.1, 8.1.2 and 8.1.3).

Vodafone: The exception (in chapter 8.1.4) doesn't tell in which interface is it valid;

Rg or Rp interface?

Ericsson: This exception is valid for Rg.

Nokia: Query procedure is the only process which can be splitted.

Lucent: We do not need this exception (8.1.4) or it should be added in all

cases.

Nokia agreed to delete exception in section 8.1.4.

Vodafone: The end of sections we should add "see section 9.x.x".

Lucent: The Rg and Rp interfaces are different on sementic and procedual point of

view.

Vodafone: Use of word "identical" means data is excactly same. Vodafone believe this is necessary not true in Rp and Rg interfaces.

Lucent: More clarification is needed on wording identical and almost identical.

Orange would like to see Rg interface defined and Rp interface should be referenced

on it.

Nokia: What we should define first are procedures. Some point are missing or not

defined in chapter 8.1. Missing things should be added in editor's note.

Lucent: Actions are always triggered by Rg request. On logical point of view we should define Rg interface first. The way they are presented in current proposal is

wrong.

Ericsson argee with Lucent that Rg interface is more important. **CN4** agreed that differences between Rg and Rp should be clearly defined.

Document will be revised during drafting session.

Status: REVISED to N4-040826

NP-040826 DISC; TS 29.240, Initial Rg reference point description, Nokia Discussion: The document is withdrawn. It's partly covered with N4-040828.

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040828 DISC; GUP Rg and Rp interface Specification for

TS 29.240; CN4 Drafting Group

Discussion: This is the agreed output of the CN4 drafting session on Monday evening.

It proposes a restructuring of the existing Rg and Rp sections to the following

headings and format.

Status: AGREED

NP-040769 DISC; TS 29.240, Update of Rp reference point descriptions, Nokia

Discussion: Chapter 5.4.3

Lucent: We have agreed before that GCLRef is mandatory. MinOccurs

should not be changed 0.

"If ComponentType is missing the GCLRef must contain also the GUP Component namespace. Otherwise the component data elements may be

directly addressed." will be removed.

In XML example, GCLRef and ComponentType should be in same level.

Nokia: ComponentType is defined but not in this chapter.

Status: REVISED to N4-040829

NP-040829 DISC; TS 29.240, Update of Rp reference point descriptions, Nokia

Discussion: The changes are related on chapter 2 and 5 of N4-040769.

Status: AGREED

NP-040770 DISC; TS 29.240, Update of SOAP related definitions, Nokia

Discussion: Lucent challanged the need of inserted text in chapter 9. They believe

that to refer Liberty Alliance document should be enough.

Ericsson: This is a good starting point and we should continue work based on this.

CN4 decided to add editor's notes in chapter 9:

"Wether the GUP framework will support a subset of SOAP headres defined by the SOAP specification and also by Liberty ID-WSF SOAP Binding Specification [14] is FFS. Additionally some GUP specific SOAP headers may also be required FFS.

The implementation is FFS." and

"Namespaces for SOAP headers are FFS."

Status: REVISED to N4-040830

NP-040830 DISC; TS 29.240, Update of SOAP related definitions, Nokia Discussion: CN4 agreed to add proposed changes in the new version of 29.240.

Status: AGREED

NP-040771 DISC; TS 29.240, Authorization, Nokia

Discussion: Lucent: Key idea of GUP was that Authentication, authorization and security

Should be implement only in one place.

Ericsson: Requirements are coming from stage 1 and stage 2. We have to

fullfill the requirements.

Lucent: Do we have extra authorization rules or are all coming from privacy policy?

Nokia: We believe that everything is related to privacy policy but we can't

quarantee it.

Editorial changes were made in chapter 10.1.3.

Lucent: Editor's note should be added in chapter 10.1.3. Authorization should be done only in GUP server level and stage 2 specification needs to be updated.

Nokia: It's not CN4 job to restrict authorization only in one place.

Orange: LS is needed to SA2.

Status: REVISED to N4-040832

NP-040831 Output LS on Authorization, Lucent

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040834

NP-040834 Output LS on Authorization, Lucent

Discussion:

Status: APPROVED

NP-040772 DISC; TS 29.240, HSS IMS GUP Component definition completion, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040833

NP-040833 DISC; TS 29.240, HSS IMS GUP Component definition completion, Nokia

Changes will be added in th new version of 29.240 **Discussion:**

Status: AGREED

NP-040773 DISC; TS 29.240, GUP Procedures Schema, Nokia

Discussion: Nokia: XML schema file have to be updated because of changes

in this meeting.

Lucent and Orange: We should not agree XML schema in this point. We should wait and check that mapping is correct when we have agreed the content of text in

this specification.

CN4 agreed with Lucent's proposal to agree XML-schema in future meetings.

NOTED Status:

NP-040774 INFO; Open issues in 29.240, Nokia

Open Issues: The following issues were identified to be still open in 3GPP TS 29.240 in

CN4#23 meeting in Zagreb:

bandwidth saving and performance solution, could be the compression method provided by ITU converting XML schema to ASN.1

character set(s) supported by XML

detailed security solution(s)

how physical storage location, access control and billing are implemented to GUP stage 3

which parts of Liberty Alliance documentation are referred and how they are used

how and when ro refer 23.241 in 29.240

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040789 DISC - - Rel-6; TS 29.240, Authorization solution, Nokia

Discussion: CN4 agreed the document in principle.

Status: AGREED

NP-040790 DISC - - -; Metadata Management for Rp/Rg interfaces, Lucent Technologies

Discussion: Nokia: The right target of this paper is SA2.

Chairman: The LS statement from SA2 informed CN4; please do, SA2 will follow

CN4 after decision.

Ericsson agree we have to make decision in this meeting. The proposed list of

consequeses in solution 1 is not correct.

Nokia: Renew prosedures are not needed. Basic prosedures are enough, because it

doesn't matter if it's data or metadata.

Lucent: Liberty Alliance doesn't specify anything about metadata. We believe this is important to have different set of procedures when we do not know what metadata

includes.

Ericsson: Only difference in this proposal is that if we introduce this new

components procedure becomes more complicated.

Orange and Lucent are favour of this proposal when Nokia and Ericsson have a different proposal where only a one datacomponent is needed.

CN4 meeting couldn't agree and find a solution.

Nokia: Component type will tell what is a meaning of component.

Status: NOTED

NP-040792 DISC - - Rel-6; Using SOAP Fault in GUP, Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040836 3GPP TS 29.240 v0.4.0

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040851

NP-040851 3GPP TS 29.240 v0.4.1

Discussion: Lucent: Maybe phone congress is needed to check some editorials to get better

basic for the next meeting.

Will be used as basic for future work.

Comments before 2nd July 18:00 CET on CN4 email reflector.

Status: EMAIL approval; AGREED

5.2 Wireless LAN interworking

NP-040802 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on WLAN Charging Identifiers, SA1

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040810 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; Reply LS on Request for Comments on

Wi-Fi Alliance Public Access MRD draft v1.0, SA3

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040775 CR 23.003 - Rel; Decorated NAI, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040823

NP-040823 CR 23.003 - Rel; Decorated NAI, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040827

NP-040827 CR 23.003 - Rel; Decorated NAI, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040791 DISC 29.234 - Rel-; Addition of Master Session Key to Wa/Wd interface, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040793 DISC - - Rel-6; SLF introduction into the WLAN architecture, Ericsson

Discussion: Lucent: Editor's note have to be changed as NOTE.

Ericsson: "SLF the Cx requests" have to be "SLF the Wx requests". **Vodafone:** The last sentence of first paragraph should be removed. **Vodafone:** "always" should be replace with "only" in second paragraph.

Status: REVISED to N4-040847

NP-040847 DISC - - Rel-6; SLF introduction into the WLAN architecture, Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040794 DISC - - Rel-6; Disconnecting a Subscriber by Online

Charging System, Ericsson

Discussion: France Telecom: We should send LS to SA2 to clarify if HSS needs to

be aware about the reason why user is de-registered.

LS to SA2 N4-040848

Status: POSTPONED to CN4#24

NP-040848 LS on On-line charging Disconnection Procedure; Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: APPROVED

NP-040795 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of DER/DEA messaging for

authentication on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: France Telecom: Any authentication mechanism can be used which are

relaying EAP.

Editorial changes were made in different chapters.

Status: REVISED to N4-040849

NP-040849 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of DER/DEA messaging for

authentication on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Agreed to incorporated to new draft.

Status: AGREED

NP-040796 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of ASR/ASA on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Editorial changes were made in different chapters.

Status: REVISED to N4-040852

NP-040852 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of ASR/ASA on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Agreed to incorporated to new draft.

Status: AGREED

NP-040797 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of STR/STA on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Editorial changes were made in different chapters.

Status: REVISED to N4-040853

NP-040853 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of STR/STA on Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Agreed to incorporated to new draft.

Status: AGREED

NP-040798 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of AAR/AAA on Wm for

authorization, policy download and routing policy upload on the Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Editorial changes made; proposed by **Lucent** and **Ericsson**

Status: REVISED to N4-040850

NP-040850 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; Usage of AAR/AAA on Wm for

authorization, policy download and routing policy upload on the Wm, Nokia

Discussion: Agreed to incorporated to new draft.

Status: AGREED

NP-040799 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; MSISDN passed to the VPLMN and

WLAN-AN for charging purposes, Nokia

Discussion: Ericsson: Wa part should be removed from CR.

LS to SA5 regarding MSISDN requirements for Wa-interface. (N4-040855)

Status: REVISED to N4-040854

NP-040854 DISC 29.234 - Rel-6; MSISDN passed to the VPLMN and

WLAN-AN for charging purposes, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040855 Output LS on MSISDN requirements for Wa-interface; Nokia

Discussion: to SA5

Status: APPROVED

NP-040856 3GPP TS 29.234 v1.5.0; Nokia

Discussion: The TS will be available 29th June 18:00 CET.

Objections should be raised before 2nd July 18:00 CET.

Status: EMAIL approval; **AGREED**

5.3 Diameter Version control

NP-040788 CR 29.229 047 Rel-5; Forward Compatibility, Siemens Discussion: The CR will be withdrawn if CR 29.229-058 is approved.

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040815 CR 29.229 058 Rel-6; Application version control, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040824

NP-040824 CR 29.229 058r1 Rel-6; Application version control, Nokia Discussion: CN4 meeting decided to change Vendor-ID and Feature-

List-ID as mandatory.

Nokia: In normal case the Diameter agent shall return the result code

DIAMETER_UNABLE_TO_DELIVER and it may return the list of the application identifiers, which are supported by the destination host of the request application

message.

Editorial changes are made in chapter 7.x.1.

Chapter 7.1.x will be deleded. This is a principle of work CN4 is already doing and

there are no need to specify this in specification.

Vodafone: A table 7.1.y; the last column (Version of the TS) is not needed.

Chapter 7.x.1 was modified after long discussion.

Status: REVISED to N4-040837

NP-040837 CR 29.229 058r2 Rel-6; Application version control, Nokia Discussion: If no objection during email discussion CR will be approved.

CR will be available 24th June 18:00 CET.

Objection and comments before 2nd July 18:00 CET.

CR was approved. No objections were raised during email approval procedure.

Status: EMAIL approval; **AGREED**

NP-040816 CR 29.229 059 Rel-5; Application version control, Nokia

Discussion: Siemens agrees a consept of CR but they can't accept CR, because

Supported-Features AVP is not described in Rel-5 specification.
- "Supported-Features" were deleded from proposed text.

CN4 agreed to to delete everything from chapter 7.1 except the first sentence.

Vodafone: CR is needed also against 29.329 Rel-5 on same topic.

Status: REVISED to N4-040838

NP-040838 CR 29.229 059r1 Rel-5; Application version control, Nokia Discussion: CR is approved under condotion CR 29.229-058 is approved...

Status: AGREED

NP-040839 CR 29.229 047 Rel-5; Application version control, Nokia Discussion: CR is approved under condotion CR 29.229-058 is approved...

Status: AGREED

NP-040817 CR 29.329 046 Rel-6; Application version control, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040840

NP-040840 CR 29.329 046 Rel-6; Application version control, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

5.4 Diameter coordination

NP-040800 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on Re-use of RADIUS attributes

within the 3gpp specific vendor id, CN3

Discussion: CN4 agreed that more discussion is needed before any decisions can be

made about reusing of Radius attributes. Discussion will continue on CN4 reflector.

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040822 Input LS - to: Rel-6; LS on Assignment of the Diameter codes and

identifiers, SA5

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

5.5 Subscriber data handling for the IMS

NP-040807 Input LS cc: Rel-6; LS on the flexibility of filtering of register request, SA2

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040835 Input LS cc: Rel-6; LS on the flexibility of filtering of register request, CN1

Discussion: Lucent:

Nokia: There are different solution to solve this problem and one of them is to add a new SPT, which tells if we want to trigger initial REGISTER messages or all

REGISTER messages. Solution proposed by CN1 will not work properly.

CN4 decided to continue discussion on email reflector and appropriate proposal will

be handled and decided in CN4#24 and CN1#35.

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040809 Input LS - cc: Rel-6; LS on non-compliance to IMS security, SA3

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040776 DISC; Form of Public User Identity when accessing the

HSS, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: NOTED

NP-040777 CR 29.229 054 Rel-5; Form of Public User Identity, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040778 CR 29.229 055 Rel-6; Form of Public User Identity, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: WITHDRAWN

NP-040779 CR 29.329 040 Rel-5; Public-Identity is unspecified for the Sh interface, Lucent

Technologies Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040841

NP-040841 CR 29.329 040r1 Rel-5; Public-Identity is unspecified for the

Sh interface, Lucent Technologies

Discussion: Email approval till 2nd July

Editorial changes were made during email approval procedure.

- In the table the new AVP needs to be marked 6.3.xx instead of 6.3.10 and the

cover page shall mention 6.3.xx instead of 6.3.10.

Status: EMAIL approval; Revised to N4-040857

NP-040857 CR 29.329 040r2 Rel-5; Public-Identity is unspecified for the

Sh interface, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040780 CR 29.329 041 Rel-6; Public-Identity is unspecified for the

Sh interface, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040842

NP-040842 CR 29.329 041r1 Rel-6; Public-Identity is unspecified for the

Sh interface, Lucent Technologies

Discussion: Email approval till 2nd July

Editorial changes were made during email approval procedure.

- In the table the new AVP needs to be marked 6.3.xx instead of 6.3.10 and the

cover page shall mention 6.3.xx instead of 6.3.10.

Status: EMAIL approval; Revised to N4-040858

NP-040858 CR 29.329 041r2 Rel-6; Public-Identity is unspecified for the

Sh interface, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040781 CR 29.329 042 Rel-5; Incorrect Data-Reference AVP in Subscriber Notification

Answer Command, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040782 CR 29.329 043 Rel-6; Incorrect Data-Reference AVP in Subscriber Notification

Answer Command, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040783 CR 29.329 044 Rel-5; Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity

AVP, Lucent Technologies

Discussion: Siemens: Also the star on "MSISDN" should be affected on this CR.

Nokia: It would be easier to accept the CRs in the future if error situation would be

clearly described.

Status: REVISED to N4-040843

NP-040843 CR 29.329 044r1 Rel-5; Single Public Identity required in Grouped

User-Identity AVP, Lucent Technologies

Discussion: Email approval till 2nd July

CR was approved. No objections were raised during email approval procedure.

Status: EMAIL approval; **AGREED**

NP-040784 CR 29.329 045 Rel-6; Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity

AVP, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040844

NP-040844 CR 29.329 045r1 Rel-6; Single Public Identity required in Grouped User-

Identity AVP, Lucent Technologies Discussion: Email approval till 2nd July

CR was approved. No objections were raised during email approval procedure.

Status: EMAIL approval; AGREED

NP-040785 CR 29.228 092 Rel-5; Downloading the user profile based on User-Data-

Request-Type, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040786 CR 29.228 093 Rel-6; Downloading the user profile based on User-Data-

Request-Type, Lucent Technologies

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040787 DISC:Improvements to efficiency of PPR. Siemens

Discussion: Operators believe this is a good optimisation to do like proposed by Siemens.

- Proposal was supported by Vodafone and Orange.

Nokia: The proposal is good, but not were good for implementation. We don't need to transport data we could modify it. User specific information can be in HSS, but

common information update can be done in serving S-CSCF.

Nokia: The updating the shared data is not something which is clearly indented to be done in Siemens proposal.

In Nokia counter proposal data are stored in HSS and S-CSCF.

Nokia: User specific filters are in HSS. New commands can be added to Cx if we don't want to store data in S-CSCF.

Ericsson: Concept of using filters fails with Siemens proposal.

Operators would like to see optimasation in Rel-6.

Ericsson: We are going to change working assumption, sharing user profiles. We should inform SA2

Vodafone: Stage 2 doesn't say anything about user profile format. This is only implementation issue.

Ericsson has a strong view that changes planned by CN4 create changes in stage 2 requirements.

- Other companies didn't see reasons which effect stage 2 reguirements.

Nokia has a counter proposal to solve isssue.

CN4 meeting agreed that document will be a starting point for further development.

The proposal will be submitted to CN4#24

Status: NOTED

NP-040811 CR 29.228 116 Rel-5; User profile split, Nokia, Ericsson

Discussion: Vodafone: Vodafone/Siemens counter proposal is N4-040820.

Lucent is favour of Nokia/Ericsson proposal.

To remove: un-registered, registered and common part are supported by HP,

Vodafone, Siemens.

Ericsson is a favour of user profile split.

Ericsson: One other side we say that we want to improve implemention and on the other side we are saying that we want to improve optimasation.

Nokia: We could always download complite Userprofile and the S-CSCF to decided which parts it needs and which part it stores. The identification of unregistered, registered and common part could be used as basic.

Ericsson: During email discussion we decided that we should have both complite profile and split profile. Ericsson don't understand why do we have to agree something else now.

Siemens: The proposal we have found during meeting is a kind of

compromise.

CN4 meeting decided that N4-040820 is counter proposal.

Vodafone will produce a new draft CR and send it to CN4 reflector.

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040812 CR 29.228 117 Rel-6; User profile split, Nokia, Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040813 CR 29.229 056 Rel-5; User profile split, Nokia, Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040814 CR 29.229 057 Rel-6; User profile split, Nokia, Ericsson

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040818 CR 29.228 109r2 Rel-5; LIR and services related to unregistered state, Nokia

Discussion:

Nokia: When there is a public identity which has state "unregistered" and has no services related to unregistered state and the public identity receives a terminating session, the I-CSCF sends a LIR command to the HSS. 3GPP TS 23.228 section 5.12.2 requires that the HSS should respond with a LIA command containing an indication that the public identity is unregistered, and has no services related to unregistered state. The same applies also to the "not registered" state.

Ericsson: This CR is in line with stage 2 and should be agreed.

France Telecom: We have different understanding about unregistered state. CR is

not correct and accetable because it's not align with stage 2.

After 3 hours discussion CN4 agreed CR with re-wording of consequences if not

approved. Small editorial changes were made in chapter 6.1.4.1.

Status: REVISED to N4-040845

NP-040845 CR 29.228 109r3 Rel-5; LIR and services related to unregistered state, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040819 CR 29.228 118 Rel-6; LIR and services related to unregistered state, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: REVISED to N4-040846

NP-040846 CR 29.228 118r1 Rel-6; LIR and services related to unregistered state, Nokia

Discussion:

Status: AGREED

NP-040820 CR 29.228 119 Rel-5; Correction to allow full functionality of

User Profile download to be utilised, Vodafone, Siemens

Discussion: Lucent support another approach provided by Nokia and Ericsson (N4-040811).

Siemens: At the beginning it looked like that user profile split is a good solution. Now it has turned out that concept is not clear. The proposal gives more effort for implementation. Siemens would like to remove user profile split and/or make it

optional.

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

NP-040821 CR 29.228 120 REI; Correction to allow full functionality of

User Profile download to be utilised, Vodafone, Siemens

Discussion:

Status: Postponed to CN4#24

6 AOB

7 Check of approved output documents

NP-040766 List of approved output documents, CN4 chairman

Discussion:

Status: APPROVED

8 Closing of the meeting

ANNEX A: OUTPUT MATERIAL

A.1 Liaisons Approved

Tdoc	Tdoc Title	LS to	LS cc	LS Attachment
N4-040834	Authorization Logic and the Entities Involved	SA2		
N4-040848	LS on On-line charging Disconnection Procedure	SA2		
N4-040855	LS on use of MSISDN in WLAN-AN	SA5		

A.2 Approved CRs

Tdoc#	Title	Source
N4-04		
0781	Incorrect Data-Reference AVP in Subscriber Notification Answer Command	Lucent Technologies
0782	Incorrect Data-Reference AVP in Subscriber Notification Answer Command	Lucent Technologies
0827	Decorated NAI	Nokia
0837	Application version control	Nokia
0838	Application version control	Nokia
0839	Application version control	Nokia
0840	Application version control	Nokia
0843	Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity AVP	Lucent Technologies
0844	Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity AVP	Lucent Technologies
0845	LIR and services related to unregistered state	Nokia
0846	LIR and services related to unregistered state	Nokia
0857	Public-Identity is unspecified for the Sh interface	Lucent Technologies
0858	Public-Identity is unspecified for the Sh interface	Lucent Technologies

A.3 Email Approval CRs

The following CR are under email approval. The CRs will be approved on $\mathbf{Friday}\ 2^{nd}$ July 18:00 CET if there are no objections.

- 837, 843, 844 were approved after email approval procedure.
- 841 and 842 were revised as 857 and 858.

Tdoc#	Title	Source
N4-04		
0837	Application version control	Nokia
0841	Public-Identity is unspecified for the Sh interface	Lucent Technologies

0842	Public-Identity is unspecified for the Sh interface	Lucent Technologies
0843	Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity AVP	Lucent Technologies
0844	Single Public_Identity required in Grouped User-Identity AVP	Lucent Technologies

A.4 New TSs /TRs Approved

Tdoc # N4-04	Title	Source	Notes

A.4 New / Revised Work Items Approved

Tdoc #	Title	Source
N4-04		

Annex B: Participants

Member of 3GPP (ATIS) Mr. Arnaud Sahuguet	Lucent Technologies	3GPPMEMBER (ATIS)	US	+1 908 582 6491	sahuguet@lucent.com
Member of 3GPP (CCSA) Mr. Zdravko Jukic	Nanjing Ericsson Panda Com Ltd	3GPPMEMBER (CCSA)	HR	+46 455 39 5439	Zdravko.Jukic@ericsson.com
Member of 3GPP (ETSI) Mr. Anders Askerup Mr. Nigel. H Berry Mr. Emmanuel Gay Mr. Seppo Kauntola Mrs. Yvette Koza Mr. Ari Laine Mr. Klaus Mäkeläinen Mr. Lionel Morand Miss Minna Myllymäki Mr. Nick Russell Dr. Paul Sitch Mr. Kalle Tammi Dr. Daniel Warren Mr. Ulrich Wiehe Mr. Peter Wild	Hewlett-Packard Lucent Technologies N. S. UK ORANGE SA NOKIA Corporation T-Mobile AUSTRIA NOKIA Corporation TeliaSonera AB France Telecom NOKIA Corporation VODAFONE LTD NOKIA Corporation NOKIA Corporation VODAFONE Group Plc Siemens nv/sa Vodafone D2 GmbH	3GPPMEMBER (ETSI)	US GB FR FI AT FI FR FI GB FI GB DE	+1-402-384-7303 +44 1793 883245 +33145295583 +358405569959 +431795856176 +358503878646 +358204063246 +33 1 4529 6257 +358 50 521 6209 +44 1635 682 699 +358 40 531 5259 +358405058670 +496621 169139 +49 211 533 3798	Anders.Askerup@hp.com nhberry@lucent.com emmanuel.gay@francetelecom.com seppo.kauntola@nokia.com yvette.koza@t-mobile.at ari.p.laine@nokia.com klaus.makelainen@sonera.com lionel.morand@francetelecom.com minna.myllymaki@nokia.com nick.russell@vodafone.com paul.sitch@nokia.com kalle.tammi@nokia.com dan.warren@vodafone.com ulrich.wiehe@gksag.de peter.wild@vodafone.com
Member of 3GPP (TTA) Mrs. Maria-Carmen Belinchon Member of 3GPP (TTC)	Ericsson Korea	3GPPMEMBER (TTA)	KR	+34 91 339 3535	maria.c.belinchon@ericsson.com
Organisation partner representa Mr. Kimmo Kymalainen	tive (ETSI) Mobile Competence Centre		FR	+33 4 92 94 42 38	kimmo.kymalainen@etsi.org