

Title: **[Draft] Reply LS on principles for overlapping issues** with OMA regarding PoC
Response to: LS (NP-030358) on Need for OMA Liaison with 3GPP and 3GPP2 re PoC
Release: Rel 6
Work Item: --

Source: CN
To: ~~SA OMA Requirement WG, IP MM BoF, New technical PoC sub-working group in MAG~~
Cc: ~~SA, SA1, SA2, SA3, SA4, SA5, CN1, CN3, CN4~~

Contact Persons:

Name: ?
Tel. Number: ?
E-mail Address: ?

and

Name: Yukio Kawanami, NEC
Tel. Number: +81 471 85 7158
E-mail Address: kawanami@cj.jp.nec.com

Attachments: None

1. Overall Description:

CN thanks OMA requirement WG for the LS: NP-030358 on the Need for OMA Liaison with 3GPP and 3GPP2 re PoC. CN already discussed the general overlapping issues between 3GPP and OMA and agreed the following guidelines at the last CN#20 plenary in June:

“CN Feedback on OMA Overlap:

- 3GPP should have responsibility for the IMS platform.
- There is a need to document the technical interfaces between 3GPP and OMA.

It was clarified that this meant that a division of the work is needed, e.g. the work between OMA Groups and CN WGs needs to be clearly defined.

- There is a need to document the procedural mechanisms for interworking between 3GPP and OMA.

The TSG CN Chairman reported that there were no plans to produce any new specification [release](#) for OMA. OMA were invited to make use of existing and under development specifications. The documentation was intended to be a formal description of the work split between the two groups.”
(Excerpt from the SA#20-report-v004)

CN recognized that OMA is to develop application enablers or “service enablers” which can run over a variety of different mobile networks including those specified by 3GPP.

CN1 already discussed the PoC requirement based on this LS (treated just for information for CN1) and company’s input document at the last CN1 meeting. During the discussion, CN1 [discussed](#) ~~recognized~~ ~~that whether~~ IMS conference service covers PoC work in the near future and IMS ph2 WID may be revised accordingly once the [requirements and the architecture indicate CN1 work in this area](#). ~~WG relations are established~~. Thus CN WG relations between 3GPP and OMA should [eventually](#) be established for efficient working between these organizations in the earliest possibility. ~~(← Question to Stephen: based on the deletion of the last bullet below, is this sentence still OK?)~~

Consequently, 3GPP and OMA need to come to a mutual agreement on division of work to help eliminate the above problems. Based on an analysis of the *above discussion*, the following principles for a work split could be agreed:

- 3GPP understands that OMA develops application and service enabler requirements for mobile services, and develops the high level architecture and implementation where the service enabler does not build upon IMS platform such as WAP platform, etc.
 - Related to PoC, 3GPP CN will develop any necessary protocol changes in order to support the OMA PoC enabler.
~~related with the above, 3GPP intends to produce the necessary protocol changes to support PoC and the other OMA defined services~~
 - There is a need to discuss and document the technical interfaces between 3GPP and OMA in terms of PoC interfaces, if any. 3GPP requests OMA to provide technical requirements and interfaces for PoC in terms of deltas against IMS release 5. This will make it easier for 3GPP to address OMA's needs.
 - CN will also request guidance from SA2 on PoC architecture study before defining CN work items
 - 3GPP is the a single contact point for IETF in terms of ~~already existing IETF references~~ 3GPP dependencies from 3GPP on IETF specifications, in areas such as the IMS requirements, so that 3GPP develops IMS capabilities to support OMA applications using IETF protocols.
 - CN kindly Send an invitation to OMA to give a presentation of PoC requirements and architecture in the October CN WG meetings to be held from 27 to 31 October in Bangkok, Thailand.
~~CN expects the guidance from SA2 on PoC architecture study before defining CN work items (check this wording with the others...?)~~
 - 3GPP wide dependency list should be created to indicate 3GPP dependencies from OMA ~~CN would like OMA to inform 3GPP on their time schedule for developing the PoC service enabler.~~
 - A 3GPP-wide dependency list should be created to indicate 3GPP dependencies from OMA
- ~~—WG Liaison relationship should be established between CN WGs in 3GPP and PoC related WGs in OMA in the earliest possibility.
CN1 is a leading contact WG regarding PoC work in CN and WID in 3GPP is IMS phase2.~~

~~The consequences of this approach would be that some work in OMA would need to be closed at some point to allow the focus for that work to move to 3GPP.~~

2. Actions:

To ~~SA OMA Requirement WG, IP MM BoF, New technical PoC sub-working group in MAG~~

ACTION: CN kindly asks ~~to take note of the principles above and take relevant actions accordingly~~ SA to incorporate the above point with the positions from other TSGs and provide a consolidated response LS to the appropriate OMA groups.

3. Date of Next TSG-CN Meetings:

TSG-CN Meeting #22	10th – 12th December 2003	Hawaii, USA
TSG-CN Meeting #23	10th – 12th March 2004	TBD <u>Phoenix</u> , USA