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## Executive Summary

RTC SWG met for 3 online sessions during SA4#131-bis-e. The RTC SWG had 50 input contributions and in the end agreed to 22 Tdocs and endorsed 2 Tdocs.

Thanks to Serhan Gül, Rufael Mekuria, Razvan Andrei Stoica, Liangping Ma, Shane He and Bo Burman for the detailed minutes.

Summaries on progress below:

* 5G\_RTP\_Ph2

The following CRs and LSs were agreed.

* S4-250683: [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to RTC Dynamic Policy API for N6-unmarked PDUs
* S4-250686: [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On RTP retransmission signaling
* S4-250708: [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs
* S4-250712: [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] LS on N6-Unmarked PDUs
* S4-250716: Guideline for PDU Handling marked and unmarked PDU
* S4-250724: [5G\_RTP\_PH2] SDES RTP Header Extension for MID
* S4-250725: [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to Dynamic Policy API for SDES RTP HE for MID
* S4-250726: [5G\_RTP\_PH2] Enhancements to dynamic policy resource for SDES RTP HE
* SR\_IMS (compiled by Shane He, rapporteur)
* Agreed to integrate pCRs 250499, 250520, 250641, 250733, and 250666 in TS 26.567
* Agreed to send LS to SA2 and CT4 on MF capability registration and discovery
* Updated SR\_IMS work plan
* Updated TS 26.567 to v1.1.0
* AvCall-MED
* Endorsed a template for CR to TS 26.264
* FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (compiled by Yoshihiro Inoue, rapporteur)
* In maintenance work.
* S4-250626: [TEI18, iRTCW] Correction of PDU Set Marking in the scope of a Dynamic Policy
* S4-250635 (Mirror): [TEI19, iRTCW] Addition of Number of PDUs in the PDU Set to Dynamic Policy
* S4-250636: Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters
* S4-250637 (Mirror): Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters.

### Adhoc schedule:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **3GPP SA4 RTC SWG Telco**  **(April 30, 2025, Wednesday, 1500-1700 CEST, Host Nokia)** | **Submission deadline: April 28, 2025, 23:59 CEST**  Agenda: iRTCW\_Ph2, AvCall, SR\_IMS |
| **3GPP SA4 RTC SWG Telco**  **(May 7, 2025, Wednesday, 15:00 –17:00 CEST, Host Nokia)** | **Submission deadline: May 5, 2025, 23:59 CEST**  Agenda: 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 |

### Output documents:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5.2 | Other 3GPP groups | IMS-DC : 495 (SA2) -> postponed in RTCMMII : 620 (CT4) -> Reply in 734 |
| 5.3 | Other groups | IMS-DC:493 (ETSI) -> postponed in RTC487 (GSMA) -> postponed in RTC SWGAvatar: 618 (ISO/IEC SC29) -> noted RTC SWG |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 12 | Reports and general issues from sub-working-groups |  |
| 12.4 | RTC SWG | 735 (this report) |
| 13 | Release 18 and earlier matters | 26.114: 63626.113: 626 |
| 14 | Release 19 Features |  |
| 14.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | LS: 630 -> XXX (SA2, CT4)Work plan: 705Draft TS 26.567 v1.1.0: 674 |
| 14.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | CRs:26.522: 708, 724, 71626.510: 72626.113: 725LSs:PDU Set Size: 736 (RAN2)N6-unmarked PDUs: 712Work plan: 701 |
| 14.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | LS to SA3: 715Work plan: 664 |
| 14.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI | 26.114: 63726.113: 635 |
| 15 | Study Items |  |
| 15.6 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | Draft TR 26.830 v 0.4.0: 670Work plan: 507 |

## Minutes of the Meeting

### 10. Real-Time Communications (RTC) SWG Opening of the Call

### 10.1 Opening of the session and registration of documents

**10.1.1 Opening and online minutes**

Saba Ahsan (Nokia, SA4 RTC SWG chair) opened the session the email agreement process on April 11th at 0900 CEST. The following information regarding the email agreements was shared by the chair in advance:

* Email triggers for all RTC documents will be sent out on 11th April after 9:00 CEST. Please take note of the deadline in the subject as they will correspond to the relevant online session.
* There are 5 late documents in 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 and 1 in FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2. I understand that some of these are revisions. I plan to trigger email discussions for these documents along with others, but please let me know if you feel there has not been sufficient time to review them.
* For some 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 documents, I propose to combine email discussions by topic to facilitate discussion. These are:
* *N6-unmarked PDUs: 438, 439, 444*
* *Dynamically changing characteristics: 440, 441, 584*
* *Expedited Transfer - Principle: 510, 611*
* *Expedited Transfer - Solution: 511, 512, 513,*
* *RTP HE for MID: 508, 515*
* *Control-plane MID: 516, 517*
* *Retransmissions: 573, 574*
* *PSSize, data burst size: 609, 610, 589*

The first online session started on 14th April at 15:00 CEST.

Serhan Gül, Rufael Mekuria, Razvan Andrei Stoica, Liangping Ma, Shane He and Bo Burman volunteered to take minutes.

**10.1.2 Registration of documents**

The agenda and registration of documents (Annex 1) were approved.

### 10.2 IPR and Anti-trust Reminder

*The attention of the delegates to the meeting was drawn to the fact that 3GPP activities were subject to all applicable antitrust and competition laws and that compliance with said laws was therefore required by any participant of the meeting, including the Chair and Vice-Chairs and were invited to seek any clarification needed with their legal counsel. The leadership would conduct the present meeting with impartiality and in the interests of 3GPP. Delegates were reminded that timely submission of work items in advance of TSG/WG/SWG meetings was important to allow for full and fair consideration of such matters.*

### Discussions for Agenda Items 10.3-10.9

Summaries of email discussions on [3GPP\_TSG\_SA\_WG4\_RTC@LIST.ETSI.ORG](mailto:3GPP_TSG_SA_WG4_RTC@LIST.ETSI.ORG) were summarized using CoPilot. Full email list can be found here: <https://list.etsi.org/>

### [LS; S4-250618; 15 April 1300 CEST] Liaison to 3GPP SA WG4 on Avatar Representation Format

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250618.
  + The document is a liaison to 3GPP SA WG4 on Avatar Representation Format from ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 29/WG 3.
  + Since no responses were received, Tdoc 618 is noted.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + **Gazi:** The timeline for ARF in the LS does not match the timeline of the Avatar WI, which could have an impact.
* **Decision: Noted.**

### [LS; S4-250487; 15 April 1300 CEST] LS to SA2 About Requirements Concerning Automatic Resume Where You have Left Off

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250487.
  + The document is an LS to SA2 about requirements concerning automatic resume where you have left off from GSMA.
  + No comments were received, but it was noted that questions 1, 4, and 7 are to SA4.
  + Bo Burman interpreted the LS as mostly applicable to SA2, with SA4 in CC, and expects SA4 to make corresponding Stage 3 changes if SA2 agrees to support the feature. Bo Burman has no strong view on sending a reply LS now, and believes the relevance of such a reply depends on SA2's decision to introduce 3GPP support for the feature, which may only be possible in the Rel-20 timeframe.
* **Online Discussion:** 
  + Saba summarizes the email discussion
  + Shane: agrees with Bos comment and asks if need to note or postpone
  + Saba: as it doesnt target us we can note it see if there is something explicit to add to the notes
  + SA4 is currently not working on this topic
  + Imed: use cookies !?
  + Srininvas: is it from same device or different device
  + Shane: postpone to discuss it more
* **Decision: Postponed**

### [LS; S4-250495; 15 April 1300 CEST] LS on IMS DC multiplexing

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250495.
  + The document is an LS on IMS DC multiplexing from SA2.
  + Since no responses were received before the deadline, it was proposed to postpone this LS.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + **No responses/discussion,**
  + **Saba: answer should be straight forward**
  + **Should be mapped to same QoS flow (RTP/RTCP) saba volunteers to draft**
* **Decision: postpone**

### [LS; S4-250493; 15 April 1300 CEST] LS on clarification on reply SDP for standalone DC

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250493.
  + The document is an LS on clarification on reply SDP for standalone DC from ETSI.
  + Since no responses were received by the deadline, it was proposed to postpone this LS and handle it in May.
* **Online Discussion:** 
  + **Saba summarizes the discussion there were no comments at this meeting**
* **Decision: postponed**

### [LS; S4-250620; 15 April 1300 CEST] LS on Encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250620.
  + The document is an LS on encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information from CT4.
  + It was discussed that RTP and RTCP traffic should typically be mapped to the same QoS flow, and it is beneficial to optimize the encoding for such use cases.
* **Online Discussion:**
* **Decision: No decision. Reply in 667.**

### [LS; S4-250667; 16 April 1300 CEST] LS reply on Encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **None**.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Andrei: Can we add 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 to WI
  + Saba: Yes, we can.
* **Decision: Revised to 734, 734 agreed without presentation**

**[10.4; S4-250436; 14 April 1300 CEST] Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Bo Burman
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Bo Burman provided a minor editorial comment about an extra "that" in the first sentence of the first change based on Saba’s suggestion. Bo also mentioned that after the Tdoc was submitted, TS 26.114 Rel-19 became available and a Rel-19 mirror will be needed.
* **Online Discussion**:
* **Decision**: Revised to 636, and a Rel-19 mirror in 637, 636 and 637 agreed without presentation.

**[10.4; S4-250509; 14 April 1300 CEST] [TEI18, iRTCW] Correction of PDU Set Marking in the scope of a Dynamic Policy**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) mentioned a minor issue with the CR cover page where "TEI 18" should be "TEI18".
  + Razvan offered to fix the cover page prior to the online call and asked if a revision number should be booked via 3GU.
* **Online Discussion**:
* **Decision**: Revised to 626. 626 is agreed.

**[10.5; S4-250499; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Editorial updates to TS 26.567**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
* **Online Discussion**: -
* **Decision**: Agreed over Email.

**[10.5; S4-250518; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Delay adaptation in UE**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Gazi Illahi, Srinivas
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Gazi Illahi provided feedback, expressing concerns that the proposed changes might break adaptive split rendering and make it impossible to use adaptation based on rendering entity and LoD together.
  + Srinivas Gudumasu responded that the intention is to clarify object identifiers and not to propose procedural changes for split adaptation or seamless split adaptation.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Gazi: Same question as in email, the functions of MF is not clear.
  + Imed: why we’re adding microsoft extension?
  + Srinivas: we’re not adding it, but only adding flexible objects
  + Imed: not convinced
* **Decision**: revised to **728, and 728** is Noted.

**[10.5; S4-250519; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on MF Profiles**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Gazi Illahi, Srinivas
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Gazi Illahi provided a Nokia revision with content from a previous document and a reference to TS 29.510.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Srinivas presented r1 (simple profile changed into basic profile).
  + Imed: we should have a basic profile per offline discussion. I will bring contribution.
  + Gazi: we agreed to add references of TS 29.510. R1 addressed.
  + The revision of this tdoc will be attached to the LS, saying that it is work in progress
* **Decision**: revised to 641, 641 is agreed without presentation.

**[10.5; S4-250520; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Metadata formats**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
* **Online Discussion**:
* **Decision**: Agreed

**[10.5; S4-250521; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Adding metrics threshold values and target values in QoE reporting configuration**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Srinivas
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Saba Ahsan raised a procedural comment, questioning why the CR is targeting TS 26.114 and not TS 26.567.
  + Srinivas Gudumasu proposed to register the document as a pCR to 26.567 if changing 26.114 is procedurally difficult.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Shane: If target is TS 26.114, we shouldn’t use SR\_IMS WI code.
  + Saba: A pCR to 26.567 probably cannot be a revision of this document in 3GU.
  + A draft for 733 was reviewed.
  + Imed: I think it is OK to extend this. Why do you attach to the metric name? The name should just be a name, where you can have additional tokens.
  + Srinivas: Metrics-Name is now a metrics info.
  + Imed: I think we don’t need any changes if you use the ABNF as it is defined now.
  + Imed: I raised a comment at email discussion
  + Srinivas: will address in the revision.
* **Decision**: revised to noted (a new pCR to TS 26.567 made in 733), 733 is agreed without presentation

**[10.5; S4-250523; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR*MSE] Enhancements to SR*MSE**

* **Participants**: Richard Bradbury, Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Srinivas
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Richard Bradbury apologized for sending comments to the wrong TDoc.
  + Saba raised a concern TR 26.565 is not impacted by SR\_IMS and need to discuss once online.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Srinivas: This is an accompanying CR to a WI proposal, to see if it can be endorsed. We’re not asking for agreement at this meeting.
  + Imed: I don’t think we’re allowed to change or add features if we don’t have a WI. I would be against creating a WI just for this.
  + Srinivas: What is the existing methodology?
  + Imed: You select a good amount of objectives and create a WI based on those. There’s no rush adding an optional profile into TS 26.565.
* **Decision**: Noted.

**[10.5; S4-250537; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Draft LS on MF capabilities**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Shane He (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Shane He (Nokia) provided proposed edits to the draft LS.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Imed: Should we restrict such URN to be defined only by SA4?
  + Srinivas: Is it not just an example?
  + Edits online: change if into whether, remove extra , …
  + NOK version with edits → revised to 630 (Imed will upload a clean version), Saba will trigger the email agreement.
  + Attachment information needs to be fixed in 630 and draft LS removed.
* **Decision**: Revised to 630. 630 revised to XXX; XXX agreed without presentation

**[10.5; S4-250543; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Media Format Handling**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Srinivas, Gazi
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Srinivas asked if the possible values for a state change from application to application and questioned the difference between synchronizedStatesInit object and states object.
  + Gazi Illahi (Nokia) responded that the motivation was to harmonize the split adaptation and state maintenance procedure in SR\_IMS with SR\_MSE, and that the new configuration and meta-data formats are taken from 26.565
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Gazi clarified that there is no new content here.
  + Imed: Question on synchronizedStatesInit - how can we guarantee interop of the configuration (the format is the same?)
  + Gazi: it is modified in TS 26.567.
  + Imed: we should clarify this point in the table A.1.1-1.
  + Srinivas: how to distinguish the states and synchronizedstates?
  + Gazi: I can improve this part.
* **Decision**: revised to 666, and 666 is agreed without presentation .

**[10.5; S4-250544; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS]pCR Foveated optimizations for Split Rendering**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Srinivas, Gazi
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Srinivas asked for more information on what the gaze-based optimization profiles contain and if the profile and gaze information are linked.
  + Gazi Illahi (Nokia) responded that gaze optimization profiles contain information of importance maps used for foveated encoding and rendering , the actual maps are calculated based on gaze point, and that a profile may be changed during an SR session.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Imed: on the whole feature, we have guidelines for server in 26.565, here I’m not sure how the server does.
  + Gazi: it is important to have the flexibility to address this feature
* **Decision**:Noted .

**[10.5; S4-250455; 14 April 1300 CEST] [SR\_IMS] Draft TS 26.567 Split Rendering over IMS v1.0.1**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
* **Online Discussion**:
* **Decision**: Agreed

**[10.5; S4-250498; April 17 1200 CEST] [SR\_IMS]Work Plan**

* **Participants**: Shane He (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + .None
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Telco dates need to be fixed.
* **Decision**: revised to 705 and 705 is Agreed without presentation (goes to plenary).

**[10.7; S4-250483; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Timeplan for AvCall-MED WI**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Eric Yip, Ahmed Hamza, Thomas Stockhammer, Shane
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Eric Yip suggested that the work item should be extended until the end of Rel-19, as just one e-meeting and one face-to-face meeting for the work item seemed very rushed.
  + Ahmed Hamza agreed with Eric, stating that the timeline seemed very tight and proposed extending it to the November meeting.
  + Thomas Stockhammer responded that the work item had already been agreed upon with the timeline and that extending beyond September 2025 would make it a Rel-20 work item, which was not the intention. He suggested that other measures, such as having an AHG meeting, could be considered to progress the work.
  + Shane shared the same views as Eric and Ahmed, suggesting that extending the timeline until the end of Rel-19 would be more realistic.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Gazi: Nit: The document says SR\_MSE instead of AvCall-MED.  
    I checked SA3’s meeting schedule and I don’t think we will make it by sending an LS.
  + Imed: We will have to work with what we have.
  + Eric: When you say that there may be an extension, do you think we can conclude that at this meeting or will that be at the next meeting?
  + Imed: I don’t think we should ask for an extension now.
  + Imed presents 664
  + Gazi: concern that this is unrealistic timeplan, we need an extension and revision of this timeplan.
  + Imed: Yes, we all understand we need an extension. Will ask next meeting for extension.
* **Decision**: revised to 664, 664 agreed.

**[10.7; S4-250484; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Draft Main CR**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Eric Yip
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Eric Yip proposed removing the clause on QoE metrics, as no work or evaluations were done on this in the study.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Eric: Propose to remove 9.2 because we won’t have any time to do anything on this in SA4.
  + Imed: If it is not in the objectives, I have no problem removing it.
  + Gazi: On the annex Base Avatar Management Interface, is it intended to be the UE to BAR? I think we agreed to have an informative Annex?
  + Imed: Yes, I’ll add that it is informative.
* **Decision**: Revised to 631, which is endorsed for further work without presentation.

**[10.7; S4-250538; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Draft LS to SA3 on Base Avatar Management**

* **Participants**: Elmira Ramazanirend, Eric Yip, Ahmed, Gazi Illahi
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Eric Yip and Ahmed emphasized the need to provide a high-level description of the scenario before introducing technical aspects. They also discussed the importance of collaboration with SA3 on security parameters during Avatar IMS calls.
  + Gazi Illahi raised concerns about the cooperation work with SA3 fitting into the timeplan of AVCall-MED and provided additional comments for clarification.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Imed presents r4\_Vod
  + Elmira: concerned on how this works with any app. this app should be authorized
  + Ahmed: I understand Elmira's concern regarding the authentication of the app access for avatar creation
  + Elmira: we can ask SA3’s opinion on it
  + Gazi: If we want to auth an avatar, first we need consider how avatar is created
  + Imed: what auth an avatar means?
  + Gazi: map human with digital asset
  + Saba: no time for in depth discussion
  + Imed: we need to send this LS out
  + Saba: you need to then agree it by plenary or at plenary.
* **Decision**: revised to 715, revision expected to be presented to plenary(no agreement in RTC).

**[10.7; S4-250539; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Avatar Call Flow**

* **Participants**: Su Huanyu, Eric Yip
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Su Huanyu and Eric Yip provided comments on the text from the TR, including clarifications on the delivery of the base avatar and the need to define terms like "UE1 centric rendering" and "UE2 centric rendering".
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Offline to be organized for joint discussion on call flows
* **Decision**:noted

**[10.7; S4-250540; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Avatar Media Formats**

* **Participants**: Eric Yip, Ahmed, Bo Burman, Su Huanyu, Gazi Illahi
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Eric Yip and Ahmed provided comments on the need for a clear definition of "asset," the use of ZIP containers, and the appropriateness of requirement statements at this stage.
  + Bo Burman asked for clarification on the prohibition of animation sample compression.
  + Su Huanyu suggested separating clauses 6.1 and 6.3.2 and noted that clauses 3 and 4 were incomplete.
  + Gazi Illahi raised additional questions and concerns about defining functionality based on ARF, the mesh requirement on a 2D avatar, and the timeline for ARF finalization.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Imed updated this to 694. Imed summarizes 694 changes.
  + Gazi: my email comments are not addressed and revision may have not been shared before (must have I missed it?)
  + Imed: Did share
  + Gazi: Reviewed ARF related LS from MPEG. Timeline is questionable. Trying to build features on top of ARF features that we do not know if they will be there.
  + Imed: We have in the past referenced CD stage MPEG docs. Based on the study ARF is only standard format
  + Ahmed: Do not see brackets around avatar animation part
  + Eric: Commented to split 2D and 3D profiles, seems not to be implemented
  + Imed: Yes, must have missed.
  + Saba: no consensus, noted?
  + Imed: prefer endorsement
  + Ahmed: not at this point
* **Decision**:
  + 540 is revised to 694, 694 is noted

**[10.7; S4-250594; 14 April 1300 CEST] [AvCall-MED] Call setup and capability negotiation call flows**

* **Participants**: Shane, Imed Bouazizi, Eric Yip, Su Huanyu, Ahmed
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Shane contributed to the discussion on call setup and capability negotiation call flows, reflecting what SA2 described in Annex AC of the IMS spec.
  + Imed Bouazizi suggested organizing an offline meeting to merge three contributions on call flows while following the TR content.
  + Eric Yip and Su Huanyu provided comments on the contribution, including clarifications on the BAR's verification process and the need to include the Avatar ID in the capability negotiation request.
  + Ahmed provided additional comments and suggestions for integrating the options in the call flows.
  + Shane uploaded a revised version (r1) of the document and responded to the comments.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Offline to be organized for joint discussion on call flows
* **Decision**: revised to 665, and 665 is noted

**[10.9; S4-250514; 14 April 1300 CEST] [TEI19, iRTCW] Addition of Number of PDUs in the PDU Set to Dynamic Policy API**

* **Participants**: Saba Ahsan (Nokia), Andrei
* **Summary of Email Discussion**:
  + Saba Ahsan (Nokia) initiated the email agreement process for the document.
  + Saba questioned if the categorization for this CR is correct or if it should be Cat B for 5G\_*RTP\_*Ph2.
  + Razvan Andrei Stoica responded that both categories are viable and provided context about the CT4 dependency and correction.  
      
    Razvan Andrei Stoica mentioned the need to revise the cover page to reflect the correct Tdoc number "513" and asked for permission to reserve a new 3GU Tdoc number for the revision.
* **Online Discussion**:
  + Serhan: Why is it rel-19?
  + Andrei: CT4 added this only as a backwards-compatible change in Rel-19, when Rel-18 was frozen
  + Imed: is it something UPF can detect?
  + Andrei: it reflects .., there’s no HE bit explicitly indicating the presence of individual fields.
  + Liangping: can UPF detect it by the size?
  + Andrei: it is doable, but will not be able to identify which one it is if there’s other 16-bit field extensions in the future. I just try to resolve the NOTE here.
  + Serhan: I think it is the correct design.
  + Srinivas: also support.
  + Saba: category B or F?
  + Andrei: I will anyway update the cover page. (keep it as F).
* **Decision**:revised to 635, 635 is agreed without presentation

The following documents were handled on the session on 15th of April:

### [10.6; 438, 439, 444; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] N6-unmarked PDUs

* **Participants:** Serhan Guel (Nokia), Bo Burman, Srinivas G
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 438, 439, and 444.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** provided revisions and discussed the need for SA2 confirmation on unmarked PDUs.
  + **Bo Burman** and **Srinivas G** provided feedback on the revisions.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** addressed Bo Burman's concerns about referencing "clause x.x.x.x" in the CR and clarified that the CR should wait until the corresponding CR to TS 29.571 is agreed.
  + **Bo Burman** raised a concern about the assumption that RTP and RTCP are always multiplexed, which **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** addressed by conditioning the statement on the presence of "rtcp-mux" or "rtcp-mux-only".
  + **Bo Burman** questioned why the new attribute is only session-level and not permitted on media level. **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** revised the requirement to allow the attribute at media level conditioned on the presence of RTP HE for PDU Set marking in the same media line.
  + **Bo Burman** also questioned the use of "RTCP" and "SRTP" instead of "SRTCP" and "RTP". **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** agreed and made the suggested change.
* **Online Discussion:**
* Saba summarizes
* For agreement version 3
* Rufael: ask a bit more time to review the latest version and think it through
* Decision:
  + 438 revised to 683; 683 is endorsed.
  + *439* revised to *684,*
  + *444*  revised to *685*

### [10.6; 684] [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs

* **Presenter:** Serhan Guel (Nokia)
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Serhan summarizes changes (r2 presented)
  + Rufael: Sectioning to annex, or add to PDU Set marking. Can we be more specific with the top section title?
  + Andrei: What about having a General clause as 6.1 and then 6.2?
  + Imed: Clarifying when this is used - together with PDU Set marking.
  + Serhan: Responds that clarification is already available.
  + Saba: Asking whether editorial can be resolved online or can be resolved offline. Left open on the email for editorial comments only.
  + Rufael: Document was endorsed, can’t we keep status
  + Andrei: Why don’t we consider Rufael’s proposal for “Additional” prepending Clause 6.
  + Saba: Added. Any other outstanding comments from QC? Is your concern addressed?
  + Imed: Yes to some extent, but I think it should have been a parameter to the PDU Set marking attributes.
* Decision:
  + Revised to 708 (Serhan), 708 agreed without presentation.

### [10.6; 685] [5G*RTP*Ph2] LS to SA2 on N6-unmarked PDUs CR to 26.113

* **Presenter: Serhan Guel (Nokia)**
* **Online Discussion:**
  + No email comments.
  + Ryan: Need to remove DRAFT from title
  + Serhan: Will do in revision
* Decision:
  + **Revised to 712 (Serhan), 712 agreed without presentation**

### [10.6; 611 440, 441, 584; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] Dynamically changing traffic characteristics

* **Participants:** Rufael Mekuria, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Srinivas G
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 440, 441, and 584.
  + **Liangping Ma** suggested it is too early to send LS 584 and provided a link to document 440.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** proposed changes to the signaling for dynamically changing traffic characteristics.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** provided feedback, noting that the original text in TS 26.510 clause 5.3.3.2 is not a duplicate and that dynamically changing traffic characteristics currently only apply to downlink.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** suggested having separate flags for TTNB, burst size, and expedited transfer indication.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** also suggested merging Tdoc 441 with Tdoc 512 and noted that RTP should not be allowed as a valid value for transportProto because SRTP is mandated in WebRTC, and not sending LS 584 as CT4 is already considering the proposed extensions.
  + **Srinivas G** proposed combining the LSes on dynamic traffic characteristics and un-marked-pdus-info to be sent together with the inclusion of RTP SDES MID HE details to CT4.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** uploaded revisions of documents 440 and 441 addressing comments from Nokia, Lenovo, and Interdigital.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Rufael the is disagreement on the control plane signaling not sure how to more forwards
  + Andrei: document 512 511 are also linked, suggest treating them together in an offline
  + Rufael: maybe we need to first see how to progress with exp. transfer
  + liangping: agrees on that
  + Saba: do we need offline at this meeting or later
  + Liangping: use plane solution for ETI, we need to include both control plane and user plane
  + Andrei: suggest to only discuss the CR’s 511 512 and 513
  + Saba we can note : the discussion papers offline for the CR’s
  + Lianping: also wants 510 & 611 to be discussed
  + Serhan: prefers tomorrow
  + Saba: 14-15 CEST offline 16th april
  + Saba will sent the invitation
  + Rufael: for 584 CT4 already did some of the changes in CT4
  + Srinivas: for 584 we may still want to send an LS
  + Linagping: thinks it is early as there is no TTNB definition
  + Saba: this is not related to TTNB but to the header extension
  + Serhan: don’t understand the concern from Qualcomm
  + Rufael: maybe we can discuss the TTNB
  + 584 is parked in case an LS is needed; 440 and 441 will be discussed in offline.
  + Saba presents offline discussions outcomes captured in a powerpoint summary covering Tdocs 440, 441, 584, 510, 511, 512, 611.
  + Liangping: Green part, second sub bullet, for RTCP this indication will be false.
  + Saba: Added clarification in Tdoc. Should make a format Tdoc with everyone as co-signers?
  + Liangping: Green highlighted text requires formal check with SA2.
  + Andrei: Fine for formal check but good to be aware of timing and ensure LS timing is okay with the work plan.
  + Srinivas: Checked internally and it is up to the RTP header extension, so no need to check.
  + Serhan: Fine to check, but should not stop everything from progressing
  + Liangping: We find this as essential and would not endorse this Tdoc.
  + Saba: Will make this a formal Tdoc XXX2 (Saba) and note all 440, 441, 510, 511, 512, 513, 611. What about 584?
  + Rufael: Seems to not be needed, can be noted.
* **Decision:**
  + **440 noted**
  + **441 noted**
  + **510 noted**
  + **511 noted**
  + **512 noted**
  + **513 noted**
  + **584 noted**
  + **740 (Offline minutes) noted**

### [10.6; S4-250475; 15 April 1300 CEST] SDP Signaling to support PDU Set Marking with FEC awareness

* **Participants:** Rufael Mekuria, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Liangping
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250475.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** proposed signaling for AL-FEC awareness in SDP.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** discussed the necessity of additional signaling and suggested that the current setup might already be sufficient.
  + **Liangping** added that AL-FEC awareness could apply to other RATs such as Wi-Fi and suggested that the redundancy ratio should be indicated.
* **Online Discussion:**

**Rufael:** Doing RTP header extension was not the intent, believe PSIHI should be done via SDP, very different views from qualcomm on one side to do HE and Nokia and Lenovo. OK to note this, I believe in email I clearly explained the rationale behind the solution. If insights change we can consider re-submitting.

* **Decision: noted**

### [10.6; 510, 611; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] Expedited Transfer - Principle

* **Participants:** Razvan Andrei Stoica, Rufael Mekuria, Serhan Guel (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 510 and 611.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** and **Rufael Mekuria** discussed the expedited transfer principle and provided feedback on the proposed changes.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** added comments and clarifications.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Will be discussed in offline call
* **Decision: 510 noted. 611 noted**

### [10.6; 511, 512, 513; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] Expedited Transfer - Solution

* **Participants:** Liangping, Razvan Andrei Stoica, Serhan Guel (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 511, 512, and 513.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** and **Liangping** discussed the expedited transfer solution and provided feedback on the proposed changes.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** added editorial clarifications and more detailed replies to Liangping's comments.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** noted that SA2 spec is not restrictive as marking all PDUs with ETI and explained that it is necessary for an AS to mark all the PDUs to be expedited.
  + **Liangping** provided comments on Tdocs 511 and 512, which **Razvan Andrei Stoica** addressed with more detailed answers and clarifications.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Will be discussed in offline call
* **Decision: 511 noted, 512 noted, 513 noted.**

### [10.6; 508, 515; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] RTP HE for MID

* **Participants:** Liangping, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Rufael Mekuria
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 508 and 515.
  + **Liangping** provided comments on the documents.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** and **Rufael Mekuria** discussed the handling of RTP HE for MID and provided feedback on the proposed changes.
* **Online Discussion:** 
  + Bo: long and short are different on the wire, the signaling may introduce conflicts, long and short should not co-exist
    - both header extensions may exist
    - short and long indication is in the SDP
  + 515 (srinivas can remove the SDP indication)
  + some offline checking for agreement
  + Liangping on 515 not much value in copying IETF specs in 3GPP but examples will add some value
  + 515 was parked for further offline discussion.
  + **515r4 presented by Srinivas**
    - Serhan: The RTP receiver is the 5GS which can be removed and only RTP receiver kept.
    - Srinivas: Ok.
    - Liangping: Did not have chance to check r4. R3 did not resolve some of my email comments. Are there any sentences from the RFC 9143 in here?
    - Srinivas: No other text from RFC 9143.
    - Liangping: Okay, this addresses my comments
    - Bo: In C.2.1 RFC 8843 should be RFC 9143.
    - Srinivas: Okay, will update it.
* **Decision:**
  + **508** 
    - **merged to 724**
  + **515** 
    - **revised to 724 (Srinivas), 724 agreed without presentation.**

### [10.6; 516, 517; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] Control-plane MID

* **Participants:** Srinivas G, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 516 and 517.
  + **Srinivas G** revised the contributions to clarify the handling of multiplexed streams and PDU Set marking.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** and **Razvan Andrei Stoica** provided feedback on the proposed changes.
* **Online Discussion:**

**-** 516 Srinivas summarizes the discussion

- 516 RTP payload type list multiplexed traffic identification

- 516 Needs the RTP payload type list

- 516 No additional comments,

- 516 Srinivas needs more time to upload

- 517 separated it out from the PDU Set feature, updates compared to other sections, policy updates

- 517 applicable to uplink and downlink and service access information was added

- why is the document in landscape mode

- Srinivas presents 516 and 517 revisions

* **Decision:** 
  + **516 is revised to 725 (Srinivas) and 725 is agreed without presentation**
  + **517 is revised to 726 (Srinivas) and 726 is agreed without presentation**

### [10.6; S4-250549; 15 April 1300 CEST] Guideline for PDU Handling marked and unmarked PDU

* **Participants:** Rufael Mekuria, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250549.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** and **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** discussed the calculation of PDU Set size and provided revisions to the guideline.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** provided suggested edits and merged them with Nokia's edits into a new version for further review.
  + calculating the PDU SEt size is under discussion
  + Rufael: can remove we believe up to UPF implementation
  + Andrei: thinks it is up to UPF
  + Rufael: presents revision in v1
  + Serhan: on the table the PDU Set Size calculation is still included and also in the text, so can we remove
  + Andrei: Fine from my side - up to UPF implementation
  + Liangping: Under what scenario will the unmarked PDU will be grouped in a single PDU Set
  + Rufael: For single PDUs
  + Liangping: Then we do not need the calculate PDU Set Size part at the UPF in the table and text.
  + Rufael: Yes, removing.
* **Online Discussion:**
* **Decision:** 
  + **549 is revised to 716 (Rufael), 716 agreed without presentation.**

### [10.6; S4-250556; 15 April 1300 CEST] Definition of time to next burst

* **Participants:** Liangping, Rufael Mekuria, Saba Ahsan (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250556.
  + **Liangping** provided comments and shared QCOM's feedback on the document.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** mentioned that the comment is a need to wait for a reply from RAN-2 before proceeding but we have not yet agreed on such LS to send out.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Rufael: see the definition separate from the accuracy
  + Liangping disagrees
  + Saba: needs an offline
* **Decision: Noted**

### [10.6; 573, 574; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] RTP Retransmissions

* **Participants:** Serhan Guel (Nokia), Srinivas G, Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs 573 and 574.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** and **Srinivas G** discussed the handling of RTP retransmissions and the marking of PDUs.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** noted that the current approach might lead to inefficiencies and suggested improvements.
  + **Srinivas G** provided feedback on the proposed changes and suggested additional revisions.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** added comments on the handling of RTP retransmissions and the marking of PDUs.
  + **Serhan Guel** responded to Srinivas Gudumasu's comments
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Serhan summarizes revision of the DP in 686
  + Serhan summarizes revision of the LS in 687
  + Srinivas: some extra time by email agreement.
* **Decision:** 
  + **573 is revised to 686, 686 is agreed**
  + **574 is revised to 687, 687 is revised to 739, 739 is sent to plenary.**

### [10.6; 609, 610, 589; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] PSSize, data burst size

* **Participants:** Liangping, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Rufael Mekuria, Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdocs S4-250589, S4-250609, and S4-250610.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** provided feedback and comments on the documents.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** noted conflicting statements in Tdocs 589 and 609 and provided specific comments on all three documents.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** shared edits and suggested merging Tdocs 589 and 609, and referring to 26.822 for details in Tdoc 610.
  + **Liangping** provided comments on Tdoc 589.
  + **Liangping** revised Tdocs 609 and 610 based on feedback.
  + Unresolved issues include conflicting statements in Tdocs 589 and 609, and the need for more details in Tdoc 609.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** attempted to address all comments in the revised Tdoc 589
  + Laingping: accuracy can be up to 5 percent overprovisioning
  + Andrei: provided comments not sure we need the percentage mentioned when asking for a guidance
* **Online Discussion:**
  + Rufael: I think 10% inaccuracy is too much
  + Liangping: but this is when we do overprovisioning
  + Rufael: we do not refer to overprovisioning from sender perspective, that is a UPF potential solution
  + Liangping: yes, but this is still visible to RAN
  + Rufael: we find even 5% too much
  + Andrei: which LS should we track further as they continued in parallel
  + Saba: merge 589 into 609 and keep 609 open for email agreement?
  + Liangping: we can try to agree on 609 revision over email, otherwise we can note
* **Decision:**
  + **589 merged into revision of 609**

### [10.6; S4-250606; 15 April 1300 CEST] Draft LS on Indicating Time to the Next Data Burst (TTNB)

* **Participants:** Liangping, Rufael Mekuria, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Razvan Andrei Stoica
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250606.
  + **Liangping** provided comments and shared QCOM's feedback on the document.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** and **Razvan Andrei Stoica** provided additional comments and edits.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** agreed with the comments and provided minor edits.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + **671 is sent for email agreement.**
* **Decision: Revised to 671; 671 is revised to 737, which is not treated.**

### [10.6; S4-250607; 15 April 1300 CEST] [5G*RTP*Ph2] On updating the data burst size indication

* **Participants:** Liangping, Razvan Andrei Stoica, Rufael Mekuria
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250607.
  + **Liangping** revised the paper and responded to comments from **Razvan Andrei Stoica** and **Rufael Mekuria**.
  + **Razvan Andrei Stoica** provided a more nuanced comment directly in the text with a reference to libwebrtc implementation.
  + **Rufael Mekuria** mentioned that only the case when data burst size is deterministically known and not changing is considered.
* **Online Discussion:**
* **Decision: Revised to 672. 672 agreed over email.**

### 

### 

### 

### [10.8; S4-250437; 15 April 1300 CEST] [FS*iRTCW*Ph2] Updates on Solution#1

* **Participants:** Yoshihiro, Serhan Guel (Nokia), Saba Ahsan (Nokia)
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250437.
  + **Serhan Guel (Nokia)** suggested edits to clarify that non-3GPP codecs are not supported, rather than using the formulation "outside the scope of the present document".
  + **Yoshihiro** responded, agreeing with the original description to avoid implying that RTC architecture restricts non-3GPP codecs.
* **Online Discussion:** 
  + Parked, discussion continued at washup.
  + Yoshihiro: Waiting for confirmation by Nokia
  + Serhan: No further comments, we are not insisting on the proposed changes.
  + Saba: This proposal was discussed before, please highlight in the plenary.
  + Yoshihiro: OK
* **Decision:agreed**

### [10.8; S4-250506; 15 April 1300 CEST] [FS*iRTCW*Ph2] Sol#2 RTC Signalling and Metadata

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250506.
  + The document discusses RTC signaling and metadata solutions.
* **Online Discussion:**
* **Decision:agreed**

### [10.8; S4-250608; 15 April 1300 CEST] [FS\_iRTCW] On tethering link delay

* **Participants:** Saba Ahsan (Nokia), RTC SWG Delegates
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **Saba Ahsan (Nokia)** initiated the email agreement process for Tdoc S4-250608.
  + The document discusses the impact of tethering link delay on RTC.
* **Online Discussion:**
  + **Liangping** requested to keep it parked to address some offline comments from Yoshihiro.
* **Decision:Agreed**

### [10.8; S4-250507] [FS*iRTCW*Ph2] Timeplan

* **Participants:** Yoshihiro,
* **Summary of Email Discussion:**
  + **None**.
* **Online Discussion:** 
  + **None**
* **Decision:agreed**

### 10.10 Any Other Business

### 10.11 Close of the session

The last online session of the meeting was closed at 19:50h CEST on 16th April. The email agreement process ended and meeting concluded on 17th April 13:00h.
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| [**S4-250626**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250626.zip) | [TEI18, iRTCW] Correction of PDU Set Marking in the scope of a Dynamic Policy | Lenovo | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters |
| [**S4-250635**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250635.zip) | [TEI19, iRTCW] Addition of Number of PDUs in the PDU Set to Dynamic Policy API | Lenovo | 10.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI |
| [**S4-250636**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250636.zip) | Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters | Ericsson Japan K.K. | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters |
| [**S4-250637**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250637.zip) | Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters | Ericsson Japan K.K. | 10.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI |
| [**S4-250664**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250664.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Timeplan for AvCall-MED WI 0.1.1 | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) |
| S4-250708 | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| S4-250712 | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] LS on N6-Unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| S4-250716 | Guideline for PDU Handling marked and unmarked PDU | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250724**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250724.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] SDES RTP Header Extension for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250725**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250725.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to Dynamic Policy API for SDES RTP HE for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250726**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250726.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] Enhancements to dynamic policy resource for SDES RTP HE | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250734**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250734.zip) | Reply LS on Encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information | Nokia | 10.3 | Reports/Liaisons from other groups/meetings |

### A2.3 Not agreed not presented

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Title** | **Source** | **Agenda item** | **Agenda item description** | **TDoc Status** |
| [**S4-250440**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250440.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2]Extension to 26.510 for handling dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, Hisilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250441**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250441.zip) | Extensions to 26.113 for supporting dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250463**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250463.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar call use case and call flows | Vodafone GmbH | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250475**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250475.zip) | SDP Signaling to support PDU Set Marking with FEC awareness | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250508**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250508.zip) | RTP multiplexing options for transport of XR media streams | BEIJING SAMSUNG TELECOM R&D | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **merged** |
| [**S4-250510**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250510.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Data boosting support | Lenovo, Meta USA | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250511**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250511.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Expedited Transfer Indication addition to RTP HE for dynamic traffic characteristics | Lenovo, Meta USA | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250512**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250512.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enabling RTC support of dynamic traffic characteristics | Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250513**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250513.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enabling Dynamic Policy API with dynamic traffic characteristics markings | Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250521**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250521.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Adding metrics threshold values and target values in QoE reporting configuration | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250523**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250523.zip) | [SR\_MSE] Enhancements to SR\_MSE | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250539**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250539.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar Call Flow | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250544**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250544.zip) | [SR\_IMS]pCR Foveated optimizations for Split Rendering | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250584**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250584.zip) | LS to CT4 about dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250589**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250589.zip) | LS on Accuracy of PDU Set Signaling | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **merged** |
| [**S4-250610**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250610.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On the inaccuracy of PDU Set Size and data burst size | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250611**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250611.zip) | On RTP header extension for Expedited Transfer Indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250631**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250631.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Draft Main CR | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **endorsed** |
| [**S4-250665**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250665.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Call setup and capability negotiation call flows | Nokia | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250683**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250683.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to RTC Dynamic Policy API for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **endorsed** |
| [**S4-250694**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250694.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar Media Formats | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250728**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250728.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Delay adaptation in UE | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250556**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250556.zip) | Definition of time to next burst | Huawei, Hisililcon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| **S4-250740** | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Offline on ETI | Nokia, Lenovo, Interdigital, Huawei | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |

### A2.4 Not agreed to be presented

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Title** | **Source** | **Agenda item** | **Agenda item description** |
| [**S4-250670**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250670.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2] Draft TR 26.830 v0.4.0 | NTT | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250701**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250701.zip) | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 WI Time plan v. 0.0.5 | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| S4-250715 | [AvCall-MED] Draft LS to SA3 on Base Avatar Management | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) |
| S4-250736 | LS on the accuracy of PDU Set size and data burst size indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd, Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| S4-250737 | LS on Indicating Time to the Next Data Burst (TTNB) | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |
| [**S4-250674**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250674.zip) | [SR\_IMS]Draft TS 26.567 Split Rendering over IMS v1.1.0 | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) |
| [**S4-250705**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250705.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Proposed Updated Work Plan | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) |
| **S4-250739** | Draft LS to SA2 and RAN2 on RTP retransmission | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) |

### A2.5 Full Tdoc List and Status

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **TDoc** | **Title** | **Source** | **Agenda item** | **Agenda item description** | **TDoc Status** |
| [**S4-250436**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250436.zip) | Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters | Ericsson Japan K.K. | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters | **revised** |
| [**S4-250437**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250437.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2] Updates on Solution#1 | NTT | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250438**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250438.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to RTC Dynamic Policy API for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250439**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250439.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250440**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250440.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2]Extension to 26.510 for handling dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, Hisilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250441**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250441.zip) | Extensions to 26.113 for supporting dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250444**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250444.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Draft LS on N6-Unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250455**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250455.zip) | [SR\_IMS]Draft TS 26.567 Split Rendering over IMS v1.0.1 | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250463**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250463.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar call use case and call flows | Vodafone GmbH | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250475**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250475.zip) | SDP Signaling to support PDU Set Marking with FEC awareness | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250483**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250483.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Timeplan for AvCall-MED WI | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250484**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250484.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Draft Main CR | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250498**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250498.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Proposed Updated Work Plan | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250499**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250499.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Editorial updates to TS 26.567 | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250506**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250506.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2] Sol#2 RTC Signalling and Metadata | BEIJING SAMSUNG TELECOM R&D | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250507**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250507.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2] Updated time plan | BEIJING SAMSUNG TELECOM R&D | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250508**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250508.zip) | RTP multiplexing options for transport of XR media streams | BEIJING SAMSUNG TELECOM R&D | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **merged** |
| [**S4-250509**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250509.zip) | [TEI18, iRTCW] Correction of PDU Set Marking in the scope of a Dynamic Policy | Lenovo | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters | **revised** |
| [**S4-250510**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250510.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Data boosting support | Lenovo, Meta USA | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250511**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250511.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Expedited Transfer Indication addition to RTP HE for dynamic traffic characteristics | Lenovo, Meta USA | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250512**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250512.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enabling RTC support of dynamic traffic characteristics | Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250513**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250513.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enabling Dynamic Policy API with dynamic traffic characteristics markings | Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250514**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250514.zip) | [TEI19, iRTCW] Addition of Number of PDUs in the PDU Set to Dynamic Policy API | Lenovo | 10.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI | **revised** |
| [**S4-250515**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250515.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] SDES RTP Header Extension for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250516**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250516.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to Dynamic Policy API for SDES RTP HE for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250517**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250517.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] Enhancements to dynamic policy resource for SDES RTP HE | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250518**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250518.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Delay adaptation in UE | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250519**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250519.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on MF Profiles | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250520**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250520.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Metadata formats | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250521**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250521.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Adding metrics threshold values and target values in QoE reporting configuration | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250523**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250523.zip) | [SR\_MSE] Enhancements to SR\_MSE | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250537**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250537.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Draft LS on MF capabilities | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250538**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250538.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Draft LS to SA3 on Base Avatar Management | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250539**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250539.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar Call Flow | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250540**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250540.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar Media Formats | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250543**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250543.zip) | [SR\_IMS]pCR Split Adaptation Configuration Format | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250544**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250544.zip) | [SR\_IMS]pCR Foveated optimizations for Split Rendering | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250549**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250549.zip) | Guideline for PDU Handling marked and unmarked PDU | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250573**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250573.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On RTP retransmission signaling | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250574**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250574.zip) | Draft LS to SA2 and RAN2 on RTP retransmission | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250584**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250584.zip) | LS to CT4 about dynamically changing traffic characteristics | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250589**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250589.zip) | LS on Accuracy of PDU Set Signaling | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **merged** |
| [**S4-250594**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250594.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Call setup and capability negotiation call flows | Nokia | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250606**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250606.zip) | Draft LS on Indicating Time to the Next Data Burst (TTNB) | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250607**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250607.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On updating the data burst size indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250608**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250608.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW] On tethering link delay | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250609**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250609.zip) | LS on the accuracy of PDU Set size and data burst size indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250610**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250610.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On the inaccuracy of PDU Set Size and data burst size | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250611**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250611.zip) | On RTP header extension for Expedited Transfer Indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250626**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250626.zip) | [TEI18, iRTCW] Correction of PDU Set Marking in the scope of a Dynamic Policy | Lenovo | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250630**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250630.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Draft LS on MF capabilities | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250631**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250631.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Draft Main CR | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **endorsed** |
| [**S4-250635**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250635.zip) | [TEI19, iRTCW] Addition of Number of PDUs in the PDU Set to Dynamic Policy API | Lenovo | 10.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250636**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250636.zip) | Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters | Ericsson Japan K.K. | 10.4 | Release 18 and earlier matters | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250637**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250637.zip) | Clarification of a=3gpp-req-app parameters | Ericsson Japan K.K. | 10.9 | Other Rel-19 matters including TEI | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250641**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250641.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on MF Profiles | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250664**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250664.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Timeplan for AvCall-MED WI 0.1.1 | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250665**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250665.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Call setup and capability negotiation call flows | Nokia | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250666**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250666.zip) | [SR\_IMS]pCR Split Adaptation Configuration Format | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250667**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250667.zip) | Reply LS on Encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information | Nokia | 10.3 | Reports/Liaisons from other groups/meetings | **revised** |
| [**S4-250670**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250670.zip) | [FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2] Draft TR 26.830 v0.4.0 | NTT | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | **not treated** |
| [**S4-250671**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250671.zip) | Draft LS on Indicating Time to the Next Data Burst (TTNB) | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250672**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250672.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On updating the data burst size indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| S4-250673 | [FS\_iRTCW] On tethering link delay | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.8 | FS\_iRTCW\_Ph2 (Study on immersive Real-Time Communication for WebRTC, Phase 2) | withdrawn |
| [**S4-250683**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250683.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to RTC Dynamic Policy API for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **endorsed** |
| [**S4-250684**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250684.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250685**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250685.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Draft LS on N6-Unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250686**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250686.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] On RTP retransmission signaling | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250687**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250687.zip) | Draft LS to SA2 and RAN2 on RTP retransmission | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **revised** |
| [**S4-250694**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250694.zip) | [AvCall-MED] Avatar Media Formats | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250701**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250701.zip) | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 WI Time plan v. 0.0.5 | Nokia | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **not treated** |
| S4-250708 | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | agreed |
| S4-250712 | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] LS on N6-Unmarked PDUs | Nokia, Lenovo | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | agreed |
| S4-250715 | [AvCall-MED] Draft LS to SA3 on Base Avatar Management | QUALCOMM JAPAN LLC. | 10.7 | AvCall-MED (Avatar Communications in AR Calls) | not treated |
| S4-250716 | Guideline for PDU Handling marked and unmarked PDU | Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | agreed |
| [**S4-250724**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250724.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] SDES RTP Header Extension for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250725**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250725.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Enhancements to Dynamic Policy API for SDES RTP HE for MID | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250726**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250726.zip) | [5G\_RTP\_PH2] Enhancements to dynamic policy resource for SDES RTP HE | InterDigital Communications | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250728**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250728.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Pseudo-CR on Delay adaptation in UE | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250733**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250733.zip) | Pseudo-CR on QoE metric reporting configuration | InterDigital Communications | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **agreed** |
| [**S4-250734**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250734.zip) | Reply LS on Encoding of (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information | Nokia | 10.3 | Reports/Liaisons from other groups/meetings | **agreed** |
| S4-250736 | LS on the accuracy of PDU Set size and data burst size indication | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd, Huawei, HiSilicon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | not treated |
| S4-250737 | LS on Indicating Time to the Next Data Burst (TTNB) | Qualcomm India Pvt Ltd | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | not treated |
| [**S4-250556**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250556.zip) | Definition of time to next burst | Huawei, Hisililcon | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |
| [**S4-250674**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250674.zip) | [SR\_IMS]Draft TS 26.567 Split Rendering over IMS v1.1.0 | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **not treated** |
| [**S4-250705**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/WG4_CODEC/TSGS4_131-bis-e/Docs/S4-250705.zip) | [SR\_IMS] Proposed Updated Work Plan | Nokia | 10.5 | SR\_IMS (Split rendering over IMS) | **not treated** |
| **S4-250740** | [5G\_RTP\_Ph2] Offline on ETI | Nokia, Lenovo, Interdigital, Huawei | 10.6 | 5G\_RTP\_Ph2 (5G Real-time Transport Protocol Configurations, Phase 2) | **noted** |

## Annex 3: List of participants

Participant lists collected via 3GU attendance.

1. Saba AHSAN, Nokia Corporation; saba.ahsan@nokia.com [↑](#footnote-ref-1)