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6 SDP signaling

6.1 SDP signaling for N6-unmarked PDUs

An optional SDP attribute called "unmarked-pdu-info" is defined to describe mappings between protocols of PDUs that are not or cannot be marked using the RTP HE for PDU Set marking defined in clause 4.2 (i.e. N6-unmarked PDUs) and sender-defined PDU Set Importance (PSI) values associated to such protocols.

The “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute shall conform to the following ABNF syntax (RFC 5234):

unmarked-pdu-info = "a=unmarked-pdu-info" 1\*(SP "[" protocol-tag "=" protocol-val SP psi-tag "=" psi-val "]")

protocol-tag = "unmarked-proto"

protocol-val = "RTCP" / "STUN" / "RTP"/ token

psi-tag = "psi"

zerotofive = "0" /"1" / "2" / "3" / "4" / "5"

onetonine = "1" / "2" / "3" / "4" / "5"/ "6" /"7" / "8" / "9"

psi-val = onetonine / (“1” zerotofive) ; numeric values 1-15

; token as defined by IETF RFC 8866

The values have the following semantics:

- unmarked-proto: Name of the application-layer protocol used to encapsulate N6-unmarked PDUs. Secure variants of RTP and RTCP (SRTP and SRTCP) are also applicable. If the “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute is included at media level, this field shall not contain the value “STUN”.

- psi: PDU Set Importance value in the range 1 to 15 (inclusive).

An example usage is provided below:

a=unmarked-pdu-info [unmarked-proto=RTCP psi=5] [unmarked-proto=STUN psi=3]

If an RTP sender that uses the RTP HE for PDU Set marking intends to assign a PSI value to its outgoing N6-unmarked PDUs (e.g., STUN, RTCP packets or unmarked audio RTP packets) then it shall use the “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute.

RTP sender may include the “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute at media level in an SDP media description (“m=” line), if the extmap attribute with the URN for the RTP HE for PDU Set marking is also included in the SDP media description. Otherwise, the “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute shall not be present at media level.

If the “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute is present at session level, it only applies to SDP media descriptions that also include the extmap attribute with the URN for the RTP HE for PDU Set marking.

The “unmarked-pdu-info” attribute only applies to outgoing packets from an RTP sender that uses PDU Set marking. Therefore, an RTP endpoint should omit this attribute from the SDP answer (even if it was present in the SDP offer), unless the endpoint is an RTP sender that uses PDU Set marking.