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second change (All new)

## 4.6 RTP SDES Header Extension for MID

### 4.6.1 Description

When an RTP sender is transmitting PDU sets data belonging to different media streams in a multiplexed data flow, the 5GS network needs to identify the PDU sets belonging to the respective media streams, for enabling differentiated QoS handling.

When multiple RTP media streams are multiplexed in an RTP session, each media stream can be identified using the identification-tag (the values of "mid" attribute) in the SDP information. RFC7941 [22] has defined an RTP SDES header extension to understand the RTP senders source identity and synchronization context. RFC 9143 [23] has defined a new RTP SDES header extension for MID by extending the RTP SDES header extension to carry the 'MID' RTCP SDES item as described in clause 4.6.4, in RTP packets.

The RTP SDES header extension for MID make it possible for an RTP receiver or 5G System to associate each RTP stream with a specific "m=" section in the SDP with which a receiver has associated an identification-tag. The payload, containing the identification-tag, of the RTP SDES header extension element can be encoded using either the 1-byte or the 2-byte header according to RFC 7941 [22]. The identification-tag payload is UTF-8 encoded, as in SDP.

Endpoints that support multiplexed RTP streams may include the RTP SDES HE for MID for identifying the media streams. Endpoints that support the RTP SDES HE for MID shall support both RTP HE formats (i.e., the one-byte and the two-byte formats) according to RFC 7941 [22].

If the RTP SDES HE for MID is the only RTP HE used, the endpoints shall use the 1-byte header format. If other 2-byte RTP HE elements are used in the same RTP stream, then the 2-byte header shall be used, unless the "a=extmap-allow-mixed" is successfully negotiated through SDP offer/answer, as described by RFC 8285 [11].

### 4.6.2 One-byte RTP header extension format

The one-byte RTP SDES RTP HE is defined as follows:

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| ID | len | SDES item text value ... |

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6.2-1: One-byte Header extension format for SDES items

### 4.6.3 Two-byte RTP header extension format

The two-byte RTP SDES RTP HE is defined as follows:

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| ID | len | SDES item text value ... |

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6.2-2: Two-byte Header extension format for SDES items

### 4.6.4 MID RTCP SDES Item

The RTCP SDES item for MID is defined as follows:

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| MID=15 | length | identification-tag ...

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6.2-3: RTCP SDES item for MID

### 4.6.5 Semantics

The semantics of the RTP SDES HE for MID are defined as follows:

- identification-tag**:** The identification-tag payload is UTF-8 encoded, as in SDP.

### 4.6.5 SDP Signaling

RFC 9143 defined the extension URN in the "RTP SDES Compact Header Extensions" subregistry of the "RTP Compact Header Extensions" sub-registry. The URN for the RTP SDES Header Extension for MID shall be set to “**urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid**” as defined in RFC 9143.

The ABNF syntax for the extmap attribute for the signaling of RTP SDES HE for MID is as follows:

*extensionname = "* *urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid"*

*format = "short" / "long"*

The extension attributes have the following semantics:

- format: indicates if the RTP SDES HE for MID uses the 1-byte (short) or the 2-byte (long) format. This extension attribute can not be included more than once.

Below is an example:

a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid long
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