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\* \* \* \* Change #2 (All new text)\* \* \* \*

## 4.6 RTP Header Extension for Multiplexed Stream

### 4.6.1 General

When PDU Sets is multiplexed in the media stream, UPF and RAN nodes should identify the PDU Sets belonging to a specific media stream in a PDU session. To identify and differentiate separate (S)RTP streams in a multiplexed IP traffic flow, TS 23.501 specified (S)RTP Multiplexed Media Identification Information including a combination of at least one of the followings; Synchronization Source (SSRC) [4], Payload Type (PT) [4], and (S)RTP SDES header extention for Media Identification (MID) [22].

### 4.6.2 Use of SDES MID

An RTP sender may use the bundle in SDP negotiation to multiplex the media streams, particularly in case SSRC is not available before the (S)RTP session is started. When the bundle is available, an RTP sender shall send MID value for identification of media stream. When MID value is available at the RTP sender, it is exchanged in SDP negotiation by using ‘a=mid” attribute [22]. When the (S)RTP session is established, the same MID value shall be present in the extended header of associated (S)RTP packets for SDES items as described in IETF RFC 7941 [23]. When the delivery of MID value is available, an RTP sender uses either the 1-byte or the 2-byte extended header format as shown in Figure 4.6-1 and 4.6-2, respectively.

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| ID | len | SDES item text value ... |

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6-1: One-byte Header extension format for SDES items

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| ID | len | SDES item text value ... |

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6-2: Two-byte Header extension format for SDES items

NOTE: Not every (S)RTP packet is required to send MID value.

An RTP sender may also send the SDES MID value in the associated (S)RTCP with SDES item type of 15. Figure 4.6-3 shows the (S)RTCP packet fomat to carry SDES MID as specified in IETF RFC 9143 [22].

0 1 2 3

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

| MID=15 | length | identification-tag ...

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Figure 4.6-3: (S)RTCP packet format for SDES MID delivery
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