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## Change 2

## 4.7 Haptics Media enhanced services

For details on haptics media enhanced services, refer to 3GPP TR 26.854 [48]. Identified scenarios are:

- Haptics-enhanced media distribution, clause 5.2

- Haptics-enhanced Communication, clause 5.3

- Immersive entertainment, clause 5.4

- Immersive multi-modal XR and metaverse, clause 5.5

## Change 3

## 5.7 Haptics media traffic Characteristics

Two types of haptics media transmission formats are considered: parametric and PCM.

In general, haptics media PCM coded bitstreams require substantially more bandwidth than parametric coded bitstreams, this is due to the capability of having silent units in a parametric bitstream.

When coding repetitive haptics media effect, a key difference between a parametric coded bitstream and a PCM coded bitstream on the traffic characteristic is the following:

- In a parametric coded bitstreams, identical or similar effects can be coded and sent a single time and then referenced, rather than coding multiple time the same or similar haptics media effect.

- In a PCM coded bitstream, similarly to audio and video, coding performance improves for these repeated consecutive effects but provide a much higher overhead compared to the parametric coded bitstream.

Table 5.7-1 summarises the expected characteristics and average bitrates per channel applicable for the use cases of section 5. In this table, density represents the quantity of haptics effects over the duration of the sequence, it is not related to the intensity of the haptics effect. Three parameters impact the bitrate requirements: the number of channels, the media format and the density.

Table 5.7-1: summary of typical haptics media traffic characteristic.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Use case | Nb of channels | Media Format | Density | Average bitrate per channel |
| Haptic enhanced media distribution (clause 5.2 of [48]) | 1 to 32 | Parametric | Light  Medium  High | 0.25 to 0.75 kbps  0. 5 to 1.5 kbps  1 to 5 kbps |
| 1 to 32 | Time sampled | Light  Medium  High | From 6 to 64kbps depending on the density and the quality of the desired signal.  8-16 kbps for good quality at medium and high density.  32-64 kbps for very high quality at medium and high density. |
| Haptic enhanced communication (clause 5.3 of [48])) | 1 to 4 | Parametric | Light  Medium | 0.25 to 0.75 kbps  0.5 to 2 kbps |
| Immersive Entertainment (clause 5.4 of [48])) | 1 to 32 | Parametric | Light  Medium  High | 0.25 to 0.75 kbps  0. 5 to 1.5 kbps  1 to 5 kbps |
| 1 to 32 | Time sampled | Light  Medium  High | From 6 to 64kbps depending on the density and the quality of the desired signal.  8-16 kbps for good quality at medium and high density  32-64 kbps for very high quality at medium and high density |
| Immersive multi-modal XR and metaverse (clause 5.5 of [48])) | 6 to 32 | Parametric | Light  Medium  High | 0.25 to 0.75 kbps  0. 5 to 1.5 kbps  1 to 5 kbps |
| 6 to 32 | Time sampled | Light  Medium  High | From 6 to 64kbps depending on the density and the quality of the desired signal.  8-16 kbps for good quality at medium and high density  32-64 kbps for very high quality at medium and high density |

## Change 4

## 7.4 Summary of Characteristics for haptics media

### 7.4.1 Bitrate Characteristics

See clause 5.7

### 7.4.2 Other KPIs

#### 7.4.2.1 Introduction

The QoS factors influencing the QoE for haptics media enhanced services as described in the use-cases of section 5 are mostly similar to those used for traditional AV and immersive AV services (jitter, delay, packet loss, etc). The asynchronicity between haptics and other media is a prevalent parameter to be considered when using haptics along with other media. Another characteristic of parametric haptics media is the presence of silent units alongside temporal and spatial units; which can be taken into account when setting PDU Set Importance.

#### 7.4.2.2 Asynchronicity between haptics and other media

TR 26.854 [48] reports that that haptics effects perceived prior to visual cues is less detrimental to the user experience than the reverse and that asynchronicity is more tolerable in scenarios involving passive user involvement than active user involvement.

The suitable threshold for asynchronicity is listed in table 7.4.2.2-1

Table 7.4.2.2-1: Tolerable asynchronicity thresholds per use-cases

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Use case | Media | Tolerable asynchronicity threshold (note 1) | |
| Haptic enhanced media distribution | audio-haptics | audio delay:  100ms | haptic delay:  50ms |
| visual-haptics | visual delay:  80ms | Haptic delay:  60ms |
| Haptic enhanced communication | audio-haptics | audio delay:  3 frames (25ms) | haptic delay:  1 frame (12ms) |
| Visual-haptics | Visual delay:  20ms | Haptic delay:  30ms |
| Immersive games and Immersive multimodal XR and metaverse | audio-haptics | audio delay:  50 ms | haptic delay:  25 ms 1 frame for gaming |
| visual-haptics | visual delay:  15 ms | Haptic delay:  50 ms |
| Immersive entertainment | audio-haptics | audio delay:  25 ms | haptic delay:  12 ms |
| visual-haptics | visual delay:  20 ms | Haptic delay:  30ms |
| NOTE: For each media component, “delay” refers to the case where that media component is delayed compared to the other. | | | |

#### 7.4.2.3 QoS requirements for haptic media enhanced services

The following from [48] is reported in Table 7.4.2.3-1 and depicts the typical QoS requirements that need be fulfilled for the users’ QoE to be satisfactory.

Table 7.4.2.3-1 Typical QoS requirements for haptic media enhanced services

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Haptics | Video | Audio |
| Jitter (ms) | ≤ 2 | ≤ 30 | ≤ 30 |
| Delay (ms) | ≤ 50 | ≤ 400 | ≤ 150 |
| Packet loss (%) | ≤ 10 | ≤ 1 | ≤ 1 |
| Update rate (Hz) | ≥ 2000 | ≥ 24 | ≥ 20k |
| Packet size (bytes) per channel | 60-350 compressed parametric  50-1500 time sampled | ≤ MTU | 160-320 |
| Throughput (kbit/s) per channel | n\*(fe\*16)1 bits/s   time sampled  16-32kbps for compressed parametric2 | 2500 - 40000 | 64-128 |
|  | | | |

#### 7.4.2.4 Potential mapping to 5QIs

Whether the 5QIs defined in [10] for media streaming and communication services are sufficient to enable haptics media enhanced services may need to be studied further.