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# 1 Introduction

This contribution provides summary of offline discussion on CB: # R19XR.

**CB: # R19XR**

**- Work on the solution over F1 for UL rate control**

**- Check other open issues**

(moderator - Nok)

Summary of offline disc [R3-253773](Inbox\R3-253773.zip)

The offline discussion will have two phases:

* **Phase 1:** for the discussion on the questions. Please share your view on the questions **by 13:00 Wednesday May 21st (Malta time)**
* **Phase 2:** Based on the result of Phase 1, TPs will be prepared. Phase 2 will discuss the TPs.

# 2 For the Chair’s Notes

# 3 uplink congestion signalling

Online session agreed **CU sends the uplink rate control indication per QoS flow over F1 to DU.**

Further discussion on whether Other additional assistance information from CU to DU. Following options were proposed:

- Option 1: Recommended UL bit rate info per QoS flow

- Option 2: Measured bit rate per QoS flow

- Option 3: No additional information

**Please share your view on following questions:**

* **Q1-1:** Which option is your preference?
* **Q1-2:** The detailed content of “**uplink rate control indication per QoS flow**” in the agreement “**CU sends the uplink rate control indication per QoS flow over F1 to DU.**” The NGAP BL CR (R3-253121) includes following information for a QoS Flow. Can the F1AP **indication** be similar to NGAP *Indication of Bitrate Adaptation* IE, to Indicate that the QoS Flow allows rate adaptation in the indicated direction?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Indication of Bitrate Adaptation | O |  | ENUMERATED (uplink, …) | Indicates that the QoS Flow allows rate adaptation in the indicated direction. | YES | ignore |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Q1-1: Opt 3  (Opt 1 as a further optimization.)  Q1-2: Yes | **For Q1-1:**  We would consider Option 1 as a further optimization. In Option 1, the gNB-DU may only need to send MAC CEs when a specific recommended bitrate is met or not met, thus reduce the unnecessary MAC CEs to the UE. So we consider Option 1 is a further optimization to Option 3.  In case majorities prefer Option 1, we can accept it as a WA, since its feasibility need to be confirmed by SA2/SA4, thus LS to SA2/SA4 is needed.  For Option 2, we think the gNB-DU can determine the recommended bitrate, there is no need for the gNB-CU to provide the measured bitrate.  **For Q1-2:** it is better to align with NGAP IE. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 4 Support of exposure of available bitrate

# **non-homogeneous deployment**

Contribution ([16]) proposes gNB report the following status to the CN.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| IE/Group Name | Presence | Range | IE type and reference | Semantics description |
| **Available Data Rate Reporting Status Item** |  | *1..<maxnoofQoSFlows>* |  |  |
| >QoS Flow Identifier | M |  | 9.3.1.51 |  |
| >Activation Status Uplink | M |  | ENUMERATED (active, not Active, …) | Indicates whether Available data rate reporting in UL is active or not active. |
| >Activation Status Downlink | M |  | ENUMERATED (active, not Active, …) | Indicates whether Available data rate reporting in DL is active or not active. |

**Q2-1: Please share your view on whether the gNB need to report the status of Available Bitrate monitoring. If yes, whether ok for above definition.**

**Encoding of available bitrate/threshold/maxnoofThresholds**

Moderator suggest to align with CT4 TS 29.244:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Bits | | | | | | | |  |
|  | Octets | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  | 1 to 2 | Type = 348 (decimal) | | | | | | | |  |
|  | 3 to 4 | Length = n | | | | | | | |  |
|  | 5 | Spare | | | | ULDR | DLDR | ULCI | DLCI |  |
|  | m to (m+1) | DL Congestion information threshold | | | | | | | |  |
|  | p to (p+1) | UL Congestion information threshold | | | | | | | |  |
|  | q to (q+**4**) | DL Data Rate threshold | | | | | | | |  |
|  | r to (r+**4**) | UL Data Rate threshold | | | | | | | |  |
|  | s to (n+4) | These octet(s) is/are present only if explicitly specified | | | | | | | |  |

Figure 8.2.240-1: Reporting Thresholds

The Downlink Data Rate threshold field and the Uplink Data Rate threshold field shall be encoded as **kilobits per second** (1 kbps = 1000 bps) in binary value (rounded upwards).

For maxnoofThresholds, companies propose to use value “8”.

**Q2-2: Please share your view on following:**

* **align with TS29.244 for the encoding of available bitrate/threshold, i.e. define available bitrate and threshold as a 32-bit integer with unit kbps.**
* **Use value “8” for maxnoofThresholds.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Q2-1: refer to commemts  Q2-2: Yes | For Q2-1, we do not see the strong need for reporting the status, since SA2/CN does not require it. But if majorities prefer, we can accept it. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 5 BSSize and TTNB

According to the contributions, it seems no objection for adding BSSize and TTNB into the DL PDU SESSION INFORMATION frame defined in TS 38.415 and the DL USER DATA frame defined in TS 38.425.

So Moderator propose to remove the following ENs from TS 38.415/425 BL CRs, i.e.

* **For TS 38.415 TP, remove following EN**

Editor’s Note: FFS on whether TTNB and/or BSSize related information may be removed in this clause and introduced into other frame(e.g. DL PDU SET INFORMATION).

* **For TS 38.425 TP, remove following EN**

Editor Note: FFS whether to capture the Burst Size and Time To Next Burst related information in the DL USER DATA frame.

**Q3-1: Please share your view on removing above ENs from TS 38.415/425 BL CRs.**

Contribution ([10]) proposes following TP for TS 37.340 BL CR:

When the PDCP hosting gNB receives the Data Burst Size Indication or the Time To Next Burst Indication from the UPF, it always provides the Data Burst Size Indication or the Time To Next Burst Indication to the corresponding node when data transmission from the PDCP hosting node to the corresponding node is needed for the Data Burst.

**Q3-2: Please share your view on above TP for TS 37.340 BL CR.**

Contribution ([26][27]) proposes TS 38.420/470 TPs.

**Q3-3: Please share your view on TS 38.420/470 TPs in Contribution ([26][27]).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Q3-1: Yes  Q3-2: Yes  Q3-3: Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 6 Support of PDU Set AQP

Contribution ([14]) propose following proposal:

**Proposal: An abnormal condition text is needed to capture that if the NG-RAN receives the PSQP in AQP but the PSQP are absent in the QoS flow parameters, the procedure should fail.**

**Q4: Please share your view on above proposal.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 7 On RLC retransmission enhancements

**For Unnecessary RLC retransmission avoidance:**

Contribution ([7]) propose following proposal:

**Proposal 3: Introduce a new IE in the DL USER DATA frame specifically indicates the PDCP PDU associated with an RLC SDU, or a segment of the RLC SDU, for which the transmission and retransmission should be stopped.**

**Q5-1: Please share your view on above proposal.**

**For Timely RLC retransmission:**

Contribution ([7]) propose following proposal:

**Proposal 1: For uplink, the gNB-CU sends the PDCP Discard Timer value and PSI based discard timer value (if configured) of a DRB to the gNB-DU so that gNB-DU can then configure the autonomous retransmission and/or enhanced polling thresholds for the DRB.**

**Proposal 2: For downlink, RAN3 discusses the signalling enhancement between CU and DU to support timely retransmission.**

**Q5-2: Please share your view on above proposal.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Q5-1: no  Q5-2: see comments | For Q5-1: we are not sure why current discard mechanism in F1-U does not work for this case. Please clarify the reason.  For Q5-2: it may be needed, but we prefer to wait for RAN2 progress. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 8 MMSID

Contribution ([28]) propose following proposal:

**Proposal 10: The MMSID is defined as OCTET STRING (SIZE (1)).**

**Q6: Please share your view on above proposal.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Answers to Questions** | **Comments** |
| **Nokia** | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Potential Proposals:**

# 9 Other issues

Please add any missing issues.

**Issue #: (Company) description of the issues**

**Issue #1:**
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