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# Introduction

**CB: # 9\_SNReconfigComplete**

**- Check all the cases that triggering S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message towards SN**

**- Provide stage2 CR if agreeable**

(moderator - ZTE)

Summary of offline disc [R3-237753](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_122%5CInbox%5CR3-237753.zip)

# For the Chairman’s Notes

**<TBD>**

# Discussion- Second round

## Background

For both EN-DC and MR-DC with 5GC, the usage of SN reconfiguration complete message is in stage 3 and in stage 2 specs as below.

|  |
| --- |
| TS36.423The SGNB RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message may contain information that- either the UE has successfully applied the configuration requested by the en-gNB. The MeNB may also provide NR *RRCReconfigurationComplete* message in the *MeNB to SgNB Container* IE.- or the configuration requested by the en-gNB has been rejected. The MeNB shall provide information with sufficient precision in the included *Cause* IE to enable the en-gNB to know the reason for an unsuccessful reconfiguration.Upon reception of the SGNB RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message the en-gNB shall stop the timer TDCoverall. |

|  |
| --- |
| TS38.423The S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message may contain information that- either the UE has successfully applied the configuration requested by the S-NG-RAN node. The M-NG-RAN node may also provide configuration information in the *M-NG-RAN node to S-NG-RAN node Container* IE.- or the configuration requested by the S-NG-RAN node has been rejected. The M-NG-RAN node shall provide information with sufficient precision in the included *Cause* IE to enable the S-NG-RAN node to know the reason for an unsuccessful reconfiguration. The M-NG-RAN node may also provide configuration information in the *M-NG-RAN node to S-NG-RAN node Container* IE.Upon reception of the S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message the S-NG-RAN node shall stop the timer TXnDCoverall. |

|  |
| --- |
| **MN initiated SN Modification** |

The following is abstracted from Chairs notes

|  |
| --- |
| HW: For ZTE’s stage2, maybe good to have some description on failure case. For E///’s paper, SN does not need to wait for the S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message at allE///: Do need to specify the case what ZTE’s proposed.ZTE: Stage2 CR is proposed to align with stage3. For E///’s case, SN knows the situation when it triggers the modification procedure towards SN, no indication is neededCATT: It’s common understanding on the issue raised by ZTE. For the cases proposed by E///, it’s clear whether the S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message will be sent or not.Nok: Would like to clarify the scenario rather than introducing the indicationGoogle: Support stage2 clarification. In the case there is no UE reconfiguration, whether SN still needs to start the timer.QC: Some clarification may be neededLG: Support to have stage2 description on rejection case. Also support to have clear indication E///’s proposal |

## Cases that triggering S-NODE RECONFIGURATION COMPLETE message towards SN

### Cases indicated in R3-237709

In R3-237709, it provides the following observations.

|  |
| --- |
| **Observation 1: The MN may send the SN reconfiguration complete message to SN if the configuration requested by SN is accepted by the MN.*** **The RRC reconfiguration is successful - The MN sends the SN reconfiguration complete message to SN and includes the RRCReconfigurationComplete**
* **The RRC reconfiguration fails - The MN may not send the SN reconfiguration complete message**

**Observation 2: The MN sends the SN reconfiguration complete message to SN if the configuration requested by SN is rejected by the MN and provides a Cause.** |

For the above observation 2, ***Nokia wonders in which case, the MN will reject the configuration requested from the SN.***

**Moderator’s answer**: In case that SN modification request acknowledge message includes some information which the MN does not comply with, or the MN wants to cancel the MN initiated SN modification procedure if e.g., receiving other procedures, the MN will reject the configuration requested from the SN.

**Observation 1: After receiving *SN modification request ACK* message from SN (step 2), if the MN decides to reject the configuration requested by the SN, it sends *SN Reconfiguration Complete* message to SN (step 3), then the UE is not involved and this procedure ends.**



**Question 1: Do companies agree with observation 1?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | Yes | It aligns with Stage 3 description (… or the configuration requested by the S-NG-RAN node has been rejected. The M-NG-RAN node shall provide information with sufficient precision in the included *Cause* IE to enable the S-NG-RAN node to know the reason for an unsuccessful reconfiguration. The M-NG-RAN node may also provide configuration information in the *M-NG-RAN node to S-NG-RAN node Container* IE.) |
| LGE | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In R3-237709, it proposes that the above observation 1 shall be clarified in TS37.340.

|  |
| --- |
| **MN initiated SN Modification**Figure 10.3.2-1: SN Modification procedure - MN initiatedThe MN uses the procedure to initiate configuration changes of the SCG within the same SN, including addition, modification or release of the user plane resource configuration. The MN uses this procedure to perform handover within the same MN while keeping the SN, when the SN needs to be involved (i.e. in NGEN-DC). The MN also uses the procedure to query the current SCG configuration, e.g. when delta configuration is applied in an MN initiated SN change. The MN also uses the procedure to provide the S-RLF related information to the SN or to provide additional available DRB IDs to be used for SN terminated bearers. The MN also uses this procedure to activate or deactivate the SCG. The MN may not use the procedure to initiate the addition, modification or release of SCG SCells. The SN may reject the request, except if it concerns the release of the user plane resource configuration, or if it is used to perform handover within the same MN while keeping the SN. Figure 10.3.2-1 shows an example signalling flow for an MN initiated SN Modification procedure.1. The MN sends the *SN Modification Request* message, which may contain user plane resource configuration related or other UE context related information, PDU session level Network Slice info and the requested SCG configuration information, including the UE capabilities coordination result to be used as basis for the reconfiguration by the SN. In case a security key update in the SN is required, a new *SN Security Key* is included. In case the PDCP data recovery in the SN is required, the *PDCP Change* *Indication* is included which indicates that PDCP data recovery is required in SN.2. The SN responds with the *SN Modification Request Acknowledge* message, which may contain new SCG radio configuration information within an SN RRC reconfiguration message*,* and data forwarding address information (if applicable). If the MN requested the SCG to be activated or deactivated, the SN indicates whether the SCG is activated or deactivated.NOTE 1: For MN terminated bearers to be setup for which PDCP duplication with CA is configured in NR SCG side, the MN allocates up to 4 separate Xn-U bearers and the SN provides a logical channel ID for primary or split secondary path to the MN. For SN terminated bearers to be setup for which PDCP duplication with CA is configured in NR MCG side, the SN allocates up to 4 separate Xn-U bearers and the MN provides a logical channel ID for primary or split secondary path to the SN via an additional MN-initiated SN modification procedure.NOTE 1a: In case the MN rejects the configuration requested by the SN, the MN may inform the SN via *SN Reconfiguration Complete* message with the rejected cause after step 2. The procedure ends.2a. When applicable, the MN provides data forwarding address information to the SN. For SN terminated bearers using MCG resources, the MN provides Xn-U DL TNL address information in the *Xn-U Address Indication* message.3/4. The MN initiates the RRC reconfiguration procedure, including an SN RRC reconfiguration message. The UE applies the new configuration, synchronizes to the MN (if instructed, in case of intra-MN handover) and replies with MN RRC reconfiguration complete message,including an SN RRC response message, if needed. In case the UE is unable to comply with (part of) the configuration included in the MN RRC reconfiguration message, it performs the reconfiguration failure procedure.5. Upon successful completion of the reconfiguration, the success of the procedure is indicated in the *SN Reconfiguration Complete* message.6. If instructed, the UE performs synchronisation towards the PSCell of the SN as described in SN addition procedure. Otherwise, the UE may perform UL transmission after having applied the new configuration.7. If PDCP termination point is changed for bearers using RLC AM, and when RRC full configuration is not used, the SN Status Transfer takes place between the MN and the SN (Figure 10.3.2-1 depicts the case where a bearer context is transferred from the MN to the SN).8. If applicable, data forwarding between MN and the SN takes place (Figure 10.3.2-1 depicts the case where a user plane resource configuration related context is transferred from the MN to the SN).9. The SN sends the *Secondary RAT Data Usage Report* message to the MN and includes the data volumes delivered to and received from the UE as described in clause 10.11.2.NOTE 2: The order the SN sends the *Secondary RAT Data Usage Report* message and performs data forwarding with MN is not defined. The SN may send the report when the transmission of the related QoS flow is stopped.10. If applicable, a PDU Session path update procedure is performed. |

**Question 2: Do companies agree to add the following note in TS37.340?**

Note: In case the MN rejects the configuration requested by the SN, the MN may inform the SN via SN Reconfiguration Complete message with the rejected cause after step 2. The procedure ends.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree or disagree or no strong view** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | Agree | It is benefit to have this clarification. Then it is clear that the UE is not involved, and after *SN Reconfiguration Complete* message, the subsequent other signalling/procedures are not needed. |
| LGE | Agree |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Cases indicated in R3-237699

The R3-237699 indicates the following cases.

|  |
| --- |
| Case 1:When MN initiated SN modification, SN has something to configure to UE. Then MN informs SN about the RRC Reconfiguration result via the SN Reconfiguration Complete.Case 2:When MN initiated SN modification, SN may have nothing to configure to UE. In this example, when the measGap is configured then SN doesn’t need to adjust the DRX offset if DRX has not been configured to UE.Then MN doesn’t have to inform SN about the RRC Reconfiguration result.Case 3:When MN initiated SN modification, SN may have nothing to configure to UE but needs to know RRC completion timing. In this example, when the measGap is configured then SN has nothing to configure it. But SN needs to know when the measGap takes effect. However, MN doesn’t inform SN about the RRC Reconfiguration result because nothing is configured to the UE. A screenshot of a computer  Description automatically generated**Figure 1. Cases for MN initiated SN Modification procedure**For MN-initiated SN modification, MN shall respond with SN Reconfiguration Complete message if SN requests to report the result of RRC. In current specification, during the MN initiated SN Modification procedure, it is not clearly stated when the MN should send SN Reconfiguration Complete message to the SN for case 3. Therefore, the MN and the SN may have different understanding of the UE context, and this results in unintended operation. In worst case, the UE may be released and no longer be able to receive any service. This would cause inter-operability issue. It needs to be clarified how MN can decide whether SN waits for response or not. 1. For MN initiated SN modification, in the above case 3, it's not clear when the MN should send SN Reconfiguration Complete message to the SN.

So the intention from SN to MN to say explicitly that SN is waiting for the information from the MN, for instance, whether the UE reconfiguration is successful or not, so that the MN would be able to send SN Reconfiguration Complete message after receiving this indication.1. RAN3 to agree that the SN needs to explicitly tell the MN that it is expecting a SN Reconfiguration Complete message, i.e., in the SN Modification Request Ack message.
 |

**Question 3: Do companies agree that for MN initiated SN modification, in the case 3, it's not clear when the MN should send SN Reconfiguration Complete message to the SN?**

Case 3:

When MN initiated SN modification, SN may have nothing to configure to UE but needs to know RRC completion timing. In this example, when the measGap is configured then SN has nothing to configure it. But SN needs to know when the measGap takes effect. However, MN doesn’t inform SN about the RRC Reconfiguration result because nothing is configured to the UE.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree or disagree or no strong view** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | disagree | SN has idea whether it wait for the SN Reconfiguration complete message. In detail, if the SN has not sent RRC container within the SN modification request ACK message, then it will not wait for the SN Reconfiguration complete message. |
| LGE | Could be | As commented online, we cannot dissect every single parameters within *CG-ConfigInfo / CG-Config* and clarify which scenario or usage of a specific parameter falls into Case 1 or Case 2 or Case 3 or another cases. Considering the complication of those inter-node RRC containers, it is not hard to imagine Case 3 may be required.  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 4: Do companies agree that the SN needs to explicitly tell the MN that it is expecting a SN Reconfiguration Complete message, i.e., in the SN Modification Request Ack message?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Agree or disagree or no strong view** | **Comment** |
| ZTE | disagree | It is not needed, reason is as above.  |
| LGE | Agree | Having an explicit flag seems straightforward (and not swarmped from the complicated *CG-ConfigInfo / CG-Config* handling) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Other Case or issue, if any

**If company has other case or issue, please input your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** |  | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Conclusion, Recommendations
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