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# Introduction

**CB: # 50\_QoESA5LS**

**- Check the previous discussion in RAN3**

**- Reply LS to SA5?**

**Relevant papers:**

**[SA54222]** R3-224222 LS Reply on QoE configuration and reporting related issues (SA5)

**[Hua4597]** R3-224597 [DRAFT] Reply LS on QoE configuration and reporting related issues (Huawei)

**[Eri5062]** R3-225062 (CR TS 38.300) Correction for QoE (Ericsson)

The deadline for providing replies is **Friday, August 19th at 23.59 UTC.**

# For the Chairman notes

**TBW**

# Discussion

## Revised CR for TS 38.300

Based on the online discussion, the CR for TS 38.300 was updated to reflect the fact that multiple QoE configurations can exist for a service type, where each configuration can pertain to a different slice.

Please check the CR in the CB folder.

**Proposal 1: Agree the CR for TS 38.300 in R3-225062.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
| **Ericsson** | **Agree** |  |
| Qualcomm | OK, just some clarification needed | **This is the proposed text in the above CR:**  One or more QoE measurement collection jobs can be activated at a UE per service type where each job can pertain to one or more different slices.Each QoE measurement collection job (i.e., QoE measurement configuration) is uniquely identified by a QoE Reference.  When we say “each job can pertain to different slices” and “each job is uniquely identified by a QoE Reference”, aren’t we implying that **we can have the same QoE Reference for two different slices?** Is that an option today – I thought we need a separate QoE Reference for every slice, isn’t it? Please note that even if two different slices can use the same QoE Reference, there is only 1 common QoE configuration container for both the slices. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

## Draft LS reply to SA5

Paper [Hua4597] proposes a draft reply to SA5.

**Q1: Do you agree with the draft reply LS to SA5 in [Hua4597]?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer** | **Comment** |
| **Ericsson** | **Yes, but with rewording** | We may need some rewording to reflect the fact that, for the case when different slices are reported to different MCE IP addresses, the list of slices in each config may contain more than one entry, e.g., in case we want to report measurements for 3 slices to MCE IP1 and measurements for 2 slices to MCE IP2, for a given service type.  Proposed rewording:  In order to configure different QMC MCE IP addresses for the different slices of the same service type, it can be realized by configuring multiple different QoE measurements, where each QoE configuration contains one or more slices and the corresponding QMC MCE IP address, without any specification changes. |
| Qualcomm | E// rewording is fine |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**