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Introduction

This contribution provides discussion on critical issues for the thread [103-e-NR-Rel-16-V2X-13].

[103-e-NR-Rel-16-V2X-13]: For LS in [R1-2007521](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_103\Docs\R1-2007521.zip), a reply LS is necessary – target 11/2 for email approval. To be handled under 7.2.4 – Sergey (Intel)

Discussion

## Reply to Q1

The first question in the LS is related to prior RAN1 agreement, and is asked in the following form:

|  |
| --- |
| **Q1: RAN2 would like to ask RAN1 whether resource reselection is needed for dropped retransmission caused by prioritization, pre-emption and congestion control.** |

According to review of contributions [1]-[11], there are more views that further action is not required:

* Pre-emption-triggered resource re-selection is already agreed and being handled by specification
* Re-selection due to congestion control was not discussed and can be left open / undiscussed
* Re-selection due to prioritization was not discussed and can be left open / undiscussed

**Internal question 1: Please share your views on the above bullets as a brief summary related to RAN2 Q1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Reply to Q2

There is a second question:

|  |
| --- |
| **Q2: RAN2 would like to ask RAN1 to align, in the impacted RAN1 specification(s), ‘SL-L-CS-RNTI’ with ‘SL Semi-Persistent Scheduling V-RNTI’.** |

In [5], the potential RAN1 spec impact was analysed and the following TPs are proposed:



**Internal question 2: Please provide your comments to RAN Q2 and to the proposed above TP to resolve the alignment.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Comments on the other parts of the LS

For the other contents of the LS, there is no explicit question from RAN2. However, there are section related to “Maximum value (“8” or “9”) of SL priority threshold”, “Sidelink synchronization ID”, and “Other RAN2 agreements”.

**Internal question 3: Please provide any comments on the other parts of the LS.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Source** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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* SL-SCH cannot be always prioritized over uplink.
* SL-SCH is not prioritized over uplink, but physical layer signals are. This leads to inconsistency and a scenario that cannot currently be supported by NR sidelink design.

RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to update the range of sl-PrioritizationThres-r16 to match that of sl-PriorityThreshold-r16 and sl-PriorityThreshold-UL-URLLC-r16

1. [R1-2008647](file:///C:\Users\wanshic\OneDrive%20-%20Qualcomm\Documents\Standards\3GPP%20Standards\Meeting%20Documents\TSGR1_103\Docs\R1-2008647.zip) Draft Reply LS on RAN2 agreements for V2X vivo
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The RAN1 agreement explicitly mentions that pre-emption triggers resource reselection. On the other hand, RAN1 has made no agreements for the cases. Our view is that they can be left up to UE implementation. That is, the specification should support the possibility of reselecting resources but not necessarily mandate it. For example:
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*Proposal 1: Reply to RAN2 as follows:*

* *The cited RAN1 agreement does not call for any additional resource reselection procedures beyond what are already specified in TS 38.321.*