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\* \* \* First Change \* \* \* \*

### 5.26.2 5GS Bridge management

5GS Bridge information reporting is defined in Annex F.1 of 3GPP TS 23.502 [29]; this procedure enables the SMF to report 5GS Bridge information of a PDU session established for Time Sensitive Communication (TSC) to the TSN AF via the PCF.

Identities of 5GS Bridge and UPF/NW-TT ports may be pre-configured in the UPF based on deployment.

In order to establish an Ethernet PDU Session for TSC, the SMF shall send a PFCP Session Establishment Request to the UPF to establish the corresponding PFCP session as specified in clause 5.13. Additionally, the SMF shall request the UPF to allocate the port number for DS-TT, the port number(s) for NW-TT to form port pairs with the DS-TT port and provide the related TSN Bridge ID by including the Create Bridge Info for TSC IE with the Bridge Information Indication (BII) bit set to "1", in the PFCP Session Establishment Request. If so requested, the UPF shall provide corresponding information to the SMF in the Created Bridge Info for TSC IE in the PFCP Session Establishment Response message.

NOTE: The port number for DS-TT, port number(s) for NW-TT and Bridge ID are not meant to be used in PDRs.

Editor's Note: When the UPF (as NW-TT) is configured with multiple NW-TT ports, it is FFS whether the UPF can include a subset of NW-TT ports in a PFCP Session Establishment Response, so that different PDU sessions may be allocated with different NW-TT ports.
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