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# Introduction

This tdoc aims to collect open issues for UE capability of Rel-19 multihop relay based on the endorsed CRs R2-2506329 and R2-2506330.

## Contact information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name (Email)** |
| OPPO | Bingxue Leng (lengbingxue@oppo.com) |
| CATT | Hao Xu(xuhao@catt.cn) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Issue and discussion

In RAN2#131, we have the following agreements:

Agreements:

RAN2 confirm that UEs without Uu interface support are not in scope of this WI.

In Rel-19, the new band combination capability for multi-hop relay discovery is not needed.

In Rel-19, the new path switch capability for multi-hop relay is not needed.

In Rel-19, the L3 relay capability for multi-hop relay is not needed.

Keep relayUE-MH-Operation-L2 for both last relay UE and intermediate relay UE, while at least for intermediate relay UE, the remoteUE-MH-Operation-L2 is also indicated. Whether remote operation is a prerequisite for relay operation can be discussed in maintenance.

The only remaining issue is the highlighted part above. The current CRs implement that the remote operation is not prerequisite for relay operation. From Rapporteur’s view, this implementation provides enough flexibility for the practical UE implementation since the capability for remote UE and relay UE can be separately indicated.

*Question 1: Is the remote operation a prerequisite for relay operation?*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
| OPPO | No | Remote and relay capability should be independent |
| CATT | No | We share the same view as Rapp that the remote operation is not prerequisite for relay operation. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Except the above issue, if there is any remaining issues, please provide it in below table.

*Question 2: Please provide any remaining issues below.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option 1 or 2 | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, the following proposals are produced for discussion:

TBD