3GPP RAN WG2 Meeting #131bis R2-250XXXX

Prague, Czech Republic, October 13th – 17th, 2025

Agenda Item: 8.9.1

Source: Nokia

Title: Remaining Open issues for Idle mode IoT-NTN Operation

Document for: Discussion, Decision

# 1.Introduction

The following document includes a list of open issues according to the following email discussion:

* [Post130][308][R19 IoT NTN] [TS 36.304 CR (Nokia)

 Scope: discuss the running TS 36.304 CR

 Intended outcome: Endorsed CR and list of remaining open issues

**Deadline:** Long

Companies are invited to provide feedback on open issue list by: TBD

# 2.Remaining open issues for specification 36.304

## 2.2 Initial List of open Issues : Based on RAN2-131bis outcome

**1. Final Views related to NOTE on using SF mode parameter of neighbour cell for cell reselection.**

The agreed CR based on the last post e-mail discussion maintained the text related to the optional UE behaviour on deprioritising the cells operating in SF mode for cell selection as NOTE. There are some views expressed in the e-mail discussion to make the NOTE as normative text. Companies can indicate whether they need to convert the NOTE to normative text. Based on majority views this issue can be concluded. Based on the outcome the changes if needed will be change the NOTE to normative. As the text for the NOTE is already agreed , we can only focus on if there is real need to change this as normative text.

**Q1.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company  | Whether NOTE in 5.2.4.4 can be maintained OR To be changed as normative text. If Not indicate the reasons for the same. |
|  |  |

**2. Use of NAS Configured Satellite list for relaxation of idle mode operations.**

In RAN2-131 it was concluded that the Satellite list configured via NAS signalling is not considered for cell reselection procedure. RAN2 agreed to indicate the servign satellite ID to NAS layer to enable NAS layer to take appropriate action. However the usage of NAS configured satellite list for idle mode relaxation such as paging monitoring is not concluded. It was marked for further discussion in RAN2-132.

Following is the text in TS23.401 related to NAS provided information related to SF mode operation and the relevant notes.[Section : 4.13.9]

|  |
| --- |
| For a UE which indicates support of Store and Forward Satellite operation and when an MME is operating in S&F Mode:- If the MME cannot complete a NAS procedure with the information currently available on the satellite e.g. when the MME does not have UE security context or, if the MME needs to retrieve UE-specific authentication vectors or subscription information from the ground network, it shall reject the NAS procedure. In this case, the MME shall include a reject cause indicating the NAS rejection is due to Store and Forward Satellite operation.- If the UE is rejected with a reject cause indicating it is due to S&F operation, the UE's EMM state shall remain unchanged.- The MME may provide to the UE a S&F Wait Timer, a S&F Monitoring List or both when accepting or rejecting a NAS procedure. The MME may provide S&F Monitoring List to the UE as part of detach procedure.NOTE 4: How the MME determines the S&F Wait Timer and S&F Monitoring List is up to MME implementation, e.g. based on feeder link (un)availability period, service link (un)availability period, UE power saving requirements, Communication Pattern parameters, UE location, UE mobility, etc.- When the S&F Wait Timer expires, the UE may perform a NAS procedure, which can be a subsequent NAS procedure or a reattempt of a NAS procedure previously rejected with a S&F reject cause, with a satellite of the same PLMN that is operating in S&F Mode.NOTE 5: When the S&F Wait Timer is running, the power consumption optimization behaviours, if any, are left for UE implementation e.g. whether to listen to paging or deactivate its Access Stratum functions. The S&F Monitoring List includes satellite(s) which belong to the same PLMN and indicates the satellite(s) that the UE may (re)attempt NAS procedures or receive MT data from.- The MME may indicate to the UE that it should delete any previously provided S&F Monitoring List for the current PLMN. When the S&F Monitoring List is deleted then the UE may use any satellite(s).NOTE 6: The S&F Wait Timer or S&F Monitoring List doesn't affect the UE when accessing an eNodeB that does not broadcast an indication of operating in S&F Mode.NOTE 7: How UE behaves when receiving the S&F Monitoring List is up to UE implementation. When a UE receives a S&F Monitoring List and the UE access a satellite that supports Store and Forward Satellite operation that is not on the S&F Monitoring List there is increased probability that it will not be able to complete the NAS procedure. The UE can continue to use the previously provided S&F Monitoring List, if the MME did not send one and the UE has previously been provided with one.- The MME may indicate to the UE an Estimated S&F UL Delivery Time in a NAS accept messages (i.e. Attach Accept, TAU Accept or Service Accept messages).NOTE 8: The Estimated S&F UL Delivery Time is an estimate of the time required to deliver the data or signalling sent by the UE to the ground. The Estimated S&F UL Delivery Time is associated with the satellite that provides it and how UE uses this information is left for UE implementation. |

As per the above description the Wait Timer and NAS configured satellite list is used by NAS layer for resuming the pending NAS procedure. However it is not completely clear that whether this list also indicate that the UE context is not available outside the NAS configured list and the the Wait timer window. The paging optimisation intended using this information is mainly based on the assumption that the UE context is not available at the satellite outside this list and window and MT traffic is not possible. Hence it would be good to confirm RAN2 understanding on the possibility of MT traffic outside the NAS configured list.

**Q2. Provide company views on whether MT Traffic is possible outside the NAS configured satellite list. Also indicate whether any other NAS signalling possible from these satellite ( like update of NAS configured satellite list).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company  | Views on MT Traffic /Signalling possibility |
|  |  |

If MT traffic is not possible one simple relaxation possible for idle mode is to avoid monitoring the paging from these satellites. However the system information modification related notification need to be addressed.

**Q3. If MT Traffic is not possible on the satellite list outside NAS configured list. companies to provide views on the UE behaviour for paging relaxation in satellite which is outside the configured list.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Views on UE based solution for paging relaxation. Need for additional changes if any.**  |
|  |  |

**2. Indication in system information for paging monitoring relaxation for cells operating in SF mode without MT data.**

RAN2 also agreed to further consider the possibility for paging relaxation for the cases where the satellite does not have stored MT data for paging. One of the proposal discussed in last meeting is to introduce indication in system information. Following are the additional issues pointed out during the discussion.

* Handling of system information modification related paging.
	+ For this issue,One possible solution indicated in the meeting :
		- The NW can decide to include the flag based on its intention to modify system information when it does not have MT data. If the NW intend to modify system information this parameter can be switched off and paging can be triggered.
* Interface changes needed between ENB and MME related to pending data.
	+ Whether the solution further requires RAN3 changes need to be investigated.
* Interworking of this Flag with SF mode transition.
	+ When SF mode is transitioned to Normal mode the UE can implicitly start paging monitoring irrespective of this flag. In other words making this flag applicable for SF-mode indication can resolve this issue.

**Q4.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Support for Introduction of indication in system information for paging relaxation. If yes additional changes needed beyond the indication and its handling.****If No, Indicate the main challenges /issues for this indication.** |
|  |  |

## 2.3 Additional Issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Issue description** |
|  |  |

## 3 Second Round

**Conclusion based on views for Section 2: Deadline Sept 27.**

Based on the company views for section 2 if there is consensus on issue the above issues, high level spec changes can be discussed in this round.

On the additional issues, if there is common issue to be addressed the solution part can be discussed or agree on the list of issue for online discussion in this round.

# Conclusions