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# 1 Introduction

This is to kick off below email discussion:

* [Post131][303][R19 NR NTN] 38.304 CR (ZTE)

 Scope: finalize the running 38.304 CR

 Intended outcome: Agreed CR

 Deadline:

1. Initial list of open issues by rapporteur, proposed resolutions for easy open issues or resolution options for other issues: sept. 19th
2. Input from other companies and final set of proposals and resolutions for identified issues that don’t require contribution input: Oct. 1st

NOTE: no contributions from other companies expected

Companies are invited to provide input on open issues and potential resolutions no later than **Monday September 22 10:00 UTC**.

Based on the input from companies in first round, Rapporteur will further collect companies’ comments for the proposed resolutions for identified open issues. The deadline for companies’ comments in second round will be on **Monday September 29 10:00 UTC** which gives some time for Rapporteur to prepare the summary.

Contact information:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Delegate Name | Email |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## 2.1 First round-open issue collection

So far there is one open issue included in the table, which was raised by Ericsson during post email discussion. Companies are invited to include any identified open issues and potential resolution in the table below. To ease the discussion in second round, please follow the template given in the table (e.g., open issue x, resolution:...), thanks!

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Description of open issues and potential resolution** | **Rapporteur comment** |
| Ericsson | **Open issue 1:** The TAI/Cell list received in target service area in USD could be used by UE for service continuity purpose.**Resolution:** Remove the ‘(i.e., an area specified as a list of polygon or circle shapes)’ in below note.NOTE 0j: The ISA(s) can be ISA(s) provided in *SIBxx* and/or target service area (i.e., an area specified as a list of polygon or circle shapes) in USD. It is up to UE’s implementation to decide whether it is inside ISA(s) or not. | Seems to be a easy fix, companies are invited to provide comments in section 2.2 for this open issue  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Second round-resolution

This section will be used to collection companies’ views on the resolutions proposed for open issues identified.

For now, only open issue 1 was included, further updates maybe needed after first round discussion.

**Open issue 1**

**Q: Do you agree on observation on issue 1 and the proposed resolution?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

To be updated: