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# 1 Introduction

This is to discuss the following aspects:

* [POST130][117][MOB] (Nokia)

**Scope:** Discuss issues of coexistence between L1 event triggered MR and mTRP (source cell).

1. Whether to allow coexistence between event triggered MR and mTRP (source cell)?
2. How to define the current beam?

**Intended outcome:** Summary discussion.

**Deadline:** Long email discussion

Further details are given in the following sections.

# 2 Discussion

During the discussion in April 2025 (RAN2#129bis) the following agreement has been captured in the Chairman notes [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| * Will be revisited in August. If one simple solution is not prepared / agreed until / in August meeting, we will not apply mTRP in Rel-19 event-triggered MR. |

In line with the aforementioned decision, the topic has not been discussed in May 2025 (RAN2#130). Instead, this e-mail discussion has been approved to take advantage of the time in between RAN2#130 and RAN#131 meetings to clarify all aspects that might need to be resolved for mTRP in the serving cell to become a part of Rel-19 event-triggered measurement reporting (MR) design.

The coexistence between mTRP and event-triggered L1 MR has been described in numerous papers submitted to RAN2#130 and the preceding meetings. Relevant TDocs are listed in References section, see at least [2] - [7]. Please note that References section does not provide all possibly relevant 3GPP papers but is just supposed to confirm what is considered in this post-meeting e-mail thread had significant support within RAN2 community.

Please also note that the aim of this document is not to elaborate in detail on the technical merits of mTRP as such. However, as has been briefly introduced in [2], with mTRP, the serving cell can schedule the UE from two transmission/reception points (TRPs). This enhances the coverage, reliability and possibly also the achievable data rates. Thus, from the network’s perspective it is preferable if such scheme can coexist with what is being defined in Release 19 (i.e. event-triggered L1 measurement reporting).

## 2.1 Current beam selection for event evaluation and MR MAC CE

RAN2#130 has tasked the rapporteur to resolve how to define the “current beam in MR MAC CE”. As a brief reminder, in the latest version of the endorsed MAC CR the corresponding MR MAC CE looks as follows [8]:



Fig. 1. Event Triggered L1 Measurement Report MAC CE - as proposed in the endorsed CR.

As can be seen in Fig. 1, the last octet is used for sending the RSRP measurement result (7 bits) corresponding to the serving beam (a.k.a. current beam). The single remaining bit in this octet is reserved (R). RAN2 needs to decide how this serving beam is selected in case of mTRP operation. In the papers submitted to RAN2#130 (e.g. [2] - [7]) various approaches have been presented, e.g.:

1. UE should use the best beam of the two current beams for event evaluation [2]
2. It is up to the UE which of the two beams it uses for event evaluation [7]
3. Network can indicate which beam the UE shall use

Probably all of the listed options are feasible and not overly complex (i.e. can be finalized within Release 19 timeline). Companies are encouraged to express their preference below.

**Question 1: How the UE selects the serving beam to be included in the MR MAC CE when mTRP is configured in the serving cell? Please select from the options listed below:**

1. **UE should use the best beam of the two “current beams” for event evaluation**
2. **It is up to the UE which of the two beams it uses for L1 event evaluation**
3. **Network indicates which beam the UE shall use**
4. **Other**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary for Q1:**

## 2.2 Association between the serving beam and the reported RSRP

In [2] it was also underlined that MR MAC CE may possibly include also an indication for which beam/TCI the RSRP value is reported. As can be seen in Fig. 1 current MR MAC CE has no ID information for the serving beam. It may not be problematic when mTRP is not used. However, in case of mTRP operation and if option a) or option b) listed in Q1 is adopted, the NW does not know what the reported RSRP value corresponds to. Thus, in rapporteur’s view such indication could be included in MR MAC CE, of course subject to the ultimate decision taken for Q2. It is worth underlining the last octet in MR MAC CE comprises one reserved (R) bit which can be used to indicate one of the two TCI states in mTRP operation.

**Question 2: Do you agree to indicate in MR MAC CE when mTRP is used which TCI state is associated with the reported RSRP value for the serving/current beam?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary for Q2:**

## 2.3 Whether to allow the coexistence between mTRP and event-triggered L1 MR

RAN2#130 has also tasked the rapporteur to check whether the companies support the coexistence between mTRP in the serving cell and event-triggered L1 measurement reporting. Thus, we would like to ask the ultimate question:

**Question 3: Do you support specifying the coexistence between event-triggered L1 measurement reporting and mTRP for the source cell? Please consider the technical aspects discussed above (for Q1 and Q2).**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Answer (Yes/No)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary for Q3:**

# 3 Conclusion

In this document the following proposals have been made:
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