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# Introduction

This document includes a list of open issues according to the following email discussion:

* [Post129bis][215][ MIMO\_Ph5] Running CR for 38.321 (Samsung)

Intended outcome:

1. Updated running CR based on new agreements for endorsement
2. Open issue list

Deadline: Long

Companies are invited to provide feedback on open issue list by: **2nd May 1000 UTC**

# Discussion

## MAC-1: UL skipping for event-triggered beam reporting

**Issue description:**

In RAN2#129bis, the following agreements are made.

|  |
| --- |
| * Confirm the following RAN2 understandings:
	+ - * **The CG type-1 PUSCH carrying the beam report of Mode-B does not carry MAC PDU (i.e. UL-SCH).**
			* **The DG PUSCH carrying the beam report of Mode-A carries MAC PDU (i.e. UL-SCH) as legacy.**
* FFS if any other MAC impact for UL skipping
 |

Based on the understanding, for mode-B event-triggered beam reporting the type-1 CG is not used in MAC procedure to generate MAC PDU, thus UL skipping in the MAC procedure is not applicable. The issue is whether UL skipping is applied to mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam reporting.

**Discussion:**

Note for both mode-A and mode-B event-triggered beam reporting, the actual report is transmitted as UCI in PUSCH, according to the following RAN1 agreement.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement RAN1#116bis**On beam report transmission procedure for UE-initiated/event-driven beam reporting, following modes are supported:* Mode A (dynamically scheduling UCI by gNB):
	+ Step 1: UE transmits a first PUCCH (one-bit/multi-bit) to request a resource for a second UL channel to carry beam report
		- FFS: Request format, e.g., SR or a new UCI type.
	+ Step 2: UE detects the DCI format to indicate a resource for a second UL channel to carry beam report.
	+ Step 3: Beam report is transmitted in second UL channel.
		- FFS: Details on the second UL channel, e.g., whether the second UL channel is PUCCH, PUSCH or both
	+ This mode is basic UE capability (i.e. all UE supporting UE-initiated/event-driven beam reporting should support this feature).
	+ No new DCI format is introduced.
* Mode B (UCI in pre-configured resource(s) for second UL channel):
	+ Step 1: UE transmits a first PUCCH (one-bit/multi-bit) notifying a second UL channel to carry beam report
		- FFS: Notification format, e.g., SR or a new UCI type.
	+ Step 2: UE transmits the beam report in the second UL channel.
		- FFS: Details on the second UL channel, e.g., whether the second UL channel is PUCCH, PUSCH or both
	+ The notification in Step1 is in a separate reporting instance from the beam report in Step 2.

FFS: Whether UE receives acknowledge information with response to each step for all modesFor above procedures, cross-CC beam reporting is supported for both modes.* FFS: Details.
 |

In the current procedure of UL skipping, it is specified that Rel-16 enhanced UL skipping is not applied to UCI multiplexed on the PUSCH, as highlighted below.

|  |
| --- |
| TS 38.321 clause 5.7…The MAC entity shall:1> if the MAC entity is configured with *enhancedSkipUplinkTxDynamic* with value *true* and the grant indicated to the HARQ entity was addressed to a C-RNTI, or if the MAC entity is configured with *enhancedSkipUplinkTxConfigured* with value *true* and the grant indicated to the HARQ entity is a configured uplink grant:2> if there is no UCI to be multiplexed on this PUSCH transmission as specified in TS 38.213 [6]; and2> if there is no aperiodic CSI requested for this PUSCH transmission as specified in TS 38.212 [9]; and2> if the MAC PDU includes zero MAC SDUs; and2> if the MAC PDU includes only the periodic BSR and there is no data available for any LCG, or the MAC PDU includes only the padding BSR:3> not generate a MAC PDU for the HARQ entity.1> else if the MAC entity is configured with *skipUplinkTxDynamic* with value *true* and the grant indicated to the HARQ entity was addressed to a C-RNTI, or the grant indicated to the HARQ entity is a configured uplink grant:2> if there is no aperiodic CSI requested for this PUSCH transmission as specified in TS 38.212 [9]; and2> if the MAC PDU includes zero MAC SDUs; and2> if the MAC PDU includes only the periodic BSR and there is no data available for any LCG, or the MAC PDU includes only the padding BSR:3> not generate a MAC PDU for the HARQ entity. |

Therefore, the UCI for mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam report multiplexed on PUSCH can follow the existing enhanced UL skipping procedure (i.e., MAC PDU is generated for the UCI for mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam report multiplexed on PUSCH regardless of Rel-16 UL skipping configuration). For Rel-15 UL skipping (*skipUplinkTxDynamic*), whether to enhance for event-triggered beam report can be discussed.

**Q1: Do you agree the following view?**

**1-1: UL skipping is not applicable to mode-A type-1 CG event-triggered beam report.**

**1-2: For Rel-16 UL skipping (enhancedSkipUplinkTxDynamic), the UCI for mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam report follows the existing procedure (i.e., MAC PDU is generated for the UCI for mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam report multiplexed on PUSCH regardless of Rel-16 UL skipping configuration), there is no MAC impact.**

**1-3: For Rel-15 UL skipping (skipUplinkTxDynamic), discuss whether to enhance for mode-A DG-based event-triggered beam report.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## MAC-2: overlapping rule for mode-A beam report in PUSCH

**Issue description:**

For mode-B event-triggered beam reporting the type-1 CG is not used in MAC procedure to generate MAC PDU, thus the overlapping rule in MAC procedure is not applicable. The issue is whether the existing overlapping rule is impacted to handle overlapping between the PUSCH of mode-A beam report and SR/other PUSCH [1].

**Discussion:**

Based on the understanding agreed above, the DG for mode-A beam report is a UL grant as legacy that can carry MAC PDU. The existing rule to handle the overlapping/prioritization between the PUSCH of mode-A beam report and SR/other PUSCH should be applied.

**Q2: Do you agree the following view?**

**The existing rule to handle the overlapping/prioritization between the PUSCH of mode-A beam report and SR/other PUSCH is applied.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| Sharp | Wait for RAN1 input | RAN1 has some discussion and make agrees as below. Maybe we could wait for further input from RAN 1.**Agreement**On beam report transmission procedure for UE-initiated/event-driven beam reporting, regarding the multiplexing/dropping rule(s) of 1-bit first PUCCH, down-select one of the following rules for the Case-2: the 1-bit first PUCCH is collided/overlapped with a PUSCH, in RAN1#121* Option-1: Prioritize first PUCCH over PUSCH, i.e., PUSCH is dropped.
	+ FFS: If the PUSCH should be with UL-SCH or not for UEI beam report
* Option-3: Piggyback 1-bit indication of first PUCCH into the PUSCH.
	+ FFS: The 1-bit indication is always multiplexed in the PUSCH, regardless that UEI beam report procedure is triggered.
	+ FFS: If the PUSCH should be with UL-SCH or not for UEI beam report
* Option-4: Reuse the SR dropping rules.
* FFS: whether/how to handle the case of different PHY priorities.
 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## MAC-3: asymmetric UL mTRP in LTM cell switch

**Issue description:**

To discuss whether/how asymmetric UL mTRP is applied in LTM cell switch [2].

**Discussion:**

Rel-18 2TA (mDCI mTRP) is supported for LTM cell switch, where the TA included in the LTM cell switch command is applied to the TAG configured for the indicated TCI state in LTM cell switch command. Rel-19 2TA (sDCI mTRP) can be naturally applied in the same way.

In addition, PL offset is applied for asymmetric UL mTRP. Since the PL offset is configured per TCI state, the PL offset configured for the indicated TCI state in the LTM cell switch command can be directly applied for the first PUSCH transmission in RACH-less LTM cell switch for the target cell.

**Q3: Do you agree the following view?**

**3-1: For asymmetric UL mTRP, Rel-19 2TA (sDCI mTRP) is supported for LTM cell switch in the same way as Rel-18 2TA (mDCI mTRP), i.e., the TA included in the LTM cell switch command is applied to the TAG configured for the indicated TCI state in LTM cell switch command.**

**3-2: The PL offset, if configured, for the indicated TCI state in the LTM cell switch command is applied for the first PUSCH transmission in RACH-less LTM cell switch.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Y/N** | **Comments** |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| Sharp | Yes with comments | We are ok with 3-1.Regarding to 3-2, it is not sure if PL offset could be configured in advance before LTM cell twitch. If yes, it is ok, otherwise, it should be indicated in the LTM cell switch command explicitly. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## MAC-4: TCI state activation MAC CE for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP

**Issue description:**

To discuss whether/how Rel-18 TCI state activation MAC CEs for sDCI mTRP can be applied for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP [3][4][5][6].

**Discussion:**

RAN1 has made a conclusion for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP.

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion RAN1#117**For the asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP deployment scenario, reuse the rel-17 unified TCI/ICBM and rel-18 unified TCI framework:* When rel-17 unified TCI/ICBM is configured:
	+ For FR1: one joint TCI state or {one DL TCI state + one UL TCI state} can be applied.
	+ For FR2: {one DL TCI state + one UL TCI state} can be applied.
* When rel-18 unified TCI is configured:
	+ For FR1: up to two joint TCI states or {one DL TCI state + up to two UL TCI state} can be applied.
	+ For FR2: {one DL TCI state + up to two UL TCI states} can be applied.
 |

Based on this conclusion, there are so-far different views related to the Rel-18 TCI state activation MAC CEs for sDCI mTRP.

* [3] Rel-18 TCI state activation MAC CEs for sDCI mTRP cannot work for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP. Send an LS to RAN1 to ask whether the Rel-18 MAC CEs can be applied or enhancement is needed.
* [4] The Rel-18 MAC CEs can work for asymmetric DL sTRP/UL mTRP with no enhancement, e.g., NW always activates one joint/DL TCI state for each codepoint (by setting a Fi,j field as 0), or provides same QCL information for the two indicated DL TCI states so that from UE perspective it is DL sTRP operation.
* [5][6] Reuse the Rel-18 MAC CEs, for separate mode the Fi,j field for the DL TCI state for the UL-only TRP is set to 0 and the UE ignores this Fi,j field.

In Rapporteur’s understanding, the Rel-18 MAC CEs can support the TCI state activation for the cases in the above RAN1 conclusion. How to indicate one/two activated TCI state(s) to be applied in the asymmetric scenario based on the existing RRC/MAC signaling may be specified/enhanced by RAN1 if needed. Companies are welcome to internally check with RAN1. So far RAN1 has not informed any need of signaling enhancement, LS can be sent to RAN1 if needed. This issue is expected to be discussed in contribution.

# Other identified open issues

Companies are invited to describe any other identified open issues.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Other identified open issues? (please describe)** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusions

*<To be filled after companies have provided feedback to the proposed resolutions for simple issues only. Please include the number of supporting companies (e.g., 18/20]) in brackets within the proposal>*

The following proposals have been provided based on feedback to the above document:

[Proposals for easy agreement]

*<List all proposals with consensus and/or may be easily agreed based on Rapporteur’s opinion>*

[Proposals for discussion]

*<List all proposals which will likely require further online/offline discussion to resolve>*
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