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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN2 thanks RAN3 for the LS. After discussion, below are RAN2 responses to Questions 1-4:

* **Question 1:** Can the UE receive an AppLayerMeasConfig-r17 IE for MCG configuration containing *rrc-SegAllowedSRB5-r18* parameter?
* **Question 2:** Can the UE receive an AppLayerMeasConfig-r17 IE for SCG configuration containing *rrc-SegAllowedSRB4-r17* parameter?

**RAN2 response to Q1/2:** It is specified in the field descriptions that *rrc-SegAllowedSRB4-r17* is only configured for an MCG and *rrc-SegAllowedSRB5-r18* is only configured for an SCG

* **Question 3:** If the UE receives from the MN the *AppLayerMeasConfig-r17* IE which does not include the *rrc-SegAllowedSRB5-r18* parameter, how does the UE treat its RRC segmentation state for SRB5 after receiving this *AppLayerMeasConfig-r17* parameter? Does the UE keep its previous RRC segmentation state for SRB5 or does the UE consider that the RRC segmentation function for SRB5 shall be disabled?
* **Question 4:** If the UE receives from the SN the *AppLayerMeasConfig-r17* parameter which does not include the *rrc-SegAllowedSRB4-r17* parameter, how does the UE treat its RRC segmentation state for SRB4 after receiving this *AppLayerMeasConfig-r17* parameter? Does the UE keep its previous RRC segmentation state for SRB4 or does the UE consider that the RRC segmentation function for SRB4 shall be disabled?

**RAN2 response to Q3/4:** According to current ASN.1 design, *rrc-SegAllowedSRB4-r17* and *rrc-SegAllowedSRB5-r18* are optional fields with need code Need R.

RAN2 has discussed whether to change the current design on signaling *rrc-SegAllowedSRB4-r17* and *rrc-SegAllowedSRB5-r18* and it was not agreed as it would lead to non-backward compatible changes in RAN2 specifications. Therefore RAN2 reached below agreement:

|  |
| --- |
| * We do not correct this in RAN2 and let RAN3 make corrections
 |

**2. Actions:**

**To RAN WG3.**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks RAN3 to take the aforementioned RAN2 decisions into account in their future work,.

**3. Date of Next TSG-RAN WG2 Meetings:**

RAN2#129-bis from 2025-04-07 to 2025-04-11 China, CN

RAN2#130 from 2025-05-19 to 2025-05-23 Malta, MT