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# 1 Introduction

This is to kick off the email discussion.

* [Post126][406][POS] Rel-18 positioning LPP CR (CATT)

Scope: Update the CR in R2-2404434 in line with decisions of this meeting. Late-arriving parameter updates from RAN1 can be taken into account if possible.

Intended outcome: Agreed CR in R2-2405883

Deadline: Short (for RP)

# 2 Discussion

The CR is based on the AIP CR in R2-2404434. The updates are corrected within the name ‘RAN2#126’ in line with decisions of this meeting.

Please provide your comments on the updates named as ‘RAN2#126’ in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Clause No.** | **Comments** |
| Intel | 6.4.3 | nr-DL-PRS-JointMeasurementRequest-r18 SEQUENCE {  nr-DL-PRS-JointMeasurementRequestedPFL-List-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (2..3)) OF  INTEGER (0..nrMaxFreqLayers-1-r16) OPTIONAL -- Need ON  } OPTIONAL, -- Need ON  I must miss something. There is only one field contained in nr-DL-PRS-JointMeasurementRequest, why should the high level field “nr-DL-PRS-JointMeasurementRequest” be added? Does that mean, the UE can be requested to perform joint measurement within the same PFL? |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Conclusion

Based on company feedback, the following is proposed:

# 4 References