* Issue 1: DRX and measurement gaps during rach-less LTM switch [Technical Issue]
  + Confirm the intention that DRX should neither delay the completion of the LTM reconfiguration nor incur addition explicit RRC reconfigurations.
  + Postpone the discussion on the application of measurement gap and DRX configuration may be applied, during RACH-less LTM cell switch.
* Issue 2: cg-LTM-RetransmissionTimer [Technical/Implementation Issue]
  + Proposal 7: Agree on the intention from P1/2 in [R2-2400880](file:///C:\Users\mtk65284\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2_RL2\RAN2\Docs\R2-2400880.zip)/[R2-2401085](file:///C:\Users\mtk65284\Documents\3GPP\tsg_ran\WG2_RL2\RAN2\Docs\R2-2401085.zip) (details to be reviewed via post email).
  + The agreement is postponed to be captured in the next meeting, since there is the parallel post email discussion on [POST125][028][RACH-less] CR to 38.321, which is doing modification to the cg-LTM-RetransmissionTimer at the same time.
* Issue 3: LTM MAC CE with Msg1 repetition for eRedCap in CovEnh WI [Implementation Issue]
  + The parallel email discussion “[POST125][805][CE\_enh] Updated MAC CR” is capturing the “eRedCap+Msg1 repetition---merge the TP from R2-2401774”
  + We may need to do the similar change for the case of “CFRA indicated by LTM MAC CE with Msg1 repetition” to eRedCap, in the next meeting.
* Issue 4: Need of “UL TCI state ID” field in LTM cell switch MAC CE.
  + Companies are encouraged to check if this “UL TCI state ID” field is really needed.
  + Since there is UL measurement for the source cell to select the UL TCI state.
  + Please only bring contribution if you see no need of this field. Otherwise, we don’t need to discuss this at all.