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# Introduction

This is to summarize the following post email discussion:

* [Post125][417][Relay] Rel-18 relay RRC open issues (Huawei)

Scope: Discuss the remaining open issues for Rel-18 relay in 38.331 and converge where possible.

Intended outcome: Report to next meeting

Deadline: Long

In this email discussion, we focus on the RIL related issues which are still open.

Contact points:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email address |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## 2.1 U2U

### 2.1.1 QoS and SLRB configuration in L2 U2U

In current specification, the E2E procedure of L2 U2U SLRB configuration includes the following steps.

* Step 1. QoS split procedure between Remote UE1 and Relay UE.
* Step 2. Remote UE1 obtains SRAP configuration (as well as E2E DRB configuration, first-hop RLC configuration).
* Step 3. Remote UE1 sends QoS to DRB mapping (as well as first-hop RLC configuration) to Relay UE.
* Step 4. Relay UE obtains SRAP configuration (as well as second-hop RLC configuration).
* Step 5: Remote UE1 configures Remote UE2 for E2E configuration, and Relay UE configures Remote UE2 with second-hop RLC configuration.

Companies seem to have different understanding on the signalling design. In order to explain the intention of asn.1 in the current specification and allow companies to check whether it is correct/sufficient, the related asn.1 codes are copied from the agreed CR in R2-2402042. In addition, the related RILs (J107, H693, Z755, A622, O409, O418, H686, K002, H064, O428) are mapped to the corresponding step for detailed discussion.

#### Step 1. QoS split procedure between Remote UE1 and Relay UE.

##### Step 1a. Remote UE1->Relay UE: *UEInformationRequestSidelink*

UEInformationRequestSidelink-r18-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-E2E-QoS-ConnectionListPC5-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-E2E-QoS-ConnectionPC5-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

SL-E2E-QoS-ConnectionPC5-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-DestinationIdentityRemoteUE-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-Info-r16

}

SL-QoS-Info-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-QoS-Profile-r16 SL-QoS-Profile-r16 OPTIONAL

}

##### Step 1b. Relay UE->Remote UE1: *UEInformationResponseSidelink*

UEInformationResponseSidelink-r18-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-SplitQoS-InfoListPC5-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIs-r16)) OF SL-SplitQoS-InfoPC5-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

SL-SplitQoS-InfoPC5-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r18 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-SplitPacketDelayBudget-r18 INTEGER (0..1023)

}

**Observation 1: Each QoS folow ID (i.e. QFI) in bright green is linked to one target Remote UE’s L2 ID in yellow.**

#### Step 2. Remote UE obtains SRAP configuration (as well as E2E DRB configuration, first-hop RLC configuration).

Here we only discuss connected state only which has Uu dedicated siganaling impact.

##### Step 2a. Remote UE1->NW: *SidelinkUEInformationNR*

SL-TxResourceReqL2-U2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-DestinationIdentityL2-U2U-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL,

sl-TxInterestedFreqListL2-U2U-r18 SL-TxInterestedFreqList-r16,

sl-TypeTxSyncListL2-U2U-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofFreqSL-r16)) OF SL-TypeTxSync-r16,

sl-CapabilityInformationSidelink-r18 OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

sl-U2U-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofRemoteUE-r17)) OF SL-U2U-Info-r18 OPTIONAL,

...

}

SL-U2U-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-U2U-Identity-r18 CHOICE {

sl-TargetUE-Identity-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-SourceUE-Identity-r18 SL-SourceIdentity-r17

},

sl-E2E-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-Info-r16 OPTIONAL,

sl-PerHop-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-SplitQoS-Info-r18 OPTIONAL,

sl-PerSLRB-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SL-PerSLRB-QoS-Info-r18 OPTIONAL

}

SL-QoS-Info-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-QoS-Profile-r16 SL-QoS-Profile-r16 OPTIONAL

}

SL-SplitQoS-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r18 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-SplitPacketDelayBudget-r18 INTEGER (0..1023) OPTIONAL,

...

}

SL-PerSLRB-QoS-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity-r18 SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-QoS-ProfilePerSLRB-r18 SL-QoS-Profile-r16 OPTIONAL

}

##### Step 2b. NW->Remote UE1: RRCReconfiguration-> SL-L2RemoteUE-Config-r17

SL-L2RemoteUE-Config-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

…

sl-U2U-RelayUE-ToAddModList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-U2U-RelayUE-ToAddMod-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-U2U-RelayUE-ToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-DestinationIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need N

…

}

…

SL-U2U-RelayUE-ToAddMod-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-L2IdentityRelay-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-PeerRemoteUE-ToAddModList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-PeerRemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-PeerRemoteUE-ToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-DestinationIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

...

}

SL-PeerRemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-TargetUE-Identity-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18 SL-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18,

...

}

…

SL-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-MappingToAddMod-U2U-List-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSL-LCID-r16)) OF SL-MappingConfig-U2U-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-MappingToRelease-U2U-List-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSL-LCID-r16)) OF SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need N

}

SL-MappingConfig-U2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity-r18 SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-EgressRLC-ChannelPC5-r18 SL-RLC-ChannelID-r17,

...

}

**Observation 2: In the SUI reported by Remote UE1, the QoS flow ID of E2E QoS and first-hop QoS is not necessarily the same as the one sent to Relay UE in step 1a, but the Remote UE should remember the mapping, so that it can know the E2E SLRB configuration identified by slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex in SLRB-Config and sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity in SL-SRAP-ConfigU2U is to cover which E2E QoS flows. The network provides the aligned slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex in SLRB-Config and sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity in SL-SRAP-Config for the same E2E SLRB.**

#### (J107, H693, Z755, A622, O409) Step 3. Remote UE1 sends QoS to DRB mapping (as well as first-hop RLC configuration) to Relay UE.

Remote UE1->Relay UE: *RRCReconfigurationSidelink*

RRCReconfigurationSidelink-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-ConfigToAddModList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-Config-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

slrb-ConfigToReleaseList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

…

}

SLRB-Config-r16::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 SL-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

...

}

SL-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToAddList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-PQFI-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToReleaseList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-PQFI-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-SDAP-Header-r16 ENUMERATED {present, absent},

...

}

The intention of current specification is to rely on legacy PC5-RRC signalling (*RRCReconfigurationSidelink*) defined in Rel-16, and not to introduce any new signalling following RAN2#124 agreement.

|  |
| --- |
| - The Tx Remote UE informs the flow-to-SLRB mapping (i.e., SDAP configuration) to the relay UE via PC5-RRC.  - The Tx Remote UE informs the SLRB configuration index (i.e., slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex) to the relay UE via PC5-RRC. |

However, as raised by several RILs (J107, H693, Z755, A622, O409), the legacy signalling does not work/is suitable, due to the following reasons:

1. The PQFI included in the *sl-SDAP-ConfigPC5* has no corresponding meaning in relay UE, as it is generated by TX UE (i.e., source remote UE) implementation, and this PQFI is U2U destination agnostic (not related to any target U2U remote UE’s end-to-end flow). Hence, relay UE cannot match this ID to any QFIs reported in *UEInformationRequestSidelink*.
2. There is no SDAP entity in U2U relay UE, so such configuration looks awkward from the signalling design perspective. As a result, lengthy and dedicated procedure texts are supposed to be added into the legacy texts to describe how a L2 U2U relay UE to handle this SDAP-config differently from the legacy direct link case.

To address the above issues in ASN.1, some alternatives are provided in RILs/RIL papers.

1. **Alternative 1**: to include flow-to-SLRB mapping in the current *UEInformationRequestSidelink*, provided by R2-2400951 (alternative 1).

|  |
| --- |
| Example  UEInformationRequestSidelink-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  …  SL-E2E-QoS-ConnectionPC5-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-DestinationIdentityRemoteUE-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,  sl-E2E-QoS-SLRBListPC5-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SL-E2E-QoS-SLRBPC5  }  SL-E2E-QoS-SLRBPC5-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-e2eRBIndex SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,  sl-e2eQoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-e2eQoS-Info-r18  }  SL-e2eQoS-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r18 SL-PQFI-r16,  sl-QoS-Profile-r16 SL-QoS-Profile-r16  } |

The intention is to let the Relay UE easily understand the BEARER which the e2e flow is mapped to. This approach concentrates all QoS-split related parameter sin a single PC5-RRC procedure. But this requires some change in the E2E procedure. For instances, the Remote UE first reports E2E QoS to obtain the flow-to-SLRB mapping like in step2, and then trigger QoS split procedure like in step1, after which the Remote UE2 needs to do step2 again to obtain the first-hop RLC configuration.

1. **Alternative 1-1**: On top of alternative 1, considering the flow-to-SLRB mapping is already given to Relay UE, Relay UE could directly perform per-SLRB level QoS split but not per-QoS level split, provided by R2-2400951 (alternative 2). From asn.1 perspective, this would introduce changes on UEInformationRequestSidelink, UEInformationResponseidelink, and QoS reporting part in current SUI.
2. **Alternative 2**: to introduce an explicit mapping list in the current *RRCReconfigurationSidelink* including SLRB index and associated QFI which have the same meaning as in step1, provided by R2-2400412, R2-2401110 (Option 2) and R2-2401117.

|  |
| --- |
| Example  RRCReconfigurationSidelink ::= SEQUENCE {  …  slrb-MappingConfigToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  slrb-MappingConfigToAddModList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-MappingConfig-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  SLRB-MappingConfig-r18::= SEQUENCE {  slrb-PC5-ConfigIndexU2U-r18 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,  sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToAddListU2U-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToReleaseListU2U-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  ...  } |

It is worth noting that the “slrb-PC5-ConfigIndexU2U-r18” in this IE is assigned by Remote UE1 for a certain E2E SLRB, which will be included in SRAP header for subsequence UP data transmission. In this case, the Relay UE needs to link the SRAP configuration (which is to be received in step 4) to the same E2E SLRB.

1. **Alternative 3**: to introduce an explicit mapping list including SLRB index, target Remote UE2’s L2 ID and PQFI, provided by R2-2401110 (Option 1-1, and Option 1-2).

|  |
| --- |
| Example  RRCReconfigurationSidelink ::= SEQUENCE {  …  SLRB-MappingConfig-U2U-r18 SEQUENCE {  sl-DestinationIdentityRemoteUE-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,  slrb-MappingConfigList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SLRB-MappingConfig-r18  }  SLRB-MappingConfig-r18::= SEQUENCE {  slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r18 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,  sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToAddList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-PQFI-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  sl-MappedQoS-FlowsToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-PQFI-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N  ...  } |

The alternative 3 is very similar to alternative 2, but the issue is Relay UE needs to merge the SLRB-level QoS for second-hop, based on the flow-to-SLRB mapping as well as the split QoS in step1, but there is no connection between the PQFI here and QFI in step 1. So, if we go with this alternative 3, the signalling structure in step 1 needs to be changed as well.

The rapporteur understands at this stage we should select a solution with minimized potential asn.1 change from feasible alternatives, i.e. alternative 2, but would like to check companies views.

**Question 1: Among the above alternatives (1, 1-1, 2, 3), which one is the preferred signalling design approach to convey QoS flow-to-SLRB mapping information from source Remote UE to Relay UE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternatives (1, 1-1, 2, 3)** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

#### Step 4. Relay UE obtains SRAP configuration (as well as second-hop RLC configuration).

##### (O418, H686, K002) Step 4a. Relay UE->NW: *SidelinkUEInformationNR*

SL-TxResourceReqL2-U2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-DestinationIdentityL2-U2U-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL,

sl-TxInterestedFreqListL2-U2U-r18 SL-TxInterestedFreqList-r16,

sl-TypeTxSyncListL2-U2U-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofFreqSL-r16)) OF SL-TypeTxSync-r16,

sl-CapabilityInformationSidelink-r18 OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

sl-U2U-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofRemoteUE-r17)) OF SL-U2U-Info-r18 OPTIONAL,

...

}

SL-U2U-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-U2U-Identity-r18 CHOICE {

sl-TargetUE-Identity-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-SourceUE-Identity-r18 SL-SourceIdentity-r17

},

sl-E2E-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-Info-r16 OPTIONAL,

sl-PerHop-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-SplitQoS-Info-r18 OPTIONAL,

sl-PerSLRB-QoS-InfoList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1.. maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SL-PerSLRB-QoS-Info-r18 OPTIONAL

}

SL-QoS-Info-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-QoS-Profile-r16 SL-QoS-Profile-r16 OPTIONAL

}

SL-SplitQoS-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-QoS-FlowIdentity-r18 SL-QoS-FlowIdentity-r16,

sl-SplitPacketDelayBudget-r18 INTEGER (0..1023) OPTIONAL,

...

}

SL-PerSLRB-QoS-Info-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity-r18 SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-QoS-ProfilePerSLRB-r18 SL-QoS-Profile-r16 OPTIONAL

}

…

SL-RLC-ModeIndication-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-Mode-r16 CHOICE {

sl-AM-Mode-r16 NULL,

sl-UM-Mode-r16 NULL

},

sl-QoS-InfoList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-QFIsPerDest-r16)) OF SL-QoS-Info-r16

}

Related to the SUI reported by Relay UE, one issue mentioned by O418 is that the source Remote UE’s L2 ID is not useful, so it can be removed, which seems to be true.

**Question 2: Does company agree to remove *sl-SourceUE-Identity* from *SidelinkUEInformationNR* as suggested by O418?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The other issue is about the RLC mode in SUI reported by Relay UE mentioned by H686, since in the legacy signaling QoS flow list is mandatory in SL-RLC-ModeIndication, but in L2 U2U, Relay UE is not aware which QoS flows are mapped to the first-hop RLC channel. To address this, a new IE including only RLC mode can be introduced.

**Question 3: Does company agree to introduce new IE including only RLC mode but not QoS flow list in SUI as proposed by H686?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Related to the RLC configuration, K002 propose to include both of source and target Remote UE capability of RLC, to let NW provide proper RLC configuration (e.g. SN length) on the second hop aligned with the first hop.

**Question 4: Does company agree to include both of source and target Remote UE (per-hop) capability, to let NW provide proper RLC configuration (e.g. SN length) on the second hop aligned with the first hop as proposed by K002?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

##### Step 4b. NW->Relay UE: RRCReconfiguration-> SL-L2RelayUE-Config-r17

SL-L2RelayUE-Config-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

…

sl-U2U-RemoteUE-ToAddModList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-U2U-RemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-U2U-RemoteUE-ToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-DestinationIdentity-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need N

…

}

SL-U2U-RemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-L2IdentityRemoteUE-r18 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,

sl-SourceRemoteUE-ToAddModList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-SourceRemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-SourceRemoteUE-ToReleaseList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSL-Dest-r16)) OF SL-SourceIdentity-r17 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

...

}

SL-SourceRemoteUE-ToAddMod-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-SourceUE-Identity-r18 SL-SourceIdentity-r17,

sl-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18 SL-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18,

...

}

…

SL-SRAP-ConfigU2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-MappingToAddMod-U2U-List-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSL-LCID-r16)) OF SL-MappingConfig-U2U-r18 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

sl-MappingToRelease-U2U-List-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxSL-LCID-r16)) OF SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need N

}

SL-MappingConfig-U2U-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {

sl-RemoteUE-SLRB-Identity-r18 SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-EgressRLC-ChannelPC5-r18 SL-RLC-ChannelID-r17,

...

}

#### (H064, O428) Step 5: Remote UE1 configures Remote UE2 for E2E configuration, and Relay UE configures Remote UE with second-hop RLC configuration.

##### Step 5a: Remote UE1->Remote UE2: *RRCReconfigurationSidelink->* sl-SDAP-ConfigPC5 + sl-PDCP-ConfigPC5

RRCReconfigurationSidelink-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-ConfigToAddModList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-Config-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

slrb-ConfigToReleaseList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

…

}

SLRB-Config-r16::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 SL-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

...

}

H064 proposes to clarify that the source Remote UE1 needs to make sure the same SLRB index is configured to Relay UE and target Remote UE2. Then for source remote UE and relay UE, how to set the QoS flow ID and E2E bearer ID in SUI and obtain the bearer configuration for this E2E DRB in connected state is left to UE implementation, there is no limitation that Uu SLRB ID/QoS flow ID have to be the same as used in PC5 configuration, however, the Remote UE and Relay UE must maintain the association between the configuration received from Uu interface and the configuration received from PC5 connection for the same end-to-end DRB.

**Question 5: Does company agree to clarify that source remote UE needs to make sure the same SLRB index is configured to Relay UE and target Remote UE. The relay UE maintains the association between the SLRB ID/index reporting to network and the E2E SLRB indicated in QoS flow to SLRB mapping received from source remote UE as proposed by H064?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

O428 points out that in the current RRC specification, the IE SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex is numbered by gNB rather than UE, so it’s not suitable to be reported by Relay UE, thus propose to introduce a new ID to replace it. The rapporteur understands the intention, but tend to think a easier way is to modify the IE description to allow UE to use this IE but not to create a duplicated IE.

**Question 6: Does company agree to clarify that IE SLRB-Uu-ConfigIndex can be reported by Relay UE instead of introducing a new duplicated IE to address the issue mentioned in O428?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

##### Step 5b: Relay UE->Remote UE2: *RRCReconfigurationSidelink->* sl-RLC-ConfigPC5+ sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5

RRCReconfigurationSidelink-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-ConfigToAddModList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-Config-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

slrb-ConfigToReleaseList-r16 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofSLRB-r16)) OF SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need N

…

}

SLRB-Config-r16::= SEQUENCE {

slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,

sl-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-SDAP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-PDCP-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 SL-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M

...

}

### 2.2 Local ID release

As mentioned by A619, in current CR, the local ID release is enabled from asn.1 and procedural point of view, but it is not clear when to trigger this. This issue was discussed in R2-2400950 and R2-2400412. Both contributions think the local ID only needs to be released upon E2E failure and E2E release. The difference seems to be whether the Relay UE initiate release procedure to let Remote UE to release the local ID or let Relay UE and Remote UEs release the local ID locally without the explicit procedure.

**Question 7a: Which option is preferred, explicit release or local release of the local ID upon E2E failure/release?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Explicit release or local release** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 7b: Besides E2E failure/release, are there any other triggers of local ID release?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 2.1.3 U2U discovery

The most difficult discussion in last RAN meeting is whether to introduce a L3 specific indication in SIB12 to let L3 Remote/Relay UE know the network supports L3 discovery. Majority companies support this indication. And to make progress, some companies come up with a compromised solution, i.e. making the discovery configuration in SIB12 as an implicit indication of L3 discovery.

**Question 8: For L3 U2U discovery indication in SIB12, which option is preferred?**

* **Option1: an explicit indication of L3 discovery**
* **Option2: present of U2U discovery configuration means support of L3 U2U discovery, otherwise, it means no support of L3 U2U discovery.**
* **Option3: others**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For U2U discovery, another issue is how to differentiate U2U Remote/relay UE from U2N Remote/Relay UE. This is because for U2U discovery resource request in SUI, we reused Rel-17 signalling, then the network can not know the request is for U2U or U2N, so it cannot check the correct UE authorization information and cannot manage the radio resource for the correct service type. This issue was raised by Nokia during CR discussion, and O419 provide two options in R2-2400639.

Option-1: Introduce new list for R18 U2U Relay discovery transmission report.

|  |
| --- |
| SL-TxResourceReqDisc-v1800::= SEQUENCE {  sl-DestinationIdentityDisc-v1800 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,  sl-CastTypeDisc-v1800 ENUMERATED {broadcast, groupcast, unicast, spare1},  sl-TxInterestedFreqListDisc-v1800 SL-TxInterestedFreqList-r16,  sl-TypeTxSyncListDisc-v1800 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofFreqSL-r16)) OF SL-TypeTxSync-r16,  sl-DiscoveryType-v1800 ENUMERATED {L2-U2Urelay-r18, L3-U2Urelay-r18},  ...  } |

Option-2: Reuse the old list with an addition indication on discovery type as L2/L3 U2U Relay discovery indication, and further clarify the use of sl-DiscoveryType-r17, i.e., if ‘*relay*’ is indicated in sl-DiscoveryType-r17 and sl-DiscoveryType-v1800 is present, the requested discovery resource is for U2U Relay:

|  |
| --- |
| SL-TxResourceReqDisc-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-DestinationIdentityDisc-r17 SL-DestinationIdentity-r16,  sl-SourceIdentityRelayUE-r17 SL-SourceIdentity-r17 OPTIONAL,  sl-CastTypeDisc-r17 ENUMERATED {broadcast, groupcast, unicast, spare1},  sl-TxInterestedFreqListDisc-r17 SL-TxInterestedFreqList-r16,  sl-TypeTxSyncListDisc-r17 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxNrofFreqSL-r16)) OF SL-TypeTxSync-r16,  sl-DiscoveryType-r17 ENUMERATED {relay, non-Relay},  ...,  [[  sl-DiscoveryType-v18xy ENUMERATED {L2-U2U-r18, L3-U2U-r18},  ]]  } |

**Question 9: To differentiate the SUI is for U2U discovery or U2N discovery, which option for O419 is preferred?**

* **Option1: introduce a new list for R18 U2U Relay discovery Tx resource request in SUI, including L2/L3 relay/remote UE indication like for R17 U2N.**
* **Option2: reuse the existing U2N list with new indications for L2/L3 U2U relay/remote UE.**
* **Option3: others**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 MP

### 2.2.1 N3C MP

As confirmed by the R2#124 agreement, the UAI is used to report N3C candidate relay UEs in current specification.

|  |
| --- |
| For scenario 2, the remote UE reports C-RNTI(s) of candidate relay UE(s) to gNB via the existing UEAssistanceInformation message for indirect path addition/change. |

The procedural text of otherConfig for UAI reporting is missing, and H659 propose to add the procedural text. But this RIL was flagged, and some other alternatives are provided in R2-2400426. The intention is to reduce the measurement delay by including N3C support in RRCSetup or system information.

The rapporteur understands the intention and proposed solution is quite like EMR, for which there are also new indication (i.e. *idleModeMeasurementsNR*) is included in SIB1. In this case, the rapporteur would like check company views on whether to have the similar SIB indication for N3C relay measurement.

**Question 10: Do companies agree to add a new indication in SIB for support of N3C MP?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Another left issue for N3C MP is that whether to add N3C indirect path addition/change failure reporting procedure. Please note this does not require new signalling considering the failure reporting message is applicable to both of SL MP and N3C MP, the additional work is only to add some procedural text.

**Question 11: Do companies agree to add procedural text for N3C indirect path addition/change failure as proposed by C234, C235? (No asn.1 impact)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.2.2 s-MeasureConfig handling for SL relay measurement

Since Rel-17, it was agreed that s-MeasureConfig is not applicable to relay related measurement events. However, as indicated by J062, there is no procedural text to explain whether this is achieved by network, i.e. not providing configuration or let UE handle the configuration if any.

R2-2401211 provides two options:

* Option 1: left to gNB’s implementation, e.g. not configure s-MeasureConfig in relay operation, and perform fullConfig to remove s-MeasureConfig if configured in non-relay operation;
* Option 2: if the UE is acting as a L2 U2N Remote UE, it doesn’t follow s-MeasConfig.

**Question 12: For s-MeasConfig issue raised by J062, which option is preferred?**

* **Option1: left to gNB’s implementation, e.g. not configure s-MeasureConfig in relay operation, and perform fullConfig to remove s-MeasureConfig if configured in non-relay operation.**
* **Option2: specify UE behaviour, i.e. if the UE is acting as a L2 U2N Remote UE, it doesn’t follow s-MeasConfig.**
* **Option3: others**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Others

**Question 13: Any other issues need to be discussed?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

TBD