|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| Apple | 16.9.Y | Issue:" Carrier (re)selection may be performed and a new carrier configuration is reported to the RX UE when the TX UE detects carrier failure on a specific carrier, as specified in 3GPP TS 38.321 [x]."It is not clear what "a new carrier configuration is reported to the RX UE" means, and we generally don't say a configured is reported. Solution:According to below agreement in last RAN2 meeting:**Agreements on SL RLF**1. In TX UE, per carrier “carrier failure” is introduced. If “carrier failure” is declared for a carrier, the carrier should be removed/released. The carrier (re)selection can be triggered. For UC, this carrier can be released via PC5 RRC reconfiguration.

We suggest below change: " When the TX UE detects carrier failure on a specific carrier, carrier (re)selection may be performed and the concerned carrier can be released via PC5 RRC reconfiguration, as specified in 3GPP TS 38.321 [x]."[OPPO] Same view here | Carrier configuration is used in the agreement and elsewhere in the stage 2 text so prefer to keep this part consistent. Considering “reported to the RX UE”, I agree, and have changed this to “sent to the RX UE” instead. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 16.9.Y | Not clear this sentence "Carrier selection and logical channel prioritization is performed similar to groupcasat and broadcast among the carriers delivered in the carrier configuration" is for UC only or applies to all cast types. If for all cast types, can remove "similiar to groupcast and broadcast". If it is for UC, shall keep "For unicast", or merge this sentence with the previous sentence.  | Agree with suggestion. This sentence also applies to unicast |
| OPPO | 16.9.Y | Also for this sentenceCarrier selection and logical channel prioritization is performed similar to groupcasat and broadcast among the carriers delivered in the carrier configurationWhen combine LCP and the last part together, the meaning is unclear, it seems to say the LCP would be based on the carrier configured, but in fact LCP has to taken a lot of aspect into account (e.g., even the flow2carrier mapping thing is not so clear yet), how about remove the LCP part and leave it to stage-3? | Agreement:Proposal 7 For LCP, only allow the LCHs having a priority whose associated CBR threshold for reselection is no lower than the CBR of the carrier when the carrier is (re-)selected. FFS on how to determine the per-carrier CBR at least for GC/BC.FFS on unicast case. * The copied agreement for GC/BC is also applicable for UC. TX carrier reselection is done among the carriers that peer UE also supports.

Based on the above agreements, I don’t think the stage 2 description is incorrect, since CBR-based LCP procedure should still apply to unicast. The only difference is that the carriers considered should be limited to the configured carriers. |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.x.4 | and the responding UE can utilize a COT shared by a COT initiating UE based on matching the responding UE’s source/destination with the additional ID(s).suggest to revise to and the responding UE can utilize a COT shared by a COT initiating UE if the destination/source ID of the responding UE’s transmission match the source/destination indicated by the additional ID(s)Also wonder if need to have separate description for unicast and BC/GC for additional IDs.  | Agree with suggested revision, and will also separate the unicast case from GC/BC. |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.x.4 | In groupcast/broadcast, the responding UE’s destination ID should match the initiator’s destination ID.To align with unicast, suggest to change to “In groupcast/broadcast, the destination ID of the responding UE’s transmission should match the destination ID of the initiator UE’s transmission.” | Agree with the change. |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.Y | It is not clear what “carrier failure” refers to, suggest to add for which the HARQ based DTX counting reaching the maximum as specified in 3GPP TS 38.321 [x] | Suggest we leave the mention of HARQ-based DTX to stage 3 spec, and there we can refer to carrier failure. |
| Xiaomi | 16.9.Y | For a SL DRB, sidelink packet duplication is (pre)configured in the bearer configuration. The above sentence imply UE follows NW configuration to enable/disable PDCP duplication? Actually according to the agreement, for some cases, it is up to UE implementation. TX UE configures PDCP duplication to the RX UE for SRB and DRB should be captured in general.Also how to select carrier set should be more or less reflected?  | For the first issue, are you referring to the case of backward compatible service? In this case, there would be no duplication configured in the bearer configuration in the first place.For the second issue, I have included a sentence.For the third issue, this seems more stage 3 related.  |
| Qualcomm | 16.9.x.4 | " In addition, a COT initiating UE may transmit an additional pair of source/destination ID in either unicast, groupcast, or broadcast.”Suggest rewording as the follow.In addition, a COT initiating UE may transmit an additional pair of source ~~/~~and destination ID ~~in either~~ for unicast, or destination ID for groupcast, or broadcast.  | From RAN1 agreement, both source and destination ID is transmitted regardless of the cast type, so seems current text should be correct. |
| Qualcomm | 16.9.x.5 | “A UE using mode 2 resource allocation supports resource selection for multiple consecutive slot transmission (MCSt). A UE autonomously determines whether to use MCSt, and the number of consecutive slots in an MCSt up to the maximum COT duration for a specific CAPC defined in TS 37.213 [y].”Suggest rewording as the follow.A UE using mode 2 resource allocation supports resource selection for multiple consecutive slot transmission (MCSt). A UE autonomously determines whether to use MCSt, and the number of consecutive slots in an MCSt can be up to the maximum COT duration for a specific CAPC as defined in TS 37.213 [y].” | Ok with the second suggested change, but the first change seems unnecessary. |
| Qualcomm |  |  |  |