|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | Field description of sl-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig  Configures parameters used for detection of sidelink consistent ~~sidelink~~ LBT failures for operation with shared spectrum channel access, as specified in TS 38.321 [3] | done |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | FD of sl-FreqInfoToAddModListExt is added to sl-FreqInfoToReleaseList  Seems typo should be added after sl-FreqInfoToAddModList | corrected |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | FD of sl-FreqInfoToAddModList should clarify that  In this release, only one entry can be configured in sl-FreqInfoToAddModList  The sentence still applies to sl-FreqInfoToAddModList  Can not be directly deleted. | Note that this is for connected UE, I thought different from SIB/Preconfiguration, this dedicated configuration is provided only after acquiring UE capability, so no backwards compatibility concern and then no need to stick to the old restriction. |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | sl-FreqInfoToReleaseList  Do we need sl-FreqInfoToReleaseListExt? | No, since list size can be kept (as 8).  Note this extension follows R2 guidance of list extension in A4.3.6. |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | FD of sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseList, sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseListSizeExt  Should have similar sentence that “The UE shall consider entries in sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseList and sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseListSizeExt as a single list, i.e. an entry created using sl-RLC-BearerToAddModList and in sl-RLC-BearerToAddModListSizeExt  can be deleted using sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseList or sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseListSizeExt | I inherited the wording style from  ***controlResourceSetToAddModListSizeExt***  ***spatialRelationInfoToAddModListExt***  but indeed there is a similar sentence in  ***switchTriggerToReleaseListSizeExt***  so added as suggested. |
| Xiaomi | 6.3.5 | sl-PDCP-config  we need to have indication to enable PDCP duplication?  moreThanOneRLC SEQUENCE {  primaryPath SEQUENCE {  cellGroup CellGroupId OPTIONAL, -- Need R  logicalChannel LogicalChannelIdentity OPTIONAL -- Need R  },  ul-DataSplitThreshold UL-DataSplitThreshold OPTIONAL, -- Cond SplitBearer  pdcp-Duplication BOOLEAN OPTIONAL -- Need R  } OPTIONAL, -- Cond MoreThanOneRLC | But then would lead to another open issue that how to define a bearer which is split (two-legs) but without duplication? I thought it was not supported in LTE? |
| Ericsson | Cover page | The reason of change, and summary of changes need to be updated | done |
| Ericsson | 6.3.1 | In SIB 12, sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt-v18xy , Whether v18xy or r18 would depend on whether the new list contains the legacy carrier. if the new list contains the legacy carrier, the new list should use r18 as suffix, otherwise, use v18xy. Could rapp update the FD to reflect this? i.e., whether the new list contains the legacy carrier? | Thanks for this comment!  The extension method here follows the guidance in A.4.3.6, the intention is to extend the legacy list in a way of "only the size of the list is extended", as a NCE extension, v18xy should be used.  For the change in FD, after searching in 331, I failed to find similar part of "whether the new list contains the legacy carrier" in FD, so tends to not do it for this list specifically. Can wait for more comment from other companies. |
| Ericsson | 6.3.5 | ***sl-FreqInfoToAddModList, sl-FreqInfoToAddModListExt***  This field indicates the NR sidelink communication configuration on some carrier frequency (ies) to add and/or modify. If the network includes *sl-FreqInfoToAddModListExt*, it includes the same number of entries, and listed in the same order, as in *sl-FreqInfoToAddModList.*  “If the network includes *sl-FreqInfoToAddModListExt*, it includes the same number of entries, and listed in the same order, as in *sl-FreqInfoToAddModList”.*, where does this restriction text come from? Or in other words, is this restriction text really needed? | This is to follow the guidance of A4.3.6, where it is required that "The field description table should indicate that the parallel list contains the same number of entries, and in the same order, as the original list." |
| Ericsson | 6.3.5 | *SL-FreqSelectionConfig*  The IE *SL-FreqSelectionConfig* specifies the configuration information for carrier selection for NR sidelink transmission using UE autonomous resource selection.  ***SL-FreqSelectionConfig* information element**  -- ASN1START  SL-FreqSelectionConfig-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  priorityList-r18 SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..8)) OF SL-Priority-r18,  threshCBR-FreqReselection-r18 SL-CBR-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need R  threshCBR-FreqKeeping-r15 SL-CBR-r16 OPTIONAL -- Need R  }  SL-Priority-r18 ::= INTEGER (1..8)  -- ASN1STOP   1. All fields in this IE are better to be named as "sl-" 2. For the FD, Indicates the CBR threshold to determine A better wording suggestion, "based on which UE determines" | done |
| Ericsson | 6.3.5 | *SL-PDCP-Config*  The IE *SL*-*PDCP-Config* is used to set the configurable PDCP parameters for a sidelink radio bearer.  ***SL-PDCP-Config* information element**  -- ASN1START  -- TAG-SL-PDCP-CONFIG-START  SL-PDCP-Config-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-DiscardTimer-r16 ENUMERATED {ms3, ms10, ms20, ms25, ms30, ms40, ms50, ms60, ms75, ms100, ms150, ms200,  ms250, ms300, ms500, ms750, ms1500, infinity} OPTIONAL, -- Cond Setup  sl-PDCP-SN-Size-r16 ENUMERATED {len12bits, len18bits} OPTIONAL, -- Cond Setup2  sl-OutOfOrderDelivery ENUMERATED { true } OPTIONAL, -- Need R  ...,  [[  primaryPath SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r18 OPTIONAL -- Cond MoreThanOneRLC  ]]  The field needs to be named as "sl-PrimaryPath"? | done |
| Ericsson | 6.3.5 | ***sl-RLC-BearerConfigIndex***  The index of the RLC bearer configuration. If the field *sl-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-v18xy* is present, the UE shall ignore the *sl-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r16* field (without suffix).  The need code is Need s, UE actions needs to be defined if the field is absent | Following the guidance in 331, only "Field description should indicate that if the elementId-vNxy is present, the elementId (without suffix) is ignored" is needed to justify the need-S code. Please let me know if there is similar example in the legacy for the list ID extension that clarifying the UE action for need-S reason. And we can wait for other companies' view here |