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### 1 Introduction

This is the email report of [Post114-e][851] SONMDT:

* [Post114-e][851][SON/MDT] Procedures and Modeling of successful HO report (Huawei)

Scope：

Procedures for triggering of successful HO report

Modeling of successful HO report configuration and reporting

Use the current Rel-16 version (after Jun Plenary) as baseline to start discussing the ASN.1 changes required for different options

-Open issues figured out at this meeting

Intended outcome: Email discussion report

Deadline: Long

Please add company contact details into the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email Address** |
| Qualcomm | Rajeev Kumar | rkum@qti.qualcomm.com |
| OPPO | Liu yang | liuyangbj@oppo.com |
| Lenovo | Lianhai | Wulh5@lenovo.com |
| Samsung | Sangbum Kim | Sb07.kim@samsung.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Jun Chen | jun.chen@huawei.com |
| NEC | Wangda | wang\_da@nec.cn |
| Sharp | Ningjuan Chang | Ningjuan.chang@cn.sharp-world.com |
| CATT | Erlin Zeng | erlin.zeng@catt.cn |
| vivo | Wen-Ming | ming.wen@vivo.com |
| Ericsson | Marco Belleschi | Marco.belleschi@ericsson.com |
| Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Malgorzata Tomala | malgorzata.tomala@nokia.com |
| LGE | SangWon Kim | sangwon7.kim@lge.com |
| ZTE | Zhihong-QIU | qiu.zhihong@zte.com.cn |
|  |  |  |

### 2 Discussion

At RAN2#113b-e and RAN2#114, all agreements related to SHR are listed in section 4.

The scope of the email is:

* (a) Procedures
* (b) Modeling
* (c) Open issues
* (d) Potential ASN.1 changes

After checking the latest progress, open issues can be discussed in procedures and modeling. ASN.1 changes can be discussed later. So it is suggested to have two phases:

**Phase 1: progress on (a), (b) and (c). from 26 June to 29 July**

* **Expected outcome: agreeable proposals**
* **Related sections: 2.1, 2.2, 2.3**

**Phase 2: progress on (d). from 30 July to 6 Aug**

* **Expected outcome: potential changes**
* **Related sections: 6**

The open issues for SHR (from RAN2#114-e) are listed as below:

**RAN2#114-e:**

30 RAN2 to further discuss configuration aspects of T310/T312/T304 thresholds for SHR triggering conditions.

37 FFS whether to include in SHR the ra-InformationCommon of RA report.

33 No further SHR triggering conditions is considered at the moment.

Issue#30 is to be discussed in section 2.1, and issue#37 is to be discussed in section 2.3.

**RAN2#113b-e:**

3 The following radio related measurements are as part of the successful HO report:

a. Latest radio measurement results of the candidate target cells in the case of conditional HO. FFS best cell(s) should be included in.

Issue#3a is to be discussed in section 2.3.

#### 2.1 Procedures for triggering of successful HO report

Based on RAN2#113b-e and RAN2#114-e agreements, the triggering is as below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Triggering condition of SHR** |
| 1 | Upon exceeding thresholds on T310 |
| 2 | Upon exceeding thresholds on T312 |
| 3 | Upon exceeding thresholds on T304 |
| 4 | The UE does not log SHR if no triggering conditions are configured |

It is observed that 1/2/3 is triggering condition, and 4 is a general principle for not logging SHR. In TS 38.331 [4], definitions of T310/T312/T304 are listed as below:

t310 ENUMERATED {ms0, ms50, ms100, ms200, ms500, ms1000, ms2000, ms4000, ms6000},

T312-r16 ::= ENUMERATED { ms0, ms50, ms100, ms200, ms300, ms400, ms500, ms1000}

t304 ENUMERATED {ms50, ms100, ms150, ms200, ms500, ms1000, ms2000, ms10000},

For the open issue “30 RAN2 to further discuss configuration aspects of T310/T312/T304 thresholds for SHR triggering conditions.”, it can be seen that thresholds may need some discussions. There are 3 options:

* Option 1: Thresholds for T310/T312/T304 can be defined the same as existing values. For example, the thresholds for T310 are one of {ms0, ms50, ms100, ms200, ms500, ms1000, ms2000, ms4000, ms6000}
* Option 2: Thresholds for T310/T312/T304 can be defined and only some of existing values are used, and FFS on specific values. For example, the thresholds for T310 are one of {ms100, ms1000}
* Option 3: Defines new values for Thresholds for T310/T312/T304 (which are not listed in existing values), or mix of existing values and new values. For example, the thresholds for T310 are one of {ms100, ms1000, ms5000}, ms100 and ms1000 are from existing definitions and ms5000 is a new value

**Question 1: Regarding configuration aspects of T310/T312/T304, which option is preferred?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred option** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Option 3 | In our understanding, the threshold values should be smaller than the t310, t312, or t304 values. To us, it is still not clear what values should be considered critical for the success/failure of a handover procedure. For example, if the t310 timer is set as 100 ms what should be set as a threshold value for SHR? If we set the threshold quite low, we would be generating quite a lot of unnecessary SHR report. On the contrary, if we set quite high, we might miss the required enhancements. The network can implement binary search to set an appropriate threshold value. |
| OPPO | Option 2 | We agree with Qualcomm that if the timer value is set too low ,it may end up with unnecessarily lots of SHR report. In our opinion, some of the existing values, especially the large ones for the T310/T312/T304 to be reserved for SHR are enough. |
| Lenovo | Option 3 | If T310 value is 100ms, the threshold should be less than 100ms. If option1 or option2 is applied, the value should be 50ms. However, the possible value could be 60ms or 80ms. Therefore, option 3 could be better. |
| Sasmung | Option 3 | Share with Qualcomm, Oppo, Lenovo’s view. We have assumed FFS on the new values, and which existing value(s) will be excluded. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Option 3 | Option 3 is more flexible than Option 1 and Option 2. For new values, one way may be as below:   * For the existing values, the thresholds can be 80%, 60%, and 40% of the values. The network can select one from all possible values * For t310, the thresholds are: ms50 -> ms40, ms30, ms20. Ms100 -> ms80, ms60, ms40. Ms200 -> ms160, ms120, ms80, and etc * The total thresholds for t310 are 24 values (8\*3) |
| NEC | Option 3 | Thressholds smaller than existing T310 values are required. |
| Sharp | Option 3 | Share Qualcomm’s view. |
| CATT | Option 3 | As mentioned by Huawei, except for configuring the actual threshlds according to 80%, 60%, and 40% to the UE, the network also can configure the percentage (e.g. 80%, 60%, and 40%) directly to the UE and the UE will get to know the actual threshold. |
| vivo | Option 3 | Share similar view with HW’s solution, and agree with CATT that one simpler way to achieve the same purpose could be as follows:   * Define a series of fractions in a new IE, such as *Threshold\_SHR* = {80%, 60%, 40%}; * NW would configure a specific T310 and a specific *Threshold\_SHR* to UE, e.g., T310 = ms50, *Threshold\_SHR* = 80%, then the real threshold for creating the SHR is 50\*80% = 40ms; * In this manner, we only need to define A NEW IE to enable the different threshold of creating SHR under T310/T312/T304, the total bits are restricted from 24 to 3. * Further, the IE *Threshold\_SHR* could be varied for different timers or could be used as a common threshold for T310/T312/T304. |
| Ericsson | Option 3 | Option 3 gives more flexibility. The network will make sure to not configure “unreasonable” values that may generate too many SHR reports, and hence cause overhead. |
| Nokia | Option 1 | The available values are configurable choices for the network. Which value is chosen fo the concrete UE/HO, will depend on individual settings and scenario in the network.  Threshold for ‘generating’ the SHO should be always the point in time when the UE experience the actual configured value elapsed. Since it will be very tiny window in the overall processing, we believe definition of the thresholds and additional time instances leads tp over-engineering. |
| LGE | Option 3 | Option 3 is more flexible than Option 1 and Option 2. There is no reason to restrict the thresholds to the existing values. |
| ZTE | Option 3 | New values to be discussed can be ffs. |

Summary:

Option 1: 1

Option 2: 1

Option 3: 11

The majority of companies prefer Option 3, and threshold values can be explicit values or percentages, e.g. {value1, value2, value3}, {40%, 60%, 80%}. The percentages can be varied for different timers (T310/T312/T304), or a common value.

**[Agreeable] Proposal 1: Defines new values or mix of existing values and new values for the threshold, and explicit values or percentages are candidate solutions.**

**Question 2: For procedures, if anything is missing or needs to be discussed here, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### 2.2 Modeling of successful HO report configuration and reporting

Based on RAN2#114-e agreements, the modeling is as below:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Step** | **Direction** | **Behaviours** |
| 1 | NW->UE | SHR configuration |
| 2 | UE | When a successful HO happens (legacy HO, CHO, DAPS) and triggering condition of SHR is met, the UE stores SHR related info in its variable varSuccHOReport. The UE only stores the latest SHR entry |
| 3 | UE->NW | Indicate the availability of SHR report in each RRC completed message |
| 4 | NW->UE | Network requests UE to send SHR report |
| 5 | UE->NW | UEInformationReponse (include SHR report) |

The modeling of SHR is near complete, and some details may need to be discussed here.

**(1) About the SHR configuration**

For Step 1, it can be discussed which network node can configure the SHR configuration, e.g. the source cell, or the target cell (as part of the handover command), or both. This step could be even before NW->UE but could also be part of the NW->UE step.

From email rapporteur’s point of view, so far only the thresholds of T310/T312/T304 have been identified as the SHR configuration.

**Question 3: Which network node can configure the SHR configuration?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Source, Target, or both** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Source | All the threshold timer values are associated with the source cell; therefore, the source should configure the SHR configuration. |
| OPPO | Source | The purpose of the SHR reporting is to help the source gNB for tuning the HO related parameter setting. Of course, it should be the source gNB deciding whether or not SHR is needed. |
| Lenovo | Source for T310 and T312.  Target for T304 | The threshold associated with T310 and T312 can be configured by source since both T310 and T312 are configured by source.  T304 is configured by target. If source decides the threshold, source needs to ‘read’ the RRC configuration provided by target. |
| Samsung | Source | We have assumed that the source configures SHR, but any coordination with the target may be required. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Source | The source cell should be responsible for configuring the SHR for the UE. |
| NEC | Source | SHR is useful for the source gNB to check and enhance the imperfect triggering timing or configuration for one successful handover event. In this sense, whether to perform successful handover report should be configured by the source gNB. |
| Sharp | both | It is the source cell that initiates the handover procedure, to facilitate the source to collect the SHR for handover parameter optimization, source should be allowed to configure the SHR configuration.  If T304 is configured in SHR configuration, maybe it is target that configures it, as only target knows the T304 configuration in handover command. |
| CATT | Source | The source cell makes decision for the handover and the SHR will be retrieved to source cell for handover optimization. As mentioned by companies, the source cell may need to get the actual T304 value from the target cell for T304 threshold configuration. |
| vivo | Source | We think SHR is used by the source node to optimize the relevant parameters, such as the threshold to trigger HO. Besides, SHR will be finally delieverd to source node instead of being used by target node, so we believe the SHR configuration should also be initiated by source node.  If the percentage/fraction IE is used to indicate the threshold of different timers, then source dose not necessarily need to know the exact value of T304, source node only needs to select one of the percentage from the candidate values. |
| Ericsson | Source, but target should be involved | The source is responsible for the SHR configuration, but the target should be somehow involved on this. The target may want to set another triggering condition (e.g. T304) and also the source may be interested in knowing whether the target can perform the fetch of the SHR, before configuring it. We suggest involving RAN3 on this discussion. |
| Nokia | Source | The configuration should contain triggering conditions themselves (e..g which timer). |
| LGE | Source | The source can know the T304 value though the value is decided by target. The thresholds should be configured by source for all cases. |
| ZTE | Source | UE only receives SHR configuration from source, whether coordination is needed between source and target can be ffs and kind of depends on how the threshold is configured. For example, if percentage is used, than source might not need to know the actual value of T304. |

Summary:

Source: 10

Source+Target: 3

All companies agree that the thresholds for T310/T312 are configured by the source cell.

For T304, some companies think that T304 is configured by the target cell, so the target cell should be involved even if the source configures the threshold. Some companies think that if percentage is used for indicating thresholds, source might not need to know the actual value of T304.

**[Agreeable] Proposal 2: For the thresholds of T310/T312 in the source cell, the source cell configures the values.**

**[For discussion] Proposal 1: For the threshold of T304, the source cell configures the value, and whether co-ordination between source and target on T304 configuration is to be decided (if so, co-ordination between RAN2 and RAN3 is needed).**

**(2) UE capability**

For this feature SHR, it seems that a UE capability is needed because it needs some UE efforts to implement it.

**Question 4: Need of UE capability of SHR?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
| OPPO | Yes | Supporting SHR reporting should not be a mandatory requirement. |
| Lenovo | Yes | Agree with rapporteur. |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes |  |
| NEC | Yes |  |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| vivo | Yes |  |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| Nokia | No | Ideally, UE should be capable of generating SHR as RLFreport |
| LGE | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes |  |

Summary:

Yes: 12

No: 1

**[Agreeable] Proposal 3: Introduce a UE capability indication for SHR.**

**(3) Validity period**

RAN2 agreed the following:

40 The UE includes the availability of successful HO report to NW in each completed message send in RRC procedure, i.e., RRCReconfigurationComplete, RRCReestablishmentComplete, RRCSetupComplete, RRCResumeComplete message if it has available successful HO report to be reported.

For RLF report, TS 38.331 defines that:

The UE may discard the radio link failure information or handover failure information, i.e. release the UE variable *VarRLF-Report*, 48 hours after the radio link failure/handover failure is detected.

After UE indicating the availability of SHR via UL messages, the network may or may request the UE to report the SHR. If requested, the UE should immediately send the SHR; otherwise, it is FFS whether the UE should keep it and how long the SHR will be stored.

**Question 5: Whether the UE needs to store SHR for a period (e.g. 48 hours) between when the UE generate a SHR and it is not requested by the network?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | May be | UE overwrites the old SHR if a new SHR is generated. |
| OPPO | Maybe |  |
| Lenovo | Yes | In the case of too early handover, UE may store SHR first. Then, UE stores the rlf-report once RLF on target cell. In this case, UE can release SHR and only report rlf-report.  If SHR is not released e.g in the case of too early handover, a period e.g. 48 hours is needed. |
| Samsung | Yes | It is preferable to have a consistency with the existing reporting mechanism. UE should be able to discard the content if it has not been requested for too long time. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yes | We see some benefits for the UE to store SHR for a period. There may be some reasons for the netowrk to not immediately request the SHR from the UE, but the UE’s SHR may be still useful and then the network may request it later.  For example, the UE initially stays in Cell 1, and receives the SHR configuration from Cell 1. When the UE performs HO from Cell 1 to Cell 2, it should store the SHR report in its variable (if the network has not requested the report and there is no new SHR) for a period, and later the report may be requested again by the network. |
| NEC | Yes | If the SHR is not request by the network immediately, it shall be stored for a while before discarded. |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes | That the UE stores SHR for a time period seems useful, and similar period as RLF report can be considered. |
| vivo | Maybe with a shorter time period | SHR is NOT as important as the other types of reports, in case the NW does not request the SHR immediately, our preference would be to discard SHR, or at least to store the SHR for less than 48 hours (such as 12 or 24 hours). |
| Ericsson | Yes | We suggest keeping the same as for the RLF-Report, RA-Report and other logged reports. |
| Nokia | Yes | Same behaviour as with RLFreport |
| LGE | Yes | It seems reasonable to have the same handling as RLF report. |
| ZTE | Yes | An outdated SHR report is useless for NW. |

Summary:

Maybe: 3

Yes: 10

Most of companies say Yes to Q5 and prefer to have the same behaviour as with RLF report, so the following proposal is made:

**[Agreeable] Proposal 4: The UE may discard the SHR, i.e. release the UE variable VarSuccHO-Report, 48 hours after the SHR is stored.**

**Question 6: For modeling, if anything is missing or needs to be discussed here, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No |
| Nokia | A similar behaviour as thet of the RLFreport would make most sense |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### 2.3 Open issues

This section mainly discusses the following open issues.

37 FFS whether to include in SHR the ra-InformationCommon of RA report.

In TS 38.331 [4], ASN.1 definitions of ra-InformationCommon are listed as below:

|  |
| --- |
| RA-Report-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  cellId-r16 CHOICE {  cellGlobalId-r16 CGI-Info-Logging-r16,  pci-arfcn-r16 SEQUENCE {  physCellId-r16 PhysCellId,  carrierFreq-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR  }  },  ra-InformationCommon-r16 RA-InformationCommon-r16 OPTIONAL,  raPurpose-r16 ENUMERATED {accessRelated, beamFailureRecovery, reconfigurationWithSync, ulUnSynchronized,  schedulingRequestFailure, noPUCCHResourceAvailable, requestForOtherSI,  spare9, spare8, spare7, spare6, spare5, spare4, spare3, spare2, spare1},  ...  }  RA-InformationCommon-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  absoluteFrequencyPointA-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR,  locationAndBandwidth-r16 INTEGER (0..37949),  subcarrierSpacing-r16 SubcarrierSpacing,  msg1-FrequencyStart-r16 INTEGER (0..maxNrofPhysicalResourceBlocks-1) OPTIONAL,  msg1-FrequencyStartCFRA-r16 INTEGER (0..maxNrofPhysicalResourceBlocks-1) OPTIONAL,  msg1-SubcarrierSpacing-r16 SubcarrierSpacing OPTIONAL,  msg1-SubcarrierSpacingCFRA-r16 SubcarrierSpacing OPTIONAL,  msg1-FDM-r16 ENUMERATED {one, two, four, eight} OPTIONAL,  msg1-FDMCFRA-r16 ENUMERATED {one, two, four, eight} OPTIONAL,  perRAInfoList-r16 PerRAInfoList-r16,  ...  } |

**Question 7: Whether the SHR should include** **the ra-InformationCommon of RA report?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | May be |  |
| OPPO | Under specific conditions | ra-InformationCommon is very big. It should be only included in the SHR under certain conditions to save the air-interface resource and memory space of UE, for example, RACH problems have been encounter by the UE. Further details on RACH problem description could be discussed, such as improper dedicated RACH resource was configured so that UE has to perform CBRA on other beam, etc. |
| Lenovo | Depending on trigger condition | According to the current trigger condition associated with T310/T312/T304, ra-InformationCommon of RA report is not needed.  If the new condition associated with RA problem, e.g The number of preamble attempt in target cell is greater than one threshold, RA information can be reported. |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Depends | We think that ra-InformationCommon is useful but we have the following concerns:   * It is useful only for some cases, e.g. related to T304 threshold * The information size should be considered. Otherwise, if ra info is included in every SHR report, the uplink overhead issue may be significant   Our suggestions are as below:   * If ra-InformationCommon is to be included, there should be some conditions * One condition is that the info is only related to T304, i.e. the UE includes the ra info only when T304 threshold is configured and triggered * Another condition is similar as Lenovo’s comments, e.g. consider the number of preamble attempt in target cell |
| NEC | Mybe No | Currently, the triggering conditions of SHR do not include RA problem related. And the target cell can obtain RA performance of HO by existing RA-report already. So, it is better to avoid features with similar functionally. |
| Sharp | Maybe no. | Not clear about the benefit, current RA-report seems enough from RA optimization. |
| CATT | Depends | We agree that whether to included the ra-InformationCommon in SHR depends on the trigger condition. |
| vivo | Maybe no. | Agree with Sharp and NEC that RA-repot is sufficient. |
| Ericsson | Yes | The RA-InformationCommon would provide more information to the network to understand the root cause of the problem when performing the HO. It is true that the network may also receive at some point the RA-Report, but it will not be possible for the network to correlate the information in the legacy RA-Report with the SHR event. |
| Nokia | No | If the point of SHR report is to capture information related to RA issues, network can retrieve RA Report separately from the UE. It is unclear why ra-InformationCOmmon needs to be duplicated in the SHR. |
| LGE | No strong view, but | If the ra-InformationCommon needs to be included in the SHR, it should not depend on the triggring condition. |
| ZTE | Yes | RA information can help NW to know if the RA configuration is appropriate, which is beneficial to be included. Some may argue that RA report will also contain the ra-InformationCommon, but since NW might not always immediately request SHR and the RA report might includes new RA entries, therefore NW cannot link the RA information included with corresponding SHR. Therefore it is prefer to include the ra-InformationCommon in SHR directly. Another alternatives would be to include timeStamp in both SHR and RA report. If we can agree on the timeStamp, then ra-InformationCommon might not be needed in SHR. |

Summary:

Maybe: 2

Yes/Depends on trigger condition: 7

No: 4

7 companies are supportive, and some companies prefer to have new conditions for including ra info in the SHR in order to limit the uplink signalling overhead. 4 companies say No, because network can retrieve RA report separately from the UE and duplication reporting should be avoided. 1 company indicates that the network may also receive RA report but it will not be possible for the network to correlate the information in the legacy RA-Report with the SHR event.

**[For discussion] Proposal 2: It is proposed to discuss the need of including the ra-InformationCommon of RA report (on top of existing RA report mechanism).**

For the content of SHR, so far the agreements are as below:

34 The UE indicates in the SHR which triggering conditions for generating the SHR were fulfilled, e.g. flag for T310, T304, T312 indications.

35 Include in the SHR, the latest radio link quality of neighbour cells before HO execution for all HO types.

36 For location config/reports for SHR, location info for RLF report can be reused.

42 The UE only stores the latest SHR entry.

3 The following radio related measurements are as part of the successful HO report:

a. Latest radio measurement results of the candidate target cells in the case of conditional HO. FFS best cell(s) should be included in.

b. Flag to indicate RLF issues in source cell during DAPS HO

4 The following time-related measurements are as part of the successful HO report:

a. Time elapsed between the CHO execution towards the target cell and the corresponding latest CHO configuration received for the selected target cell

5 Location information is included as part of the successful HO report.

Agreements:

Contents of the HO success report:

The source cell and target cell related identifiers and measurements are to be included in the successful HO report.

~~And then the content of SHR is summarized as below:~~

* ~~Souce cell ID~~
* ~~Target cell ID~~
* ~~Triggering conditions (e.g. flags)~~
* ~~Latest RL quality of neighbour cells for conventional HO. For CHO, latst measurements of candidate target cells. For DAPS, a flag for indicating RLF issues in source cell~~
* ~~Time period between CHO configuration and CHO execution~~
* ~~Location info~~

For above RAN2 agreements, one FFS is about “FFS best cell(s) should be included in”, so it is proposed to collect companies’ opinions on it.

**Question 8: For CHO, whether the SHR should include the best cell(s)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | May be |  |
| OPPO | Maybe |  |
| Lenovo | No | If CHO candidate cell is not suitable, the CHO failue will happen. Then, UE will report something to network using rlf-repport. |
| Samsung | Yes | We do not see any consistency with other reporting mechanisms at all. For all HO types, we would like to keep the current model to typically log the measurement results for consistency, i.e. N best cells.  For CHO, as agreed in the RLF Report of CHO failure, we can introduce  *Indication of whether a measured neighbour cell included in the existing measResultNeighCells was a CHO candidate cell or not.* |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | No | If the best cell(s) are in the candidate target cells, there is no need to include the best cell(s) information.  If the best cell(s) are not in the candidate target cells, there may be the following possibilities:   * If there is no CHO failure, the SHR report is sufficient * If there is CHO failure, the UE will log such info in its RLF report, and then the network will know the problem   In general, we do not see the need to include the best cell(s) in the SHR. |
| NEC | No strong view |  |
| Sharp |  | We don’t have strong view. But maybe measurement of candidate cells is enough for CHO. |
| CATT | Maybe |  |
| vivo | No | Agree with Huawei. |
| Ericsson | No | At last RAN2#114 meeting, we have agreed “Include in the SHR, the latest radio link quality of neighbour cells before HO execution for all HO types”. Hence, all the information needed seems to be already in place. |
| Nokia | Maybe | SHR could include same content as measResultNeighCells in RLFreport: 8 best cells, mix of candidate and non-candidate cells |
| LGE | No | Measurements of candidate target cells seem enough. |
| ZTE | Yes, and | In our understanding there are two-folds of meaning to includes the candidate cell measurements. One is to identify good candidates to be configured for CHO in the future (which might not be one of candidate cells), another is to know whether previous configured CHO candidate cells are appropriate or not. To achieve above purpose, it is suggested to extending the neighboring cell measurements with one indication to indicate whether the included neighboring cell is a candidate cell or not. |

Summary:

Maybe: 5

Yes: 2

No: 5

There are few supports and some concerns from companies, so the SHR does not include the best cell(s).

**Question 9: For open issues, if anything is missing or needs to be discussed here, please provide your comments.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Lenovo | According to the current agreement, the trigger condition for SHR report is ‘exceeding thresholds on T310/T312/T304’which is associated with the source cell. The above conditions for T310 and T312 are used to optimize the mobility from source link point of view. However, we did not optimize the successful HO from target point of view. For example, we need to discuss another successful HO case that T310/T312 in target cell is started after a short time of successful HO. In this case, the HO is a little early. |
| Ericsson | We agree that the above problem raised by Lenovo might deserve some discussion. The SHR configuration configured by the source node will be released at HO completion. This implies that in case the UE is handed-over back by the target node to the source node, e.g due to ping-pong effects, the UE may not have anymore a valid SHR configuration to log the possible successful handover performed back towards the source cell.  As also mentioned in Q3 some level of coordination between source and target might be needed prior the SHR configuration. RAN3 should be involved for that. |
| Nokia | In case the are configurable thresholds, it may make more sense to include the actual values of elapsed T310/312/304.  For ‘Time period between CHO configuration and CHO execution’, it would need to be clarified what the timer refers to: initial failure in case of CHO failure or time between CHO configuration and CHO recovery |
| Ericsson2 | As highlighted in our contribution R2-2106025, submitted at last meeting, RAN2 should discuss how to deal with scenarios in which the UE generates both an RLF report and an SHR for the same HO. This can happen for example in case the UE successfully completes an HO to a target cell (upon which it generates an SHR), and slightly after an early RLF is detected in the target (upon which it generates an RLF-Report). |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Summary:

For Nokia’s comment for ‘Time period between CHO configuration and CHO execution’, we think the time point of CHO execution should be clear. In case that the UE suffers initial CHO failure and succeeds in CHO recovery, the UE only performs CHO execution once.

For other comments, the following proposals are made for further RAN2 discussions.

**[For discussion] Proposal 3: It is proposed to discuss another successful HO case that T310/T312 in target cell is started after a short time of successful HO, e.g. early HO.**

**[For discussion] Proposal 4: It is proposed to discuss whether the SHR can include the actual values of elapsed T310/T312/T304.**

**[For discussion] Proposal 5: It is proposed to discuss how to deal with scenarios in which the UE generates both an RLF report and an SHR for the same HO.**

### 3 Conclusion

Based on discussions in sections, the summary proposals are as below:

Agreeable proposals:

**Proposal 1: Defines new values or mix of existing values and new values for the threshold, and explicit values or percentages are candidate solutions.**

**Proposal 2: For the thresholds of T310/T312 in the source cell, the source cell configures the values.**

**Proposal 3: Introduce a UE capability indication for SHR.**

**Proposal 4: The UE may discard the SHR, i.e. release the UE variable VarSuccHO-Report, 48 hours after the SHR is stored.**

Proposals for discussion:

**Proposal 1: For the threshold of T304, the source cell configures the value, and whether co-ordination between source and target on T304 configuration is to be decided (if so, co-ordination between RAN2 and RAN3 is needed).**

**Proposal 2: It is proposed to discuss the need of including the ra-InformationCommon of RA report (on top of existing RA report mechanism).**

**Proposal 3: It is proposed to discuss another successful HO case that T310/T312 in target cell is started after a short time of successful HO, e.g. early HO.**

**Proposal 4: It is proposed to discuss whether the SHR can include the actual values of elapsed T310/T312/T304.**

**Proposal 5: It is proposed to discuss how to deal with scenarios in which the UE generates both an RLF report and an SHR for the same HO.**
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### 5 Agreements made in RAN2#113-e, RAN2#113b-e and RAN2#114-e

**Agreements:**

At RAN2#114-e:

Agreements:

31 The UE does not log SHR if no triggering conditions are configured.

32 The UE generates Successful HO report upon exceeding thresholds on T310, T312 and T304 exceed also for CHO case (in addition to regular HO)

34 The UE indicates in the SHR which triggering conditions for generating the SHR were fulfilled, e.g. flag for T310, T304, T312 indications.

35 Include in the SHR, the latest radio link quality of neighbour cells before HO execution for all HO types.

36 For location config/reports for SHR, location info for RLF report can be reused.

38 UE logs successful HO report in case prior configuration is received for successful HO report (interested trigger and corresponding configuration), otherwise UE doesn’t store successful HO report.

39 The varSuccHOReport is introduced to store the parameters for successful HO report.

40 The UE includes the availability of successful HO report to NW in each completed message send in RRC procedure, i.e., RRCReconfigurationComplete, RRCReestablishmentComplete, RRCSetupComplete, RRCResumeComplete message if it has available successful HO report to be reported.

41 UEInformationRequest/UEInformationResponse message is used for successful HO report request and report.

42 The UE only stores the latest SHR entry.

43 The SHR scenario 3b, i.e. “Successful HO completion, but RLF in source during DAPS HO” is part of the SHR.

44 The SHR scenario 2c, i.e. “Successful CHO recovery while initial failure” is part of the RLF-Report.

At RAN2#113b-e:

4 At least the following triggering conditions are applied for generating an HO Success Report in the case that the HO succeeds:

a. The UE logs the HO success report if, while doing HO, T310 value exceeds a threshold

b. The UE logs the HO success report if, while doing HO, T312 value exceeds a threshold

c. The UE logs the HO success report if, while doing HO, T304 exceeds a threshold

d. In case of DAPS, if the UE gets an RLF in the source while doing DAPS.

Agreements:

1 RAN2 to focus on the following scenarios for HO Success Report:

a. Scenario 1 (ordinary HO): 1a, 1b

b. Scenario 2 (CHO): 2a, 2b

c. Scenario 3 (DAPS): 3a

2 RAN2 for further discuss whether the following scenarios should be considered under the RLF report or under the HO success report:

a. Scenario 2c

b. Scenario 3b

3 The following radio related measurements are as part of the successful HO report:

a. Latest radio measurement results of the candidate target cells in the case of conditional HO. FFS best cell(s) should be included in.

b. Flag to indicate RLF issues in source cell during DAPS HO

4 The following time-related measurements are as part of the successful HO report:

a. Time elapsed between the CHO execution towards the target cell and the corresponding latest CHO configuration received for the selected target cell

5 Location information is included as part of the successful HO report.

At RAN2#113-e:

Agreements:

Contents of the HO success report:

The source cell and target cell related identifiers and measurements are to be included in the successful HO report.

### 6 Draft changes

Draft changes are made based on the latest TS 38.331 v16.5.0, and the formats of changes are not fully following the 3GPP definitions (can be further improved in running CRs later).

#### 6.1 Procedural text

Main changes are:

1) UE behaviours about adding SuccHO informaton its variable VarSuccHO-Report, i.e. triggering conditions, store the successful handover information (including location information)

2) Indicate succHO-InfoAvailable in each completed message send in RRC procedure, i.e., RRCReconfigurationComplete, RRCReestablishmentComplete, RRCSetupComplete, RRCResumeComplete message if it has available successful HO report to be reported

3) In UE information procedure, the UE set the SuccHO informaton in the UEInformationResponse message if it receives succho-ReportReq

**Based on the above changes for procedural text, please provide your comments or suggestions if any.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | If more agreeable proposals are to be agreed, there may be more impacts, e.g. ” Proposal 4: The UE may discard the SHR, i.e. release the UE variable VarSuccHO-Report, 48 hours after the SHR is stored.” |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

#### 6.2 ASN.1 definition

**Based on the following ASN.1 changes (from 6.2.1 to 6.2.4), please provide your comments or suggestions if any.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| vivo | An alternative, in addition to Alt1 and Alt2, to configure SuccHO Report is provided as an example in Alt2a (a common set of percentages + a flag indicating the corresponding event).  Pros: Less signalling overhead as only a common set of percentages is used (instead of three sets). Assume there are 10 candidate percentages for the threshold field:   * + - * Alt2: each field requires 4 bits, thus a total of 4\*3 = 12 bits are needed;       * Alt2a: the threshold field requires 4 bits, and 3 additional bits to represent the flags, thus a total of 7 bits are needed.       * More candidate percentages are used, less signalling overhead can be foreseen with Alt2a.   Cons: Same threshold ratio is used for different triggering events, lack of flexibility.   * + - * But this may not be a significant issue if ultimately percentages are adopted by RAN2, in that the percentage only represents the ratio rather than the exact value of the threshold, which is more adaptive with different timers.   For instance, even though all three timers share the same threshold ratio value, e.g., 80%, there will be different value for the threshold in respective case. We doubt that the threshold ratio will be completely different for each case (maybe with a narrow range), if not, a common threshold ratio will be more efficiently. But whether the efficiency can be achieved at the cost of flexibility or not can be futher studied. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | We have the following comments:  1) in section 6.2.1, we prefer Alt2 because it is flexible and has less overhead than Alt1. For Alt1, it requires to list explicit values, so the overhead is significant. For Alt2a, it has less overhead than Alt2, but it is less flexible.  2) regarding where to include the configuration, we prefer to consider otherConfig  3) in section 6.2.2, we have some comments regarding SuccHO-Report-r17:  We suggest to re-use the existing design as much as possible.  For field 2/3/4, we suggest to avoid duplication reporting, e.g. for the target cell, it should be only included in one field rather than more than one field  4) in section 6.2.3 UE variable, currently it includes the succho-Report-r17. For VarRLF-Report-r16, it has rlf report and plmn identity list, and plmn identity list is used to check whether RPLMN is included in the list. We suggest to add plmn identity list in the SHR variable (similar as the design for VarRLF-Report-r16) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

##### 6.2.1 Configuration of SuccHO Report

The ASN.1 definition is as below:

Alt1: explicit values

Here is an example:

ReportConfigSuccHO-Report-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

t310\_threshold-r17 ENUMERATED {value1, value2} OPTIONAL,

t312\_threshold-r17 ENUMERATED {value1, value2} OPTIONAL,

t304\_threshold-r17 ENUMERATED {value1, value2} OPTIONAL

}

|  |
| --- |
| ***t310\_threshold***  The T310\_threshold is to indicate the threshold value. |
| ***the above field descriptions can be applied for other fields***  To be added |

Alt2: percentages

Here is an example:

ReportConfigSuccHO-Report-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

t310\_threshold\_ratio-r17 ENUMERATED {20%, 40%, 60%, 80%} OPTIONAL,

t312\_threshold\_ratio-r17 ENUMERATED {20%, 40%, 60%, 80%} OPTIONAL,

t304\_threshold\_ratio-r17 ENUMERATED {20%, 40%, 60%, 80%} OPTIONAL

}

|  |
| --- |
| ***t310\_threshold\_ratio***  The T310\_threshold\_ratio is to indicate the ratio of the threshold value over the siganlled T310 value. For example, if the signalled T310 value is ms100, and T310\_threshold\_ratio is 40%, the T310 theshold for the successful handover report is 40ms. |
| ***the above field descriptions can be applied for other fields***  To be added |

Alt2a: a common set of percentages + a flag indicating the corresponding event

Here is an example:

ReportConfigSuccHO-Report-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

shr\_threshold\_ratio-r17 ENUMERATED {20%, 40%, 60%, 80%} OPTIONAL,

shr\_t310\_flag-r17 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

shr\_t312\_flag-r17 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

shr\_t304\_flag-r17 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL

}

|  |
| --- |
| ***shr\_threshold\_ratio***  The shr\_threshold\_ratio is to indicate the ratio of the threshold value over the siganlled timer value. For example, if the signalled T310 value is ms100, and T310\_threshold\_ratio is 40%, the T310 theshold for the successful handover report is 40ms. |
| ***shr\_t310\_flag***  The presence of the field indicates that the threshold ratio for triggering the successful HO report applies to T310. |
| ***the above field descriptions can be applied for other fields***  ***To be added*** |

Regarding where to include the configuration, there may be some options:

* The IE ReportConfigNR, e.g. PeriodicalReportConfig, EventTriggerConfig
* The same level as T310/T312/T304. T310 is included in the IE *RLF-TimersAndConstants*, *UE-TimersAndConstants*. T312 is included in the IE *measObjectNR*, and the IE *ReportConfigNR* includes userT312-r16. T304 is included in the IE *ReconfigurationWithSync*
* In the handover command message, e.g. in the IE *ReconfigurationWithSync*
* In the IE *otherConfig*

The above options can be further discussed as part of stage-3 details.

##### 6.2.2 SuccHO Report in UE information procedures

***– UE-MeasurementsAvailable***

The IE *UE-MeasurementsAvailable* is used to indicate all relevant available indicators for UE measurements.

***UE-MeasurementsAvailable* information element**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-UE-MeasurementsAvailable-START

UE-MeasurementsAvailable-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

logMeasAvailable-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

logMeasAvailableBT-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

logMeasAvailableWLAN-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

connEstFailInfoAvailable-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

rlf-InfoAvailable-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL,

...,

succHO-InfoAvailable-r17 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL

}

-- TAG-UE-MeasurementsAvailable-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

***– UEInformationRequest***

The *UEInformationRequest* message is used by the network to retrieve information from the UE.

Signalling radio bearer: SRB1

RLC-SAP: AM

Logical channel: DCCH

Direction: Network to UE

***UEInformationRequest message***

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-UEINFORMATIONREQUEST-START

UEInformationRequest-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

rrc-TransactionIdentifier RRC-TransactionIdentifier,

criticalExtensions CHOICE {

ueInformationRequest-r16 UEInformationRequest-r16-IEs,

criticalExtensionsFuture SEQUENCE {}

}

}

UEInformationRequest-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

idleModeMeasurementReq-r16 ENUMERATED{true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

logMeasReportReq-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

connEstFailReportReq-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

ra-ReportReq-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

rlf-ReportReq-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

mobilityHistoryReportReq-r16 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL, -- Need N

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

UEInformationRequest-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

succho-ReportReq-r17 ENUMERATED {true} OPTIONAL -- Need N

}

-- TAG-UEINFORMATIONREQUEST-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

|  |
| --- |
| ***UEInformationRequest-IEs* field descriptions** |
| ***connEstFailReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about the connection failure. |
| ***idleModeMeasurementReq***  This field indicates that the UE shall report the idle/inactive measurement information, if available, to the network in the *UEInformationResponse* message. |
| ***logMeasReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about logged measurements. |
| ***mobilityHistoryReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about mobility history information. |
| ***ra-ReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about the random access procedure. |
| ***rlf-ReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about the radio link failure. |
| ***succho-ReportReq***  This field is used to indicate whether the UE shall report information about the successful handover. |

***– UEInformationResponse***

The *UEInformationResponse* message is used by the UE to transfer information requested by the network.

Signalling radio bearer: SRB1 or SRB2 (when logged measurement information is included)

RLC-SAP: AM

Logical channel: DCCH

Direction: UE to network

***UEInformationResponse message***

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-UEINFORMATIONRESPONSE-START

UEInformationResponse-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

rrc-TransactionIdentifier RRC-TransactionIdentifier,

criticalExtensions CHOICE {

ueInformationResponse-r16 UEInformationResponse-r16-IEs,

criticalExtensionsFuture SEQUENCE {}

}

}

UEInformationResponse-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

measResultIdleEUTRA-r16 MeasResultIdleEUTRA-r16 OPTIONAL,

measResultIdleNR-r16 MeasResultIdleNR-r16 OPTIONAL,

logMeasReport-r16 LogMeasReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

connEstFailReport-r16 ConnEstFailReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

ra-ReportList-r16 RA-ReportList-r16 OPTIONAL,

rlf-Report-r16 RLF-Report-r16 OPTIONAL,

mobilityHistoryReport-r16 MobilityHistoryReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

UEInformationResponse-r17-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

succho-Report-r17 SuccHO-Report-r17 OPTIONAL,

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

SuccHO-Report-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

Field 1: Source cell id and measurements

Field 2: Target cell id and measurements

RAN2#113-e agreement: The source cell and target cell related identifiers and measurements are to be included in the successful HO report.

Field 3: Candidate target cells’ measurements (for CHO)

RAN2#113b-e agreement: a. Latest radio measurement results of the candidate target cells in the case of conditional HO.

Field 4: Neighbour cells’ measurements (for all HO types)

RAN2#114-e agreement: 35 Include in the SHR, the latest radio link quality of neighbour cells before HO execution for all HO types.

Field 5: A flag to indicate RLF issues in source cell during DAPS HO

RAN2#113b-e agreement: b. Flag to indicate RLF issues in source cell during DAPS HO

Field 6: Time between CHO configuration and CHO execution

RAN2#113b-e agreement: a. Time elapsed between the CHO execution towards the target cell and the corresponding latest CHO configuration received for the selected target cell

Field 7: Location info

RAN2#113b-e agreement: 5 Location information is included as part of the successful HO report.

RAN2#114-e agreement: 36 For location config/reports for SHR, location info for RLF report can be reused.

,

...

}

|  |
| --- |
| *SuccHO-Report* field descriptions |
| ***Field 1***  This field is used to indicate whether XXX. |
| ***Field 2***  . |
| ***Field 3***  . |

##### 6.2.3 UE variable

***7.4 UE variables***

NOTE: To facilitate the specification of the UE behavioural requirements, UE variables are represented using ASN.1. Unless explicitly specified otherwise, it is however up to UE implementation how to store the variables. The optionality of the IEs in ASN.1 is used only to indicate that the values may not always be available.

***– NR-UE-Variables***

This ASN.1 segment is the start of the NR UE variable definitions.

-- ASN1START

-- NR-UE-VARIABLES-START

NR-UE-Variables DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=

BEGIN

IMPORTS

ARFCN-ValueNR,

CellIdentity,

EUTRA-PhysCellId,

MeasId,

MeasIdToAddModList,

MeasIdleCarrierEUTRA-r16,

MeasIdleCarrierNR-r16,

MeasResultIdleEUTRA-r16,

MeasResultIdleNR-r16,

MeasObjectToAddModList,

PhysCellId,

RNTI-Value,

ReportConfigToAddModList,

RSRP-Range,

SL-MeasId-r16,

SL-MeasIdList-r16,

SL-MeasObjectList-r16,

SL-ReportConfigList-r16,

SL-QuantityConfig-r16,

Tx-PoolMeasList-r16,

QuantityConfig,

maxNrofCellMeas,

maxNrofMeasId,

maxFreqIdle-r16,

PhysCellIdUTRA-FDD-r16,

ValidityAreaList-r16,

CondReconfigToAddModList-r16,

ConnEstFailReport-r16,

LoggingDuration-r16,

LoggingInterval-r16,

LogMeasInfoList-r16,

LogMeasInfo-r16,

RA-Report-r16,

RLF-Report-r16,

TraceReference-r16,

WLAN-Identifiers-r16,

WLAN-NameList-r16,

BT-NameList-r16,

PLMN-Identity,

maxPLMN,

RA-ReportList-r16,

VisitedCellInfoList-r16,

AbsoluteTimeInfo-r16,

LoggedEventTriggerConfig-r16,

LoggedPeriodicalReportConfig-r16,

Sensor-NameList-r16,

PLMN-IdentityList2-r16,

AreaConfiguration-r16,

maxNrofSL-MeasId-r16,

maxNrofFreqSL-r16,

maxNrofCLI-RSSI-Resources-r16,

maxNrofCLI-SRS-Resources-r16,

RSSI-ResourceId-r16,

SRS-ResourceId,

SuccHO-Report-r17

FROM NR-RRC-Definitions;

-- NR-UE-VARIABLES-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

[Rapp] According to the previous RAN2 agreements, varSuccHOReport was agreed for SHR. In TS 38.331, VarRLF-Report is used for RLF report, so VarSuccHO-Report is suggested.

***– VarSuccHO-Report***

The UE variable *VarSuccHO-Report* includes the successful handover related information.

***VarSuccHO-Report* UE variable**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-VARSUCCHO-REPORT-START

VarSuccHO-Report-r17 ::= SEQUENCE {

succho-Report-r17 SuccHO-Report-r17

}

-- TAG-VARSUCCHO-REPORT-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

##### 6.2.4 UE capability

-- Regular non-critical extensions:

UE-NR-Capability-v1610 ::= SEQUENCE {

inDeviceCoexInd-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

dl-DedicatedMessageSegmentation-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

nrdc-Parameters-v1610 NRDC-Parameters-v1610 OPTIONAL,

powSav-Parameters-r16 PowSav-Parameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

fr1-Add-UE-NR-Capabilities-v1610 UE-NR-CapabilityAddFRX-Mode-v1610 OPTIONAL,

fr2-Add-UE-NR-Capabilities-v1610 UE-NR-CapabilityAddFRX-Mode-v1610 OPTIONAL,

bh-RLF-Indication-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

directSN-AdditionFirstRRC-IAB-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

bap-Parameters-r16 BAP-Parameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

referenceTimeProvision-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

sidelinkParameters-r16 SidelinkParameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

highSpeedParameters-r16 HighSpeedParameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

mac-Parameters-v1610 MAC-Parameters-v1610 OPTIONAL,

mcgRLF-RecoveryViaSCG-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

resumeWithStoredMCG-SCells-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

resumeWithStoredSCG-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

resumeWithSCG-Config-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

ue-BasedPerfMeas-Parameters-r16 UE-BasedPerfMeas-Parameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

son-Parameters-r16 SON-Parameters-r16 OPTIONAL,

onDemandSIB-Connected-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension UE-NR-Capability-v1640 OPTIONAL

}

***– SON-Parameters***

The IE *SON-Parameters* contains SON related parameters.

***SON-Parameters* information element**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-SON-PARAMETERS-START

SON-Parameters-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

rach-Report-r16 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL,

...,

succho-Report-r17 ENUMERATED {supported} OPTIONAL

}

-- TAG-SON-PARAMETERS-STOP

-- ASN1STOP