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# 1. Introduction

This document provides guidelines for the following email discussion [1]:

[Post112-e][618][POS] Finalise integrity text proposals (Swift)

Scope: Refine the text proposals in R2-2010877/R2-2010878/R2-2010879.

Intended outcome: Agreeable TPs

Deadline: Long

The three text proposals and accompanying questions are provided individually rather than trying to consolidate all TPs into one document. The discussion will be undertaken in two phases and this document provides the guidelines for undertaking each phase. The draft TPs are located in the [[618] Email Discussion folder](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Email_Discussions/RAN2/%5bRAN2%23112-e%5d/%5bPost112-e%5d%5b618%5d%5bPOS%5d%20Integrity%20text%20proposals%20(Swift)/).

# 2. Email Discussion Guideline

# 2.1 Phases

Two phases of discussions will be undertaken to surface and address the remaining open issues for agreement. Additional phases can be added if needed.

* **PHASE 1**
  + Initial questions and draft TPs circulated by the moderator.
  + Company feedback on the questions and TPs
* **PHASE 2**
  + Moderator summary of Phase 1 inputs.
  + Updated questions and TPs for Phase 2.
  + Draft proposals for agreement.

# 2.2 FTP Directory

The ftp directory for the email discussion is structured as follows:

* **[Post112-e][618][POS] Integrity TPs (Swift)]** [Folder link](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Email_Discussions/RAN2/%5BRAN2%23112-e%5D/%5BPost112-e%5D%5B618%5D%5BPOS%5D%20Integrity%20text%20proposals%20(Swift))
  + *Email Guideline - [Post112-e][618][POS] Integrity TPs.docx*
  + **[PHASE 1]** [Folder link](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/Email_Discussions/RAN2/%5BRAN2%23112-e%5D/%5BPost112-e%5D%5B618%5D%5BPOS%5D%20Integrity%20text%20proposals%20(Swift)/PHASE%201)
    - **[KPIs and Use Cases]**
      * *[618] KPIs and Use Cases – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx*
    - **[Error Sources]**
      * *[618] Error Sources – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx*
    - **[Methodologies]** 
      * *[618] Methodologies – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx*

Responses to each TP should be uploaded to the relevant folder using the standard document naming.

# 2.3 Timeline

This timeline takes into consideration the December/January holiday period:

* 20 November 2020 UTC Kick-off, PHASE 1 circulated by moderator.
* 30 November 2020 UTC PHASE 1 company feedback due.
* 04 December 2020 UTC PHASE 2 circulated by moderator.
* 14 December 2020 UTC PHASE 2 company feedback due.
* 21 December 2020 UTC Updated email discussion, TPs and proposals circulated by moderator.
* 08 January 2020 UTC Deadline for final email discussion feedback.

# 2.4 Discussion Guidelines

**NOTE:** These guidelines are not compulsory. They are intended to simplify the review process given the large volume of text. Companies are welcome to adapt their own feedback procedures if needed.

* The ‘clean’ versions of TPs [2][3][4] correspond to the baseline TPs listed below, which have been uploaded for comment in this email discussion, i.e.
  + *[618] KPIs and Use Cases – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx* [5]
  + *[618] Error Sources – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx* [6]
  + *[618] Methodologies – PHASE 1 Draft TP\_v00.docx* [7]
* Answers to questions should be given in the tables. Proposed text changes should be added as comments to the draft TP (it helps if the comments are numbered, e.g. [Swift-01] and then cross-referenced in the table where necessary). Comments on comments are also welcome using the ‘Reply’ function.
* These guidelines should result in one set of track changes being circulated by the moderator to capture the proposed text edits and feedback from each round (rather than trying to navigate changes on changes from different companies). Any text proposals will be further explained as part of the Moderator Summaries.

# 3. Summary
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