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## 1 Introduction

After RAN2#112-e meeting, based on the chair notes [1][2], the following email discussion was agreed:

* **[Post112-e][253][RAN slicing] Prioritized solutions for RAN slicing (CMCC)**

Scope: Discuss the potential solutions for slice-based cell reselection and slice-based RACH configuration based on agreements on candidate solutions. Collect company views on schemes that should be prioritized with analysis on benefits and complexity for each solution.

Intended outcome: Discussion report including TP to the TR 38.832

Deadline: Dec 16

This email discussion is to progress on solutions for RAN slicing.

Since upload announcement is not mandatory required, indicating contact person is helpful in case companies would like to offline.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email** |
| Qualcomm | Peng Cheng | chengp@qti.qualcomm.com |
| Huawei | Jun Chen | jun.chen@huawei.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2 Slice based cell reselection under network control

### 2.1 RAN2#112-e agreements

Here are the relevant agreements made at RAN2#112-e meeting [2]:

Agreements

* 5.1: These issues will be studied in this SI:  
  Issue 1: The UE is unaware of the slices supported on different cells or frequencies, which prevents UE from (re)select to the cell or frequency supporting the intended slice.  
  Issue 2: Dedicated priorities would not be available to the UE prior to first RRC connection establishment and only remain valid before T320 expires upon entering IDLE mode. In addition, dedicated priorities are discarded each time when UE entering CONNECTED mode and need to be configured again before UE leaving CONNECTED mode.   
  Issue 3: Operator may require different frequency priority configurations for the specific slice in different areas, however the dedicated priority always overwrites the broadcast priorities if configured.   
  Issue 4: If the serving cell is unable to support the requested slices for the subsequent access of the UE, the serving cell may bring on handover or rejection of access request. That may increase control plane signalling overhead as well as long control plane latency for the UE to access the network.
* 7: The following solution approaches are captured in the TR and will be studied in this SI:  
  Solution 1: Legacy dedicated priority via RRCRelease message.  
  Solution 2: Rel-15 mechanisms such as HO, CA, DC and redirection can be used to access the intended slice in different cell   
  Solution 3: Slice related cell selection info, the slice info of serving cell and neighboring cells is provided in the system information or RRCRelease message. FFS: what information is broadcast.  
  Solution 4: Slice related cell reselection info (e.g. Cell reselection priority per slice), the slice info of neighboring cells is provided in the system information or RRCRelease message. FFS: what information is broadcast.

Please note that the solution number is changed to align with the solution number in draft TR 38.832.

### 2.2 Discussions on solutions

Based on the scope of this email discussion, the following tables are provided for collecting companies’ comments. The suggestions are as below:

* For benefit, it is suggested to focus on the agreed issues that each solution can solve. For complexity, it is suggested to focus on general impacts on specifications
* Companies can refer to submitted Tdocs for analysis, e.g. submitted at RAN2#112-e meeting, and it may save the size of this email discussion
* For solution details, if needed, it is suggested to only figure out key aspects of a solution but not all details

The above suggestions are also applied to section 3.2.

**Solution 1: Legacy dedicated priority via *RRCRelease* message.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits**  **(Please list the issue(s) that this solution can address)** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **N/A** | **No need for benefit analysis.**  **In our understanding, this legacy solution is captured in RAN2 TR as a reference (e.g. show the intention why enhancement is needed in Rel-17 RAN slicing SI)** | **No need for complexity analysis** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **N/A** | **Solution 1 is available, but it cannot solve Issue 1, 2, 3, and 4.**  **For initial access, and subsequent access where its Intended Slice has changed, the UE may still select the wrong cell. In this way, the legacy dedicated priority is only a passive solution to guide UE to the correct cell.** | **No RAN2 impact observed.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Solution 2: Rel-15 mechanisms such as HO, CA, DC and redirection can be used to access the intended slice in different cell.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits**  **(Please list the issue(s) that this solution can address)** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **See comments** | **Similar to solution 1, it is legacy solution. However, there may be one new issue for HO/redirection if different cells support different slices in the same RA/TA (i.e. if answer is “No” for SA2 LS** [R2-2008759](file:///C:\Users\terhentt\Documents\Tdocs\RAN2\RAN2_112-e\R2-2008759.zip))**:**   * **Issue scenario: the UE with active PDU session of slice 2 is HO/redirected to another cell not supporting slice 2 in the same RA/TA. In this case, it is not clear how the UE can handle the active PDU session of Slice 2**. * **One possible solution is to release PDU session of Slice 2 while another alternative is to suspend the PDU session of Slice 2 so that it is still available when Slice 2 is available later, e.g. UE returning to original cell. But all these solutions need SA2 impacts** | **From RAN2 perspective, there is no further RAN2 impact even if the new issues need to be studied.** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **N/A** | **Solution 2 is available, however, solutions as HO, CA, and DC are only for connected mode UEs. The whole Section 2 here is about cell (re)selection, so Solution 2 can not address it. And legacy redirection solution has the same problem as the dedicated priority of Solution 1.**  **In the pre-meeting discussions (see summary in R2-2010366), there were lots of discussions regarding Solution 2, and generally the solution will lead to extra delay and signaling overhead if applied in cell (re)selection scenarios.** | **No RAN2 impact observed.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Solution 3: Slice related cell selection info, the slice info of serving cell and neighboring cells is provided in the system information or *RRCRelease* message. FFS: what information is broadcast.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits**  **(Please list the issue(s) that this solution can address)** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **Yes for SIB**  **No for RRC release** | **Providing supported slices info in SIB can resolve all the 4 identified issues:**   * **For issue 1/2/4, the UE can avoid selecting a cell not supporting its intended slice from beginning (and thereby avoid signaling/latency caused by PDU session rejection);** * **For issue 3, the UE will see different slice availability in Area 1 and Area 2, and thereby the UE can take area-different frequency priority, which is similar to existing Rel-13 LTE SC-PTM and Rel-16 NR V2X cell (re)selection.**   **We think the existing frequency priority mechanism in *RRCRelease* based RFSP is sufficient and can’t address any 4 identified issues. So, we see no need to enhance.** | **The main 2 issues to provide supported slice info in SIB:**   * **Payload size: we think it can be resolved (e.g. providing only SST, or on-demand SIB, or SIB segmentation)** * **Security: we don’t see any security issue to broadcast supported slice info in SIB, but open to send LS to SA3** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **Yes** | **SIB solution should be the priority. It can resolve issue 1 and 4 efficiently.**  **SIB solution can enable UE fast access as the UE will know the suitable cell by identifying the supported slices.**  **Slice related info in *RRCRelease* is not suitable for the initial access scenario.** | **The impacts are moderate because solution 3 is similar to priority-based cell reselection.**  **For signaling overhead due to slice related information, we have the following suggestions:**   * **Slice info depends on slice deployment, for example, the number of slices deployed in an area** * **Some solutions can be considered, such as slice group and on-demand SI** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Solution 4: Slice related cell reselection info (e.g. Cell reselection priority per slice), the slice info of neighboring cells is provided in the system information or *RRCRelease* message. FFS: what information is broadcast.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits**  **(Please list the issue(s) that this solution can address)** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **Yes for SIB**  **No for RRC release** | **Same comments to Solution 3.**  **We assume supported slices info can be included in one SIB type for both cell selection and reselection.** | **Same comments to Solution 3** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **Yes** | **SIB solution should be the priority. It can solve issue 3 and 4 efficiently.**  **Slice specific cell reselection priority in SIB could help UE to camp on suitable frequency/cell according to the Intended Slice and the network policy.** | **Same comments to Solution 3.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3 Slice based RACH configuration

### 3.1 RAN2#112-e agreements

Here are the relevant agreements made at RAN2#112-e meeting [2]:

Agreements

* 10: The intentions and use cases for slice-based RACH configuration are as follows:  
  Intention 1: RA resource isolation. From marketing point of view, some of the industrial customers have the requirement for access resource isolation, in order to provide guaranteed RA resources for their sensitive slices.  
  Intention 2: Slice access prioritization. In R15/16, all slices are sharing the same RA resources and cannot be differentiated by network side. But some slices may need to be prioritized during the RA procedure.
* 11: The following solutions will be studied and captured in the TR 38.832:  
  Solution 1: Slice-specific separate RACH resources pool can be configured per slice or per slice group, in addition to the existing common RACH resources.  
  Solution 2: Slice-specific RACH parameters prioritization can be configured per slice or per slice group.  
  Neither solution may not be applicable to all possible slices.

### 3.2 Discussions on solutions

Same suggestions as section 2.2. Please companies provide the comments into the following tables if any.

**Solution 1: Slice-specific separate RACH resources pool can be configured per slice or per slice group, in addition to the existing common RACH resources.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **Lower priority than Solution 2** | **It can provide benefit to reduce RACH collision/delay for some slices with urgent requirement** | * **It may cause RACH resource fragment** * **It may require spec change on basic RACH procedure, which should be avoided.**   **In all, we think it can be considered for the target of urgent slices, but its study priority should be lower than solution 2.** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **Yes** | **Solution 1 can meet Intention 1 and 2.** | **The impacts are moderate.**  **The UE gets Intended Slice info at its AS layer, and the UE also gets slice-specific RACH configurations, and then the UE will select suitable resources for the RACH procedure.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Solution 2: Slice-specific RACH parameters prioritization can be configured per slice or per slice group.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Preferred (Yes/No)** | **Benefits** | **Complexity** |
| **Qualcomm** | **Yes** | **It can provide benefit to reduce RACH collision/delay for some slices with higher latency requirement** | * **It is simple and has minor impact on UE behavior and spec,** * **RAN2 has specified RACH prioritization for MPS and MCS in NR Rel-16 TEI, which can be easily extended to slice (group) based RACH parameter prioritization** |
| **Huawei, HiSilicon** | **Yes** | **Solution 2 can meet Intention 2.** | **The impacts are minor.**  **The UE gets Intended Slice info at its AS layer, and the UE also gets slice-specific RACH parameters prioritization, and then the UE will select relevant parameters for the RACH procedure.** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 4 Conclusion

[Note: the conclusion will be made by the email rapporteur, and then draft TP to the TR 38.832 will be provided for further review]

[To be added]
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