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# 1 Introduction

This document is to collect companies comments in the following email discussion:

**[Post112-e][050][NR15 NR16] RRC Rapporteur Correction CRs (Ericsson)**

Scope: CR approval, Revisions and merged versions of R2-2009840 (R15) and R2-2009841 (R16), converge on finally agreeable wording for CR2136/36.

Intended outcome: Agreed CRs.

Deadline: Short (for RP)

Please provide your comments no later than **Friday Nov 20 1100 UTC** .

# 2 Discussion

Companies are requested to add their comments for each topic of this email discussion in the boxes below.

### 2.1 Converge on finally agreeable wording for CR2136/36 (Rel-15/16 CR)

As outcome of [AT112-e][009][NR15] RRC Misc (Ericsson), chairman proposed to merge CR2136/36 on “Correction to release of list elements using toReleaseList” in **R2-2011149 and R2-2011150** to 38331 Rapporteur CRs.

There was also a late wording proposal by Huawei.  
Aim of this activity is to find agreeable wording.

**Original text**:

Note that the release of parent field also releases all of the child fields, regardless of whether they have been added via AddModList or as normal fields.

**Alt 1 (from R2-2011149 and R2-2011150):**

Note that the release of a field (a list element as well as any other field) releases all its sub-fields (sub-fields configured by *elementsToAddModList* and any other sub-field).

**Alt 2 (proposal by Huawei):**

Release of the parent field of a ToAddModList releases all entries of the ToAddModList

**Alt 3 (other proposal)**

Please provide your comments below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternative** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek | Alt 1 or do nothing | As a background, this was discussed in RAN2#110 offline #006 with the summary paper in [link](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_110-e/Inbox/Drafts/%5BOffline-006%5D%5BNR15%5D%20Release%20of%20Configuration%20(Nokia)/R2-200xxxx%20NR%20R15%20release%20of%20configurations_v12_Rapp.docx) (See **DISC S1\_2**). Based on clear majorities view, it was agreed in Chairman note that  “**[006] RAN2 confirms that release of parent field also releases all of the child fields, regardless of whether they have been added via AddModList or as normal fields.**”  So, we think that original text fully capture the agreement and is fine to keep it.  My understanding for the reason for change is that 1) unclear on “or as normal fields” and 2) it’s strange to have this in section A.3.9 which is used for guidelines on ToAddModList and ToReleaseList.  For 1), we could simply delete the “or as normal fields”. For 2), it is indeed related to ToAddModList and ToReleaseList and we actually do not find better place to capture this. Both 1) and 2) seems not critical and thus it would be fine for us to keep the original sentences.  Nevertheless, if companies want to do further clarification. Alt-1 matches the original agreement. So, we are fine with the change of Alt-1. The Alt-2 is only subset of Alt-1 and we prefer not to go in this way. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Alt 2 or nothing | The clarification is better to be focused on the exact point in the context which may be ambiguous to implementation.  Other than what Alt.2 says, other information in Alt.1 seems too straightforward and irrelevant, and a specific clarification would only create unnecessary confusion.  Alt.2 can be improved to “Release of the parent field of a ToAddModList releases all entries configured by the ToAddModList”.  But we also agree with MTK that the original text is also reflecting the intention. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.2 Miscellaneous non-controversial corrections Set VIII (Rel-15)

### Please provide other comments on the Rel-15 Misc Rapporteur CR.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternative** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek |  | In field description of IE *ServingCellConfig*  ***supplementaryUplink***  Network may configure this field only when *supplementaryUplinkConfig* is configured in *ServingCellConfigCommon supplementaryUplink* is configured in or *ServingCellConfigCommonSIB*.  The correct should be  ***supplementaryUplink***  Network may configure this field only when *supplementaryUplinkConfig* is configured in *ServingCellConfigCommon* or *supplementaryUplink* is configured in *ServingCellConfigCommonSIB*. |
| Huawei, HiSilicon |  | In 5.3.10.3, not sure we need to remove the “and”:  2> if the indication is from SCG RLC, CA duplication is configured and activated, and for the corresponding logical channel *allowedServingCells* only includes SCell(s):  It reads better to me with it (not sure if it is correct English ☺). |
|  |  |  |

### 2.3 Miscellaneous non-controversial corrections Set VIII (Rel-16)

### Please provide other comments on the Rel-16 Misc Rapporteur CR.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Alternative** | **Comments** |
| MediaTek |  | Just found 2 more typo  In 5.3.5.3  2> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message was received via SRB1, but not within *mrdc-SecondaryCellGroup* or E-UTRA *RRCConnectionReconfiguration*:  3> if the UE is configured to provide the measurement gap requirement information of NR target bands:  4> if the *RRCReconfiguration* message includes the *needForGapsConfigNR*; or  4> if the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* information is changed compared to last time the UE reported this information:  5> include the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* and set the contents as follows:  6> include *intraFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement informa~~n~~tion of intra-frequency measurement for each NR serving cell;  6> if *requestedTargetBandFilterNR* is configured, for each supported NR band that is also included in *requestedTargetBandFilterNR*, include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement information for that band; otherwise, include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the corresponding gap requirement information for each supported NR band;  In 5.3.13.4  2> if the UE is configured to provide the measurement gap requirement information of NR target bands:  3> include the *NeedForGapsInfoNR* and set the contents as follows:  4> include *intraFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement informa~~n~~tion of intra-frequency measurement for each NR serving cell;  4> if *requestedTargetBandFilterNR* is configured, for each supported NR band that is also included in *requestedTargetBandFilterNR*, include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the gap requirement information for that band; otherwise, include an entry in *interFreq-needForGap* and set the corresponding gap requirement information for each supported NR band; |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4. Conclusion

**TBA**
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