TSGT#27(05)0007

3GPP TSG-T2 #28 Sophia Antipolis, France 8 - 10 February 2005 T2-050030

Title:	LS on Application-ID values
Response to:	OMA-MMSG-2004-0298R03 (T2-050017) "Reply to LS on Collision-Detection"
Source:	3GPP TSG-T WG2
To:	OMA-MWG-MMSG
Cc:	3GPP TSG-T, 3GPP TSG-CN, 3GPP2 TSG-X
Contact Person: Name: Tel. Number: E-mail Addres	Andreas Schmidt (Infineon) +49-5341-906-1817 ss: andreas.schmidt.sal@infineon.com
Attachments:	none

1. Overall Description:

3GPP TSG-T WG2 has received and discussed the LS from OMA-MWG-MMSG (which is itself a response to an LS from 3GPP2) and would now like to reply and address the questions raised.

Q: Determine if only MIME types are sufficient, or if additional URL text strings are still needed.

A: As work on the application addressing functionality in MMS goes back to a request received from the Java Community, 3GPP TSG-T WG2 has taken into account the special needs of the Java Community. These needs are for the use of URL text strings, because the Java Community has already specified application-ID values of type URL text string in their specification.

3GPP TSG-T WG2 understands that 3GPP2's motivation for proposing the usage of MIME Types is to guarantee global uniqueness of the Application-ID value. T2 is convinced that by mandating the designers/manufacturers domain in the URL text string as currently defined in section 8.4.4.8 of TS 23.140 global uniqueness of this value can be guaranteed.

Regarding the five considerations for the rules 3GPP TSG-T WG2 will review these in due course and consider whether these are necessary.

Q: Add additional text to their Stage 2s specifying the information described above.

A: Please reference the text above. Therefore the current TS 23.140 uses both MIME Type and URL text string. No decision has been made by 3GPP TSG-T WG2 to adopt only one of the above mentioned methods.

2. Actions:

To OMA-MWG-MMSG and 3GPP2 TSG-X: none.

3. Date of next T2 Meetings:

-
