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# 6 Specification level use cases and requirements

## 6.1 Use cases

## 6.1.x Limiting the actions of an assurance closed loop

The goal of this use case is to provide the consumer of an assurance closed loop the ability to limit actions the assurance closed loop can execute. This renders the assurance closed loop taking actions that are within the limits of the scope as defined by the consumer.

Assurance closed loops have a defined assurance goal related to a communication service SLS may execute various actions in the deployed operator network. There may be cases in which two or more assurance closed loops can execute the same or related set of actions on a managed entity. For example, assurance closed loops ACL1 and ACL2 for coverage optimization running in neighbouring RAN domains may take independent decision on the radio signal strength and azimuth to optimize the coverage. These assurance closed loops therefore may have the capability to cause a conflict with both simultaneously changing the azimuth to address a coverage-hole thereby causing an unnecessary coverage-overlap instead.

An authorized coordinating entity (authorized common consumer of the two ACL), for example, another closed loop or operator, should be able to configure the closed loops in a way that such occurrences are minimized. To coordinate the execution of multiple such assurance closed loops in the system the common authorized consumer of the assurance closed loop limits the set of actions of the assurance closed loops to avoid possible conflicts between the two or more assurance closed loops. In the example above: The authorized consumer of an assurance closed loops may limit the coverage optimization configurations signal strength and azimuth configurations to be done only by ACL1.

The 3GPP management system shall therefore provide the ability to limit actions that an assurance closed loop can take, this can be for example via operational policy configurations.

The MnS consumer obtains the allowed actions of assurance closed loops from the MnS producer. The MnS consumer may then internally compare the actions allowed that can be taken by a set of assurance closed loops to determine if possible conflicts exist. Then if conflicts are found, and the MnS consumer determines a possible resolution by limiting the actions of a set of assurance closed loops, then it requests the MnS producer to limit the set of action for example: by configuring new operational policies.
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## 6.2 Requirements

**REQ-CSA-CON-01** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to take actions for a set of communication services serving certain group of UEs based on the target SLS.

**REQ-CSA-CON-02** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to collect service experience information.

**REQ-CSA-CON-03** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to analyse the performance information related to the set of communication services serving certain group of UEs.

**REQ-CSA-CON-04** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to modify the configuration parameters related to the set of communication services serving certain group of UEs.

**REQ-CSA-CON-05** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to collect NSI related data from one or more 5GC NF(s).

NOTE 1: An example for NSI related data may be QoE data.

**REQ-CSA-CON-06** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to derive which communication service is associated to the QoE data from the collected NSI related QoE data.

**REQ-CSA-CON-07** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to ascertain SLS breach.

**REQ-CSA-CON-08** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to perform the root cause analysis (e.g., identifying the underlying reason) for an SLS breach.

**REQ-CSA-CON-09** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to take corrective actions against the root cause identified.

**REQ-CSA-CON-10** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to translate communicate service requirements to cross domain SLS goal and single domain SLS goal.

**REQ-CSA-CON-11** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to collect single domain SLS analysis as input to cross domain SLS analysis.

**REQ-CSA-CON-12** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to allow its authorized consumer to control the SLS assurance (e.g. specify the SLS to be assured, enable/disable, specify the assurance time and update the SLS assurance requirements).

**REQ-CSA-CON-13** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to allow its authorized consumer to obtain the SLS assurance progress information and fulfil information.

NOTE 2: The management system refers to the producer of management service for SLS assurance.

**REQ-CSA-CON-X** The 3GPP management system shall have the capability to allow its authorized consumer to limit the set of actions executable by an assurance closed loop.
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