

9-12 July 2002

Helsinki, Finland

---

**Source:** Vice chairman 3GPP TSG-SA WG3  
**Title:** Report to SA3 on SA#16  
**Document for:** Information

Dear SA3 colleagues,

The main points at SA#16 in Marco Island 10-13<sup>th</sup> June 2002 with impact to SA3 are listed in the following:

1. The chairman of CN4 reported that CN4 couldn't give guarantees that the stage 3 work on Ze-interface for MAPSEC can be completed before SA#17 plenary in September (see also an LS S3-020329). The SA plenary had earlier decided that the (first) release of MAPSEC automatic key management is agreed once the specification work is done. Based on these facts the SA plenary decided to postpone MAPSEC automatic key management beyond Release 5. As an administrative consequence, SA3 was requested to prepare a "reverse CR" to exclude the automatic key management from TS 33.200 Release 5. I propose SA3 prepares at the same time another CR which re-introduces automatic key management for TS 33.200 Release 6.
2. SA#16 was extremely sensitive on all Rel.99/Rel.4 CRs. It was decided that in the future a Rel.99/Rel.4 CR could be accepted only if there would be a system malfunction as a consequence of not approving the CR. For instance, clarifying CRs that are introduced in order to decrease the possibility of misinterpretations of specs are *not* allowed. Based on this principle, SA#16 *rejected* our proposed R99/R4 CR pair on ciphering indicator (S3-020250 and S3-020251). However, nobody questioned the fact that the feature is indeed mandatory. Similarly, our proposed CR pair on sequence numbers (S3-020308 and S3-020309) was *rejected*. The good news here is that SA#16 created an identical CR for Release 5.
3. All other CRs, including three other R99/R4 CR pairs, were accepted, some with minor editorial modifications. It was commented, and I agreed, that the term "plastic roaming" is wrong wording in the cover sheet of the CR about ISIM parameters (S3-020314). However, the actual change in the spec was OK with SA#16.
4. The WID on DRM security was approved. However, a revised version of the WID was requested for the next SA plenary, since time scales were missing and, also, "Service aspects" and "Charging aspects" need to be reworded.
5. Only the Feasibility Study part of our proposed WID "Network Domain Security; Authentication Framework" was approved. The SA plenary wants to see the results of the FS before deciding whether the rest of the work should be carried out. I modified the WID accordingly and the revised version was approved (SP-020387). As a practical consequence, we have to prepare a separate WID for the rest of the work (in case the FS implies the work should continue).
6. The LI spec TS 33.108 was approved in Release 5 and it is now under change control.
7. A strong role of SA3 was specifically requested for the work items of Presence and MBMS.
8. IST specs were renumbered as we requested (see SP-020403).
9. A new CR cover sheet template was created. The ISIM is now included in the boxes under "Proposed change affects" section as "UICC apps" replaces "(U)SIM" as the title of the first box. SA plenary expects that the new template (SP-020412) be taken into use at latest for all proposed CRs in SA#18.

Attached: my presentation about SA3 status (SP-020337)

Valtteri Niemi  
12<sup>th</sup> June 2002