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# Introduction

The discussions in this thread include URLLC UE and BS demodulation performance requirements for high reliability with higher BLER and low latency. The discussion about UE and BS demodulation requirements for high reliability with BLER 10^-5 and confidence level 99.999% and CQI reporting test will happen in another thread RAN4 [97e][321] NR\_L1enh\_URLLC\_Demod\_Part1.

Besides, there are some CRs which contain contents of both thread [321] and [323]. In this thread, the common issues (such as FRCs, applicability rules etc.) will be discussed. In thread [321], the test methodology for ultra-low BLER and CQI reporting test will be discussed. Please comment the following issues in this summary:

CR structures for UE and BS.

1. Discuss CRs for all common issues (such as FRCs, applicability rule etc.)
2. Discuss CRs for the PDSCH repetition, mapping type B and processing capability 2 and pre-emption.
3. Discuss CRs for the PUSCH repetition type A and low latency (i.e. type B) requirements.

**Topics in this summary:**

***URLLC UE:***

* Topic #1: UE demodulation performance requirements for high reliability
	+ Sub-topic 1-1: High reliability for FR1
	+ Sub-topic 1-2: High reliability for FR2
* Topic #2: UE demodulation performance requirements for low latency
	+ Sub-topic 2-1: PDSCH mapping Type B and processing capability 2 for FR1
	+ Sub-topic 2-2: PDSCH mapping Type B for FR2
	+ Sub-topic 2-3: UE demodulation requirements for pre-emption
* Topic #3: URLLC UE Rel-16 features and release independent.
	+ Sub-topic 3-1: Rel-16 URLLC UE features
	+ Sub-topic 3-2: Release independent

***URLLC BS:***

* Topic #4: BS demodulation requirements for high reliability.
	+ Sub-topic 4-1: BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR1
	+ Sub-topic 4-2: BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR2
* Topic #5: BS demodulation requirements for low latency.
	+ Sub-topic 4-1: PUSCH mapping Type B for FR1
	+ Sub-topic 4-2: PUSCH mapping Type B for FR2
* Topic #6: URLLC BS Rel-16 features

*Start of UE discussion*

# Topic #1: UE demodulation performance requirements for high reliability

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014242**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014242.zip) | Apple | Proposal #1: Define requirements with PDSCH slot aggregation in FR1 with MCS 19Proposal #2: Define requirements in FR2 with PDSCH slot aggregation re-using most of the parameters from FR1 test, and the following:  Propagation condition: TDLA30-75 TDD Pattern: DDDSU with S=10D:2G:2U CBW and SCS: 100MHz/ 120KHzProposal #3: Define requirements with PDSCH slot aggregation in FR2 with MCS 16 |
| [**R4-2014243**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014243.zip) | Apple | Draft CR on requirements with slot aggregation in FR2 |
| [**R4-2014544**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014544.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 1: Use MCS13 for FR1 High reliability PDSCH requirements.Proposal 2: Use the following assumptions for FR2 PDSCH high reliability requirements:* CBW/SCS: 100 MHz/120 kHz
* TDD pattern: DDDSU with S = 10D:2G:2U
* PDSCH configuration: Mapping Type A, Start symbol 1, Duration 13
* PDSCH scheduling: slot i, if mod(i, 5) = {1,2} for i from {1,…,159}.within 20 ms
* Aggregation factor 2
* Number of HARQ process: 2
* MCS 13 from Table 3
* Channel model: TDLA30-75
* Antenna configuration: 2x2, ULA low
 |
| [**R4-2015616**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015616.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Simulation results on UE PDSCH demodulation requirements with higher BLER and low latency |
| [**R4-2015617**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015617.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: We propose to use MCS19 for FR1 PDSCH high reliability test with higher BLER.Proposal 2: For FR2 high reliability with higher BLER test, we propose the duplex mode is TDD with pattern of “DDDSU”; bandwidth and SCS are 100 MHz/120 kHz; the antenna configuration is 2x2, ULA low; Channel model is TDLA30-75.Proposal 3: For FR2 high reliability with higher BLER test, we propose the PDSCH mapping type is Type A, starting symbol is 1 and symbol length is 13. PDSCH aggregation factor is 2.Proposal 4: For FR2 high reliability with higher BLER test, we propose the DMRS type is Type 1 and the number of additional DMRS is 1.Proposal 5: For FR2 high reliability with higher BLER test, we propose the number of HARQ processes is 8 and the maximum number of HARQ transmissions is 4. |
| [**R4-2015620**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015620.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS 38.101-4 Addition of UE performance requirements for FR1 URLLC PDSCH repetitions over multiple slots |
| [**R4-2016005**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016005.zip) | Intel Corporation | CR on FRC for UE Higher BLER requirements |
| [**R4-2016103**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016103.zip) | Ericsson | Slot aggregation FR1Proposal 1: Configure MCS 19 for slot aggregation test.Slot aggregation FR2Observation 1: TDD pattern DDSU with aggregation factor 2 causes less overhead from a scheduling perspective given RAN4 agreed scheduling constraintsProposal 2: Define TDD pattern DDSU for FR2 slot aggregation test.Proposal 3: Exclude PDSCH scheduling in slots i, where mod(i, 160) = 0 and mod(i, 160) = 1.Proposal 4: Select an MCS which gives higher or equal to -4 dB for final 2 Rx requirement definition (average ideal SNR alignment result + IM)Proposal 5: Define FR2 PDSCH slot aggregation test with the configurations summarized in Table 1 below.Table 1 FR2 PDSCH slot aggregation test configurations

|  |
| --- |
| * *TDD pattern: DDSU*
* *AL = 2*
* *Propagation condition: TDLA30-75, TDLA30-300*
* *SCS & CBW*
	+ *120kHz & 100MHz*
* *MCS: {13, 16, 19} from table 3*
* *PDSCH configuration: Mapping type A, symbol length 13, starting symbol 1.*
* *DMRS configuration: Type 1, 1 additional DMRS, Single symbol*
* *Antenna configuration 2x2, ULA low*
* *Target BLER 1%*
* *Scheduling for PDSCH:*
	+ *No scheduling in D slot i, where mod(i,160) = 0 and mod(i, 160) = 1, and S slots*
* *PTRS on*
* *Overhead for TBS determination: 6*
 |

 |
| [**R4-2016104**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016104.zip) | Ericsson | Simulation results on UE URLLC demodulation performance requirements with higher BLER |
| [**R4-2016106**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016106.zip) | Ericsson | CR to TS 38.101-4: Performance requirements for URLLC High BLER feature tests |
| [**R4-2016462**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016462.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | Proposal 1: Define high reliability high BLER tests with MCS 16 or 19 in Low SE MCS Table. |

## Open issues summary

During the last meeting, most of the test parameters for FR1 were agreed. In this section, the MCS for FR1 will be selected based on the simulation results (R4-2015628).

Parameters for FR2 will be discussed in sub-topic 1-2.

### Sub-topic 1-1: UE demodulation requirements for high reliability for FR1

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements of #96-e***

* *HARQ process number: 2 for FDD and 4 for TDD*
* *Higher or equal to -4 dB for final 4 Rx requirement definition (average ideal SNR alignment result + IM)*
* *BLER calculation method*
* *BLER = NpacketFail/NpacketTx, where NpacketFail is the number of packets with CRC fail after all transmissions (initial and retransmissions), NpacketTx is the total number of packets transmitted during the test.*

***Open issues of #96-e:***

* *MCS for FR1:*
	+ *Option 1: MCS13*
	+ *Option 2: MCS16*
	+ *Option 3: MCS19*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-1-1: MCS (table 3)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: MCS13 (Intel)
	+ Option 2: MCS16 (QC)
	+ Option 3: MCS19 (Huawei, Apple, Ericsson, QC)
* Recommended WF
	+ Based on the simulation results (R4-2015628) and agreements of “Higher or equal to -4 dB for final 4 Rx requirement definition (average ideal SNR alignment result + IM)”. Moderator recommend MCS19 as the conclusion as MCS19 is the only option meets the agreements.

**Issue 1-1-2: SNR values for 38.101-4 (based on R4-2015628)**

* Proposals

FDD 2x2:

Option 1: 0.9 dB

Option 2:

FDD 2x4:

Option 1: -2.9 dB

Option 2:

TDD 2x2:

Option 1: 1.2 dB

Option 2:

TDD 2x4:

Option 1: -3.3 dB

Option 2:

* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 1-2: UE demodulation requirements for high reliability for FR2

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements of #96-e***

* *Companies are encouraged to provide view on detailed test parameters for FR2 in the next RAN4 meeting:*
	+ *Aggregation factor, MCS, Channel bandwidth, SCS, Channel model, TDD pattern, PDSCH Mapping type etc.*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 1-2-1: PDSCH aggregation factor**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: n2 (Huawei, Apple, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-2: TDD pattern**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: DDDSU, S=10:2:2 (Huawei, Intel)
	+ Option 2: DDSU (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-3: SCS/BW**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 120 kHz / 100 MHz (Huawei, Apple, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-4: Frequency domain resource allocation**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Full bandwidth (Huawei, Apple)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-5: Channel model**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: TDLA30-75 (Huawei, Apple, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: TDLA30-300 (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-6: Antenna configuration**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2x2, ULA low (Apple, Intel, Ericsson, Huawei)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-7: PDSCH mapping type**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Type A (Huawei, Apple, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-8: PDSCH starting symbol**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 (Huawei, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-9: PDSCH symbol length**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 13 (Huawei, Intel, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-10: PDSCH scheduling**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Scheduling PDSCH on slot i, if mod(i, 5) = {1,2} for i from {1,…,159}.within 20 ms (Intel)
	+ Option 2: No scheduling in D slot i, where mod(i,160) = 0 and mod(i, 160) = 1, and S slots (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-11: DM-RS type**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Type 1 (Huawei, Apple, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-12: DM-RS duration**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Single-symbol DM-RS (Huawei, Apple, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-13: Additional DM-RS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 (Huawei, Apple, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-14: PTRS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: on (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-15: Overhead for TBS determination**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 6 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-16: HARQ process**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 8 (Huawei, Apple)
	+ Option 2: 2 (Intel)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-17: Maximum number of HARQ re-transmission**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 4 (Huawei, Apple)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-18: Test metric**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1% BLER (Huawei, Apple, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 1-2-19: MCS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: MCS19 from Table 3 (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: MCS16 from Table 3 (Apple)
	+ Option 3: MCS13 from Table 3 (Intel)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 1-1-1: Issue 1-1-2: Issue 1-1-3:  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close-to-finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2015620**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015620.zip)(Huawei)CR to TS 38.101-4Addition of UE performance requirements for FR1 URLLC PDSCH repetitions over multiple slots. | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2016005**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016005.zip)(Intel)CR on FRC for UE Higher BLER requirements | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2016106**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016106.zip)(Ericsson)CR to TS 38.101-4: Performance requirements for URLLC High BLER feature tests | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2014243**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014243.zip)(Apple)Draft CR on requirements with slot aggregation in FR2 | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015622**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015622.zip)(Huawei)CR to TS38.101-4 Applicability rules for URLLC UE demodulation requirements | Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:** *Recommendations for 2nd round*
 |

*Recommendations on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

# Topic #2: UE demodulation performance requirements for low latency

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014242**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014242.zip) | Apple | Proposal #4: Define requirements with PDSCH mapping Type-B in FR2 with MCS 4Proposal #5: Introduce requirements for pre-emption indication with 20% pre-empted slots and MCS of 13.Proposal #6: Define requirements for pre-emption indication at 70% of maximum throughput. |
| [**R4-2014243**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014243.zip) | Apple | Draft CR on requirements with slot aggregation in FR2 |
| [**R4-2014544**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014544.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 3: Use the following assumptions for FR2 PDSCH requirements with mapping Type B:* MCS 4
* Channel model: TDLA30-75

Proposal 4: Define Pre-emption indication requirements under one of the following conditions:* Option 1:
	+ Number of eMBB retransmissions: 4
	+ eMBB MCS 13
	+ Pre-emption probability 20%
	+ Test metric: 70% of max T-put or 1% of BLER
* Option 2:
	+ Number of eMBB retransmissions: 2
	+ eMBB MCS 13
	+ Pre-emption probability 10%
	+ Test metric: 10% or 1% of BLER
 |
| [**R4-2015129**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015129.zip) | MediaTek inc. | *Proposal*: Only configure 10% pre-emption probability for pre-emption tests. |
| [**R4-2015616**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015616.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Simulation results on UE PDSCH demodulation requirements with higher BLER and low latency |
| [**R4-2015617**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015617.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 6: Use MCS4 from Table 1 for FR2 PDSCH mapping Type B test case.Proposal 7: We propose to use MCS16 from Table 1 for pre-emption test case.Proposal 8: We propose to configure 20% pre-emption probability. |
| [**R4-2015620**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015620.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS 38.101-4 Addition of UE performance requirements for FR1 URLLC PDSCH repetitions over multiple slots |
| [**R4-2016005**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016005.zip) | Intel Corporation | CR on FRC for UE Higher BLER requirements |
| [**R4-2016103**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016103.zip) | Ericsson | PDSCH mapping type B FR2Proposal 6: Define max number of HARQ transmissions to be 1 for FR2 PDSCH mapping type B test.Proposal 7: Define FR2 PDSCH mapping type B test with the configurations summarized in Table 2 below.Table 2 FR2 PDSCH mapping type B test configurations

|  |
| --- |
| *SCS/CBW: 120 kHz/100 MHz**TDD pattern: DDDSU with S = 10D:2G:2U**Scheduling: No PDSCH in slot 0 within 20 ms**MCS: {MCS4} from table 1.**Max number of HARQ transmissions: 1**Number of HARQ process: 8**Antenna configuration: 2x2, ULA low**Channel model:* *Option 1: TDLA30-75**PTRS on**Overhead for TBS determination: 6**Test metrics: 70% throughput**PDSCH Configuration: Start symbol 1, Symbol length 7**DMRS configuration: Type 1, 1 additional DMRS, Single symbol**PTRS configuration: Frequency density (KPT-RS) 2, Time density (LPT-RS) 1, resource element offset* |

PDSCH pre-emption FR1Proposal 8: Configure MCS13 for pre-emption tests.Proposal 9: Only configure 10% pre-emption probability for pre-emption tests |
| [**R4-2016104**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016104.zip) | Ericsson | Simulation results on UE URLLC demodulation performance requirements with higher BLER |
| [**R4-2016106**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016106.zip) | Ericsson | CR to TS 38.101-4: Performance requirements for URLLC High BLER feature tests |
| [**R4-2016462**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016462.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | Proposal 2: Define DL pre-emption test for eMBB with 10% pre-emption probability, fixed scheduling and MCS 4. |
| [**R4-2016504**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016504.zip) | Qualcomm Incorporated | CR on FR1 PDSCH Mapping Type B and Processing Capability 2 Requirements |

## Open issues summary

This section includes three sub-topic:

* Sub-topic 2-1: PDSCH mapping Type B and processing capability 2 for FR1
* Sub-topic 2-2: PDSCH mapping Type B for FR2
* Sub-topic 2-3: UE demodulation requirements for pre-emption

### Sub-topic 2-1: PDSCH mapping Type B and processing capability 2 for FR1

The SNR values will be aligned based on the simulation results provided by companies.

**Issue 2-1-1: SNR values for 38.101-4 (based on R4-2015628)**

* Proposals

FDD 2x2:

Option 1: 0.2 dB

Option 2:

FDD 2x4:

Option 1: -2.8 dB

Option 2:

TDD 2x2:

Option 1: 0 dB

Option 2:

TDD 2x4:

Option 1: -2.9 dB

Option 2:

* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 2-2: PDSCH mapping Type B for FR2

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements from #96:***

* *Test applicability rule for FR2: No need to define the applicability rule.*
* *Test applicability rule for FR1 and FR2: No test applicability rule is needed.*
* *SCS/CBW: 120 kHz/100 MHz*
* *TDD pattern: DDDSU with S = 10D:2G:2U*
* *PDSCH Configuration*
	+ *Scheduling: No PDSCH in slot 0 within 20 ms*
	+ *MCS: [MCS4] from table 1. Confirming the MCS depends on the simulation results to ensure a proper SNR value.*
	+ *Start symbol: 1*
	+ *Symbol length: 2*
	+ *Max number of HARQ transmissions: 4​*
	+ *Number of HARQ process: 8*
* *Antenna configuration: 2x2, ULA low*
* *Channel model:*
	+ *Option 1: TDLA30-75*
	+ *Other options are not precluded*
* *Test metrics: 70% throughput*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-2-1: Symbol length (2os has been agreed)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 7 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: 2
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-2: Maximum number of HARQ re-transmission (4 has been agreed)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: 4
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-3: DM-RS type**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Type 1 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-4: DM-RS duration**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Single-symbol DM-RS (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-5: Additional DM-RS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-6: PTRS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: on (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: off
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-7: PTRS frequency density (KPT-RS)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-8: PTRS time density (LPT-RS)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-9: PTRS resource element offset**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-10: Overhead for TBS determination**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 6 (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-2-11: MCS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: MCS4 from Table 1. (Huawei, Apple, Intel)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 2-3: UE demodulation requirements for pre-emption

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements from #96:***

* *The assumption of UE behaviours for buffer flushing and decoding*
	+ *If UE cannot decode the PDCSH correctly, UE feeds back NACK to gNB. Then UE flushes the buffer and waits for the next re-transmission for LLR combing to decode the PDSCH.*
* *URLLC interference modelling*
	+ *SNR: Same as for eMBB transmission*
	+ *Structure: Some random data*

*Open issues:*

***Open Issues:***

* *Pre-emption probability*
	+ *Option 1: 10% within 1 radio frame*
	+ *Option 2: 20% within 1 radio frame*
* *eMBB MCS*
	+ *Option 1: MCS13 in Table 1*
	+ *Option 2: MCS4 in Table 1*
* *Test metric*
	+ *Option 1: 70% of max T-put*
	+ *Other options are not precluded*
* *Companies are encouraged to prepare comparison analysis of UE with and without HARQ buffer flushing of pre-empted bits to decide on options above*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 2-3-1: Simulation results observation (based on R4-2015628):**

The gain between with and without buffer flushing is

MCS13 with 20% probability:

* About 0.5 dB (Ericsson, Huawei, MTK)
* More than 2.5 dB (Intel, Apple)

MCS13 with 10% probability:

* Less than 0.5 dB (Ericsson, Huawei, MTK, Intel)
* More than 1 dB (Apple)

MCS4 with 20% probability:

* Less than 0.1 dB (Ericsson, Huawei, MTK, Intel, Apple)

MCS4 with 10% probability:

* Less than 0.03 dB (Ericsson, Huawei, MTK, Intel, Apple)
* More than 1 dB (QC)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-3-2: Test metric:**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 70% maximum throughput with gain larger than 1dB. (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: 1% or 10% BLER. (Intel)
	+ Option 3: Other test metric not precluded.
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-3-2a: Maximum HARQ re-transmission (4 has been agreed):**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 with BLER test metric. (Intel)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-3-3: MCS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: MCS16 from Table 1. (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: MCS13 from Table 1 (Apple, Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: MCS 4 from Table 1 (QC)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 2-3-4: Pre-emption probability**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 20%. (Huawei, Apple)
	+ Option 2: 10% (Ericsson, QC)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 2-1-1: Issue 2-1-2: Issue 2-1-3:  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2016504**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016504.zip)(QC)CR on FR1 PDSCH Mapping Type B and Processing Capability 2 Requirements | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** |  |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #3: URLLC UE Rel-16 features

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014544**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014544.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 5: Do not define demodulation performance requirements to verify Rel-16 URLLC PDCCH enhancements. |
| [**R4-2015617**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015617.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 9: UE URLLC requirements for Rel-15 features are release independent from Rel-15.Proposal 10: Requirements for PDCCH enhancement should be specified for URLLC. |
| [**R4-2016103**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016103.zip) | Ericsson | Rel-16 URLLC UE featuresProposal 10: Define new PDCCH demodulation test for DCI format 1\_2 and discuss the payload size in RAN4.Proposal 11: Do not need to define new URLLC PDCCH demodulation test for covering multiple PDCCH monitoring occasions per slot. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 3-1: Rel-16 URLLC UE features

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements：***

* *Requirements for Multi-TRP URLLC transmission schemes are covered by Rel-16 e-MIMO WI, no discussion in URLLC thread.*

***Open Issues:***

* *Rel-16 features need to be discussed*
	+ *PDCCH enhancement*
	+ *Other features not precluded.*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 3-1-1: Rel-16 features need to be discussed**

* Proposals
	+ PDCCH enhancement (Huawei)
	+ Other features not precluded.
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 3-1-2: Whether to define performance requirements for PDCCH enhancement.**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes (Huawei, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: No (Intel)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 3-1-2a: Whether to define PDCCH performance requirements for DCI format 1\_2**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes (Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: No
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 3-1-2b: Whether to define PDCCH performance requirements for covering multiple PDCCH monitoring occasions per slot.**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes
	+ Option 2: No (Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 3-2: Release independent

*From the approved WF R4-2012648 in RAN4 #96 e-meeting, following were agreed:*

***Agreements:***

* *No additional features and capability needed for URLLC Demod and CSI requirements introduced for Rel-15 feature under Rel-16 URLLC WI.*

***Open issues:***

* *FFS whether UE URLLC requirements for Rel-15 features are release independent from Rel-15.*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 3-2-1: UE URLLC requirements for Rel-15 features release independent from Rel-15**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: No
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue 3-1-1: |
|  |  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

*End of UE discussion*

*Start of BS discussion*

# Topic #4: BS demodulation requirements for high reliability

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014545**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014545.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 1: Use the following applicability rule for FR1 PUSCH high reliability requirements definition: The requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15kHz can be tested either by configuring n8 and the DDDSU TDD pattern or by configuring FDD with aggregation level n2Proposal 2: Use the following assumptions for FR2 BS High reliability requirements:* TDD UL/Dl pattern: DDDSU with S=10D:2G:2U
* Aggregation factor = n8
* Applicability rule: The same requirements are applicable to TDD with different UL-DL patterns and different aggregation factor configurations under assumption that two effective transmissions of the transport block are generated
* DMRS configuration: 1+1
* Channel model: TDLA30-300
 |
| [**R4-2014820**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014820.zip) | NTT DOCOMO, INC. | CR for TS 38.141-2: Introduction of performance requirements of PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B for URLLC |
| [**R4-2014821**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014821.zip) | NTT DOCOMO, INC. | Proposal 1: For high reliability test, the requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15 kHz can be tested either by configuring n8 and the DDDSU TDD pattern or by configuring FDD with aggregation level n2 (Option 2).Proposal 2: The value of SNR for TDD 15kHz SCS with PUSCH aggregation level n8 can be applied for the value of SNR for FDD 15kHz SCS with PUSCH aggregation level n2.Proposal 3: Adopt DDDSU, S=10:2:2 as TDD pattern (Option 1).Proposal 4: Adopt n8 for DDDSU as aggregation factor for TDD with note (Option 3).Proposal 5: Adopt TDLA30-300 Low as channel model (Option 1). Proposal 6: Introduce DM-RS with 1+1 (Option 2). |
| [**R4-2015023**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015023.zip) | Ericsson | FRCs for URLLC |
| [**R4-2015095**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015095.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Discussion on high reliability - FR1Applicability rule for FDD and TDD1. The agreement to add the explicatory note to the aggregation level configuration, makes an applicability rule unnecessary.
2. RAN4 to not include and applicability rule for FDD and TDD, which would be redundant due to agreed note.

Whether to clarify the safety statement1. RAN4 to discuss the inclusion of a statistical testing disclaimer in the online session/GtW.

TDD pattern1. RAN4 to agree on DDDSU, S=10:2:2.

Aggregation factor for TDDThe agreement to add the explicatory note to the aggregation level configuration, already decides the question of the aggregation factor for TDD as option 3.1. RAN4 to confirm the choice of n8 for DDDSU with note that testing can be performed with a different TDD pattern, as long as the intention of the configuration is preserved.

Channel modelA 300Hz (UL) Doppler corresponds to 6kph (jogging speed) at 28GHz.1. RAN4 to not specify requirement for higher UE speed than 1.5kph, i.e., to choose TDLA30-75.

DM-RS1. RAN4 to choose option 2 (DM-RS 1+1) for highest reliability in the agreed 10 symbol TDRA.
 |
| [**R4-2015122**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015122.zip) | Samsung | Proposal 1: Define the PUSCH high reliability requirement for FR2 with the following configurations:* TDD pattern: DDDSU, S=10:2:2
* Aggregation for TDD: n8 for DDDSU TDD pattern with note
* Channel Model: TDLA30-300 Low
* Waveform: CP-OFDM
* DM-RS configuration: 1+1
* PT-RS configuration: without PT-RS

Proposal 2: The same requirements are applicable to FDD for PUSCH aggregation level n2 and TDD 15 KHz with DDDSU pattern for PUSCH aggregation level n8. The BS conformance test can be declared, either configuring DDDSU TDD with PUSCH aggregation level n8 or configuring FDD with PUSCH aggregation level n2. |
| [**R4-2015123**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015123.zip) | Samsung | Draft CR on PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B radiated performance requirement for TS 38.104 |
| [**R4-2015124**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015124.zip) | Samsung | Draft CR on FRC for URLLC BS radiated performance requirement for TS 38.141-2 |
| [**R4-2015618**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015618.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 1: Applicability rule of PUSCH repetition over multiple slots for FDD and TDD: The requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15kHz TDD can be tested by configuring aggregation factor is n8 for DDDSU or configuring aggregation factor is n2 for 30kHz FDD.Proposal 2: No need to specify any safety statements in specification.Proposal 3: We propose to configure DDDSU for FR2 PUSCH repetition over multiple slots performance requirements.Proposal 4: We propose to use TDLA30-300 Low as the configuration for FR2 PUSCH repetition over multiple slots performance requirements.Proposal 5: We propose to configure DM-RS 1+1 for symbol length of 10. Proposal 6: Define 60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz and 100 MHz as SCS and bandwidth for FR2 PUSCH repetition over multiple slots performance requirements.Proposal 7: Define applicability rule for different SCS and BW: Only 1 SCS and 1 BW need to be tested based on the base station declaration. |
| [**R4-2015619**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015619.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Simulation results on PUSCH demodulation reuqirements with higher BLER and low latency |
| [**R4-2015623**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015623.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS38.104 Addition of BS performance requirements for URLLC FR1 PUSCH repetition Type A |
| [**R4-2015624**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015624.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS38.141-1 Addition of BS conformance testing for URLLC demodulation requirements with higher BLER |
| [**R4-2015626**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015626.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS38.141-2 Addition of BS conformance testing for FR2 URLLC PUSCH repetition Type A |
| [**R4-2015865**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015865.zip) | Ericsson | Proposal 1: Define the FR2 high reliability requirement using DDDSU and n8. Note that the same requirement is applicable for any TDD pattern where 2 UL slots are aggregated.Proposal 2: Apply DM-RS 1+1 for the FR2 high reliability requirement |
| [**R4-2015866**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015866.zip) | Ericsson | Simulation results for BS high BLER URLLC |

## Open issues summary

In this section, two sub-topic will be discussed:

* BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR1
* BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR2

For FR1 requirements, applicability rule for FDD and TDD will be defined. And the safety statement in specification is better to be discussed during the online meeting. The SNR values for the specs will be aligned based on the simulation results.

### Sub-topic 4-1: BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR1

*The agreements and remaining open issues of #96 e-meeting for PUSCH FR1 high reliability are listed below:*

***Agreements:***

* *PUSCH aggregation factor for TDD 15 kHz SCS with pattern DDDSU: Configure n2 for FDD and n8 for TDD with note.*
	+ *Note: The intention of this configuration is to have two effective transmissions of the transport block. To achieve this for the standard TDD pattern captured in this table, a value of n8 is necessary, while for FDD a value of n2 is necessary.*
	+ *RV sequence with 4 HARQ transmission：{0,3,0,3} with note*
		- *Note: The effective RV sequence is {0,2,3,1} with slot aggregation*

***Open issues:***

* *Applicability rule for FDD and TDD*
	+ *Option 1: The requirement with PUSCH aggregation level n8 for TDD with 15 KHz SCS can be applied with FDD or TDD 30 KHz SCS with PUSCH aggregation level n2.*
	+ *Option 2: The requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15kHz can be tested either by configuring n8 and the DDDSU TDD pattern or by configuring FDD with aggregation level n2.*
* *Whether to clarify the safety statement*
	+ *Option 1: No need to specify any safety statements in specification*
	+ *Option 2: Yes*
		- *Option 1a: Since the URLLC features of 5G NR will potentially be used in safety critical applications, the ultimately chosen statistical testing methodology for testing of these features must be verified by an independent body of experts/statisticians, before requirements and test can be used as basis for safety critical implementations. All statistical analysis and discussions provided in this meeting are to be taken as a best effort and is not to be taken as due diligence*
		- *Option 1b: If the URLLC features of 5G NR would be used in safety or mission critical applications, the ultimately chosen statistical testing methodology for testing of these features must be verified by an independent body of experts/statisticians. It is also important to bear in mind that the demodulation requirements do not take account of all aspects of system operation (for example RF, transmitter, internal interfaces, higher layer protocol software etc.).*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 4-1-1: Applicability rule for FDD and TDD:**

* PUSCH aggregation factor for 15 kHz SCS:
	+ Option 1: The requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15kHz can be tested either by configuring n8 and the DDDSU TDD pattern or by configuring FDD with aggregation level n2. (Intel, DoCoMo, Ericsson, Huawei)
	+ Option 2: Same requirements are applicable to FDD for PUSCH aggregation level n2 and TDD 15 KHz with DDDSU pattern for PUSCH aggregation level n8. The BS conformance test can be declared, either configuring DDDSU TDD with PUSCH aggregation level n8 or configuring FDD with PUSCH aggregation level n2. (Samsung)
	+ Option 4: No applicability rule. (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-1-2: Whether to clarify the safety statement in specification**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: No need to specify any safety statements in specification (Huawei)
	+ Option 2: Yes (Nokia)
		- Option 1a: Since the URLLC features of 5G NR will potentially be used in safety critical applications, the ultimately chosen statistical testing methodology for testing of these features must be verified by an independent body of experts/statisticians, before requirements and test can be used as basis for safety critical implementations. All statistical analysis and discussions provided in this meeting are to be taken as a best effort and is not to be taken as due diligence
		- Option 1b:

If the URLLC features of 5G NR would be used in safety or mission critical applications, the ultimately chosen statistical testing methodology for testing of these features must be verified by an independent body of experts/statisticians. It is also important to bear in mind that the demodulation requirements do not take account of all aspects of system operation (for example RF, transmitter, internal interfaces, higher layer protocol software etc.).

* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-1-3: SNR values in specs (based on simulation results in R4-2015629)**

* Proposals

38.104:

15 kHz/5 MHz:

* Option 1: -8.2 dB
* Option 2:

15 kHz/10 MHz:

* Option 1: -9.3 dB
* Option 2:

30 kHz/10 MHz:

* Option 1: -8.2 dB
* Option 2:

30 kHz/40 MHz:

* Option 1: -10.2 dB
* Option 2:

38.141:

15 kHz/5 MHz:

* Option 1: -7.6 dB
* Option 2:

15 kHz/10 MHz:

* Option 1: -8.7 dB
* Option 2:

30 kHz/10 MHz:

* Option 1: -7.6 dB
* Option 2:

30 kHz/40 MHz:

* Option 1: -9.6 dB
* Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 4-2: BS demodulation requirements of high reliability for FR2

*The agreements and remaining open issues of #96 e-meeting for PUSCH FR2 high reliability are listed below:*

***Agreements:***

* *Test applicability rule for FR2 for different SCS: Only 1 SCS need to be tested*
* *Test applicability rule for FR1 and FR2: If BS declare to support both FR1 and FR2, the tests shall be done both.*
* *Antenna configuration: 1x2, ULA low*
* *SCS/BW for FR2: 60 kHz/50MHz, 120 kHz/ 50MHz*
* *Mapping type: Type B*
* *MCS: MCS5 from table 3*
* *Start symbol: 0*
* *Symbol length: 10*
* *DM-RS Type: Type 1*
* *DM-RS duration: Single-symbol DM-RS*
* *Bandwidth allocation: Full bandwidth*
* *Maximum number of HARQ re-transmissions: 4*
* *Test metric: 1% BLER (Calculated after all re-transmissions)*

***Open issues:***

* *TDD pattern*
	+ *Option 1: DDDSU, S=10:2:2*
	+ *Option 2: DSUU, S=12:2*
* *Aggregation factor for TDD*
	+ *Option 1: n8 for DDDSU*
	+ *Option 2: n2 for DSUU*
	+ *Option 3: n8 for DDDSU with note*
		- *Note: The testing can be performed with a different TDD pattern*
* *Channel model*
	+ *Option 1: TDLA30-300 Low*
	+ *Option 2: TDLA30-75*
* *DM-RS*
	+ *Option 1: 1+0 and 1+1.*
	+ *Option 2: 1+1*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 4-2-1: Waveform**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: CP-OFDM (Samsung)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-2: TDD pattern**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: DDDSU, S=10:2:2 (Huawei, Intel, DoCoMo, Nokia, Samsung, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-3: Aggregation factor for TDD**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: n8 for DDDSU with note (Huawei, Intel, DoCoMo, Nokia, Samsung, Ericsson)
		- Note: The intention of this configuration is to have two effective transmissions of the transport block. To achieve this for the standard TDD pattern captured in this table, a value of n8 is necessary.
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-4: Applicability rule for TDD with different UL-DL patterns**

Proposals

* + Option 1: The same requirements are applicable to TDD with different UL-DL patterns and different aggregation factor configurations under assumption that two effective transmissions of the transport block are generated (Intel)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-5: Channel model**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: TDLA30-300 Low (Huawei, Intel, DoCoMo, Samsung)
	+ Option 2: TDLA30-75 (Nokia)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-6: SCS/BW (60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz has been agreed)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz and 100 MHz with applicability rule (Huawei)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-7: Applicability rule for different SCS and BW**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Only 1 SCS and 1 BW need to be tested based on the base station declaration.
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-8: DM-RS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1+1 (Huawei, Intel, DoCoMo, Nokia, Samsung, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 4-2-9: PTRS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: No PTRS (Samsung)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue   |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2014820**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014820.zip)NTT DOCOMO, INCDraft CR for TS 38.141-2: Introduction of performance requirements of PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B for URLLC | Company A  |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2015023**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015023.zip)EricssonFRCs for URLLC | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2015123**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015123.zip)SamsungDraft CR on PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B radiated performance requirement for TS 38.104 | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2015124**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015124.zip)SamsungDraft CR on FRC for URLLC BS radiated performance requirement for TS 38.141-2 | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015623**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015623.zip)HuaweiCR to TS38.104 Addition of BS performance requirements for URLLC FR1 PUSCH repetition Type A | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015624**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015624.zip)HuaweiCR to TS38.141-1 Addition of BS conformance testing for URLLC demodulation requirements with higher BLER | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015625**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015625.zip)HuaweiCR to TS38.141-1 Test applicability for URLLC BS demodulation requirements | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015626**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015626.zip)HuaweiCR to TS38.141-2 Addition of BS conformance testing for FR2 URLLC PUSCH repetition Type A | Company A |
| Company B |
| [**R4-2015627**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015627.zip)HuaweiCR to TS38.141-2 FRC for FR1 URLLC BS performance requirements | Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #5: BS demodulation requirements for low latency

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014545**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014545.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 3: Use the following assumptions for FR2 PUSCH mapping Type B requirements:* PUSCH configuration: Mapping Type B, Start symbol 0, Duration 2 or 4.
* MCS 10 from Table 3
* DMRS 1+0
 |
| [**R4-2014820**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014820.zip) | NTT DOCOMO, INC. | CR for TS 38.141-2: Introduction of performance requirements of PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B for URLLC |
| [**R4-2014821**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014821.zip) | NTT DOCOMO, INC. | Proposal 7: Adopt MCS 10 from table 3 (Option 1).Proposal 8: Adopt 2 as symbol length (Option 1) and DM-RS with 1+0 (Option 2). |
| [**R4-2015023**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015023.zip) | Ericsson | FRCs for URLLC |
| [**R4-2015095**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015095.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Discussion on low latency - FR2MCS1. RAN4 to chose MCS10 from table 3.

DM-RS1. RAN4 to choose addPos=0, if TDRA=2 or 4 OS is chosen, and addPos=1, if TDRA= 7 OS is chosen.

Symbol length (TDRA)1. RAN4 to choose the same TDRA as for FR1, i.e., 2 symbols.

PT-RS1. RAN4 to match the Rel-15 FR2 PUSCH eMBB PT-RS configuration for FR2 low latency testing, i.e., K=2 and L=1, at least for TDRAs >=4 symbols.
 |
| [**R4-2015097**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015097.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | CR for 38.104: Low latency BS demodulation requirements |
| [**R4-2015122**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015122.zip) | Samsung | Observation 1: The combination sets for (2 OS, MCS10, 1 DMRS), (4 OS, MCS5, 1 DMRS) and (7 OS, MCS 2 and 1 DMRS) are not feasible for date packet size with 32 bytes as least for 120 KHz SCS and 50 MHz CBW.Observation 2: The combination sets for (4 OS, MCS 6, 1 DMRS) has less padding bits compared with other potential feasible combination sets.Observation 3: Compared with 2 OS, 4 OS or 7 OS can achieve better gain from the coding rate perspective.Observation 4: 4 or 7 OS is assumption for baseline performance evaluation for most of URLLC use cases.Observation 5: Mini-slot repetition with 4OS is the typical scenario in RAN1 discussion to supporting dynamic switch between mini-slot repetition and multi-segmentsObservation 6: Minor performance difference existed for DMRS configuration with 1 and 1+1 for eMBB in FR2Observation 7: From the target SNR value with 70% TP perspective, there is no significant difference with configured 2, 4 and 7 OS for PUSCH mini-slot transmission.Proposal 3: The following combination for MCS, number of DMRS and symbol length are preferred for low latency requirement for FR2 either with (4 OS, MCS6, and 1 DMRS) or (7 OS, MCS 4 and 2 DMRS).Proposal 4: No PT-RS configuration for FR2 low latency requirement Proposal 5: No low latency requirement for FR2 with DFT-s-OFDM waveform. |
| [**R4-2015123**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015123.zip) | Samsung | Draft CR on PUSCH repetition type A and PUSCH mapping type B radiated performance requirement for TS 38.104 |
| [**R4-2015124**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015124.zip) | Samsung | Draft CR on FRC for URLLC BS radiated performance requirement for TS 38.141-2 |
| [**R4-2015618**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015618.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 8: Define 60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz and 100 MHz as SCS and bandwidth for FR2 PUSCH mapping Type B performance requirements.Proposal 9: Define applicability rule for different SCS and BW: Only 1 SCS and 1 BW need to be tested based on the base station declaration.Proposal 10: We propose symbol length of 7 for FR2 PUSCH mapping Type B.Proposal 11: We propose DM-RS is 1+1 for FR2 PUSCH mapping Type B.Proposal 12: We propose to configure MCS5 from table 3 for FR2 PUSCH mapping Type B. |
| [**R4-2015619**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015619.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Simulation results on PUSCH demodulation reuqirements with higher BLER and low latency |
| [**R4-2015865**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015865.zip) | Ericsson | Proposal 3: Adopt the following wording for the 15kHz aggregation requirement for FR1: The requirements for PUSCH with aggregation for 15kHz can be tested either by configuring n8 and the DDDSU TDD pattern or by configuring FDD with aggregation level n2.Proposal 4: For the FR2 low latency requirement, assume (2 symbols, MC10) or (4 symbols, MCS5)Proposal 5: For 2 or 4 symbols, assume single DM-RS for FR2 low latency requirement |
| [**R4-2015866**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015866.zip) | Ericsson | Simulation results for BS high BLER URLLC |
| [**R4-2016006**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016006.zip) | Intel Corporation | CR on FR2 requirements for PUSCH mapping Type B with low number of symbols |

## Open issues summary

In this section, two sub-topic will be discussed:

* PUSCH mapping Type B for FR1
* PUSCH mapping Type B for FR2

For FR1 requirements, the SNR values in specs will be aligned. For FR2 requirements, open issues will be discussed further.

### Sub-topic 5-1: PUSCH mapping Type B for FR1

**Issue 5-1-1: SNR values in specs (based on simulation results in R4-2015629)**

* Proposals

38.104:

15 kHz/5 MHz: 0.6 dB

15 kHz/10 MHz: 0.2 dB

30 kHz/10 MHz: 0.4 dB

30 kHz/40 MHz: -0.1 dB

38.141:

15 kHz/5 MHz: 1.2 dB

15 kHz/10 MHz: 0.8 dB

30 kHz/10 MHz: 1.0 dB

30 kHz/40 MHz: 0.5 dB

* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 5-2: PUSCH mapping Type B for FR2

*The agreements and remaining open issues of #96 e-meeting for PUSCH FR2 mapping Type B are listed below:*

***Agreements from #96e-meeting:***

* *Test applicability rule for FR1 and FR2 if both are supported by BS: Tests shall be done for both, and only 1 SCS will be tested for each frequency band with test applicability rule.*
* *SCS/CBW for FR2: 60kHz/50 MHz, 120 kHz/ 50 MHz*
* *TDD pattern: DDDSU, S=10:2:2*
* *Aggregation factor for TDD: n1*
* *Channel model：TDLA30-300*
* *Antenna configuration：1x2, ULA low*
* *Bandwidth allocation：Full bandwidth*
* *Maximum number of HARQ re-transmissions: 1*
* *DM-RS Type: Type 1*
* *DM-RS duration: Single-symbol DM-RS*
* *Start symbol: 0*
* *Test metric: 70% TP*
* *Section numbers and title for TS38.104: (TS 38.141-1/2 will follow the agreements.)*
* *8.2.6 Requirements for PUSCH 0.001% BLER*
* *8.2.7 Requirements for PUSCH repetition Type A*
* *8.2.8 Requirements for PUSCH mapping Type B with non-slot transmission*
* *FRC numbers in Annex A for TS38.104: (TS38.141-1 and TS38.141-2 will follow the agreements.)*
* *A.3A Fixed Reference Channels for performance requirements (QPSK, R=99/1024)*
* *A.3B Fixed Reference Channels for performance requirements (QPSK, R=308/1024)*

***Open issues from #96e-meeting::***

* *MCS*
	+ *Option 1: MCS10 from table 3*
	+ *Option 2: MCS 5 or MCS 2 from table 3*
* *DM-RS*
	+ *Option 1: 1+0 and 1+1.*
	+ *Option 2: 1+0*
	+ *Option 3: 1+1 if symbol length larger than 4*
* *Symbol length*
	+ *Option 1: 2*
	+ *Option 2: 4*
	+ *Option 3: 7*

*Open issues and candidate options before e-meeting:*

**Issue 5-2-1: Waveform**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: CP-OFDM only (Samsung)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-2: SCS/BW (60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz has been agreed)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 60 kHz/120 kHz for 50 MHz and 100 MHz with applicability rule (Huawei)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-3: Applicability rule for different SCS and BW**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Only 1 SCS and 1 BW need to be tested based on the base station declaration.
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-4: Symbol length**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 (Intel, DoCoMo, Nokia, Ericsson)
	+ Option 2: 4 (Intel, Samsung, Ericsson)
	+ Option 3: 7 (Huawei, Samsung)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-5: DM-RS (depends on symbol length)**

* Proposals
	+ 1+1 for symbol length of 7
	+ 1+0 for symbol length of 2 or 4
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-6: PTRS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: With PTRS configuration for symbol length is 4 or 7 (Nokia)
	+ Option 2: No PTRS configuration (Samsung)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-7: PTRS frequency density (KPT-RS)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 2 for symbol length is 4 or 7 (Nokia)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-8: PTRS time density (LPT-RS)**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: 1 for symbol length is 4 or 7 (Nokia)
	+ Option 2:
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 5-2-9: MCS**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: MCS5 from Table 3 (Huawei, , Ericsson for 4os)
	+ Option 2: MCS10 from Table 3 (Intel, DoCoMo, Nokia, Ericsson for 2os)
	+ Option 3: MCS6 for 4os or MCS4 for 7os (Samsung)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue   |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| [**R4-2015097**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015097.zip)NokiaCR for 38.104: Low latency BS demodulation requirements | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| [**R4-2016006**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2016006.zip)IntelCR on FR2 requirements for PUSCH mapping Type B with low number of symbols | Company A |
| Company B |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |

### CRs/TPs

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round and provided recommendation on CRs/TPs Status update suggestion*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **CRs/TPs Status update recommendation**  |
| XXX | *Based on 1st round of comments collection, moderator can recommend the next steps such as “agreeable”, “to be revised”* |

# Topic #6: URLLC BS Rel-16 features

## Companies’ contributions summary

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **T-doc number** | **Company** | **Proposals / Observations** |
| [**R4-2014545**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2014545.zip) | Intel Corporation | Proposal 4: Define Rel-16 URLLC BS demodulation requirements to verify PUSCH repetition Type B.Proposal 5: Do not define Rel-16 URLLC BS demodulation requirements to verify enhanced inter UE Tx prioritization/multiplexing and enhanced UL configured grant transmission. |
| [**R4-2015095**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015095.zip) | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell | Discussion on Rel-16 URLLC BS featuresPUSCH repetition type BThe current TU request excel (bundled with RP-191584) has run out of allocated time in the last meeting. No update to this WID was agreed in the last plenary as far as we are aware: The submitted SR (RP-201661) did not contain the TU allocation excel, just a suggestion to extend to RAN#92, and it was ultimately noted.1. RAN4 to not treat PUSCH repetition type B demodulation performance requirements in this WI.

Inter-UE multiplexingNo impact on BS demodulation performance is expected from dynamic power boosting or UL cancellation indication.1. RAN4 to not treat inter-UE multiplexing, as no demodulation impact is expected.
 |
| [**R4-2015122**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015122.zip) | Samsung | Proposal 6: No BS demodulation requirement need to be specified for Rel-16 URLLC feature: PUSCH repetition type BProposal 7: No BS demodulation requirement need to be specified for Rel-16 URLLC feature: inter-UE multiplexing feature. |
| [**R4-2015618**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015618.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | Proposal 13: PUSCH repetition type B performance requirements should be specified.Proposal 14: Parameters for PUSCH repetition Type B:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Parameter | Value |
| Frequency range | FR1 |
| Transform precoding | Disabled |
| Antenna configuration | 1x2, ULA Low |
| PUSCH configuration | Mapping type |  Type B |
| Starting symbol (S)  | 0 |
| Length (L) | 7 |
| PUSCH aggregation factor | n2 |
| PUSCH DMRS configuration | DMRS Type | Type 1 |
| DMRS duration | Single-symbol DM-RS |
| Number of additional DMRS | 1 |
| Propagation condition | TDLB100-400 |
| MCS Table | Table 3, [MCS 5] |
| SCS and BW | 15 kHz / 10 MHz30 kHz / 40 MHz |
| Frequency domain resource | Full Bandwidth |
| TDD pattern  |  15 kHz SCS: 3D1S1U, S=10:2:230 kHz SCS: 7D1S2U, S=6:4:4 |
| Maximum number of HARQ transmissions | 4 |
| Testing metric | Target BLER: 10-2(Calculate the target BLER after all transmission) |

Proposal 15: No need to define the performance requirements for inter-UE multiplexing. |
| [**R4-2015626**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015626.zip) | Huawei, HiSilicon | CR to TS38.141-2 Addition of BS conformance testing for FR2 URLLC PUSCH repetition Type A |
| [**R4-2015865**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG4_Radio/TSGR4_97_e/Docs/R4-2015865.zip) | Ericsson | Proposal 6: Do not create further BS requirements for Rel-16 features as the demodulation aspects are captured with existing requirements. |

## Open issues summary

### Sub-topic 6-1: Rel-16 URLLC BS features

In this section, URLLC Rel-16 features for BS will be discussed.

*The open issues of #96 e-meeting for Rel-16 URLLC BS features are listed below:*

***Open issues:***

* *Features need to be discussed*
	+ *PUSCH repetition type B*
	+ *Inter-UE multiplexing*
	+ *Other features not precluded.*
* *Whether to define performance requirements for PUSCH repetition type B*
	+ *Option 1: Yes*
	+ *Option 2: No*
* *Whether to define performance requirements for Inter-UE multiplexing*
	+ *Option 1: Yes*
	+ *Option 2: No*

**Issue 6-1-1: Features need to be discussed**

* Proposals
	+ PUSCH repetition type B
	+ Inter-UE multiplexing
	+ Other features not precluded.

**Issue 6-1-2: Whether to define performance requirements for PUSCH repetition type B**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes (Huawei, Intel)
	+ Option 2: No (Nokia, Samsung, Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

**Issue 6-1-3: Whether to define performance requirements for Inter-UE multiplexing**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: Yes
	+ Option 2: No (Huawei, Intel, Nokia, Ericsson)
* Recommended WF
	+ TBD

### Sub-topic 6-2: PUSCH repetition Type B (only if this is agreed to be defined)

**Issue 6-2-1: Parameters for PUSCH repetition Type B**

* Proposals
	+ Option 1: (Huawei)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Frequency range | FR1 |
| Transform precoding | Disabled |
| Antenna configuration | 1x2, ULA Low |
| PUSCH configuration | Mapping type |  Type B |
| Starting symbol (S)  | 0 |
| Length (L) | 7 |
| PUSCH aggregation factor | n2 |
| PUSCH DMRS configuration | DMRS Type | Type 1 |
| DMRS duration | Single-symbol DM-RS |
| Number of additional DMRS | 1 |
| Propagation condition | TDLB100-400 |
| MCS Table | Table 3, [MCS 5] |
| SCS and BW | 15 kHz / 10 MHz30 kHz / 40 MHz |
| Frequency domain resource | Full Bandwidth |
| TDD pattern  |  15 kHz SCS: 3D1S1U, S=10:2:230 kHz SCS: 7D1S2U, S=6:4:4 |
| Maximum number of HARQ transmissions | 4 |
| Testing metric | Target BLER: 10-2(Calculate the target BLER after all transmission) |

## Companies views’ collection for 1st round

### Open issues

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| XXX | Issue  |
|  |  |

### CRs/TPs comments collection

*Major close to finalize WIs and Rel-15 maintenance, comments collections can be arranged for TPs and CRs. For Rel-16 on-going WIs, suggest to focus on open issues discussion on 1st round.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **CR/TP number** | **Comments collection** |
| XXX | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |
| YYY | Company A |
| Company B |
|  |

## Summary for 1st round

### Open issues

*Moderator tries to summarize discussion status for 1st round, list all the identified open issues and tentative agreements or candidate options and suggestion for 2nd round i.e. WF assignment.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Status summary**  |
| **Sub-topic#1** | *Tentative agreements:**Candidate options:**Recommendations for 2nd round:* |

*Suggestion on WF/LS assignment*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **WF/LS t-doc Title**  | **Assigned Company,****WF or LS lead** |
|  |  |  |